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Chapter 1
Introduction, Planning Context, and Master Plan Goals
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION, PLANNING CONTEXT AND MASTER PLAN GOALS

1.1 Purpose of the Master Plan

The City of Yorba Linda’s recreational facilities, programs, and park amenities are essential to the composition of the community. Knowing this, the City Council authorized the development of a new Parks and Recreation Master Plan as part of the City’s General Plan update.

The Parks and Recreation Department of the City of Yorba Linda operates parks and recreation facilities that provide the opportunity for leisure activities for about 65,000 residents. The City of Yorba Linda recognizes that properly planned development and appropriate maintenance of these facilities enhance the quality of life for the citizens of Yorba Linda. The updated Parks & Recreation Master Plan provides direction to continue the orderly and consistent planning, acquisition, development and administration of the parks and recreation programming in the City.

The Parks and Recreation Master Plan will provide a vision for the future, taking into account the existing condition of facilities and the total parks and recreation needs and desires of City residents.

The new Master Plan will guide decision making with regard to policy and contains an inventory and assessment of recreation programs and service offerings, operations, maintenance and capital improvements to be made over the next twenty years that will enhance the quality of life in the City.

The Master Plan also provides an assessment of equestrian needs and options for developing future equestrian facilities within the City.

The Master Plan will be used as a planning tool for staff and the Parks and Recreation Commission when making recommendations to the City Council for future facility improvements and for delivering parks and recreation services through the year 2030.
1.2 Planning Process & Public Involvement

The planning team embraced a collaborative approach to the development of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan. Staff provided valuable insight and extensive current and historical data for use by the planning team including a current facility inventory, current program inventory, organizational history, trends, values and mandates, identification of core programs and services, identification of current issues requiring resolution, current and projected breakdown of community demographics, maps, plans, school joint use agreements and future park plans. These items provided a solid basis for the planning process.

The planning process utilized a number of public input tools to evaluate and determine the elements in need of updating in existing parks; and to develop the priorities for programs and services to recommend to the City Council in the Master Plan.

The process included a multi-tiered public outreach process to match the community’s multiplicity of interests and needs. A park and facilities needs assessment was prepared, which included a community survey mechanism and multiple approaches to actively engage the widest possible breadth of the community. The community outreach tools used included:

- An online and hardcopy community survey
- Multiple on-site park and greenbelt user intercept surveys
- Stakeholder interviews with staff, school representatives, community leaders, clubs and organizations and special interest focus groups
- Senior citizen surveys
- Indoor and outdoor sports groups surveys

The first phase of the process to prepare the Comprehensive Parks and Recreation Master Plan was the assessment of needs based upon the review of existing parks and recreation related documents and the collection of new data utilizing the following methods:

A. A thorough review of current plans and documents, including the City’s General Plan.

B. A “kick-off” meeting with staff to define the final scope of the process and to start the public in-put process for the Parks & Recreation Master Plan.

C. A review and up-date of the City’s existing parkland inventory; and an analysis of parks, recreational facilities, trails and recreation programs, including school facilities and regional facilities used by Yorba Linda residents.
D. The identification of potential deficiencies in existing parks and recreation facilities with respect to park acreage standards and recreation programming needs.

E. The completion of the demand analysis for parks and recreation facilities and programs in Yorba Linda. The demand analysis considered demographic trends and projections as well as an analysis of present programs. The demand analysis considered program and facility needs to the year 2030.

F. A review and recommended changes to criteria and guideline standards for parks and recreational facility development.

G. A determination of future needs for parks, recreational facilities, trails and programs.

H. An analysis of the potential for improvements to existing City parks and schools, joint development opportunities, a determination of the need for special activity facilities (i.e. youth sports facilities, equestrian facilities, teen center/gymnasium, senior citizen center, performing arts facilities, etc.) along with a recommendation of potential locations for such activities (including existing park locations and other public and private properties) with estimates for the cost of all the alternatives in a 20 year Capital Improvement Program.

I. The review and update of the operational and maintenance policies and standards for the park system (including building facilities) as a whole; and the development of maintenance standards to ensure a well maintained, safe, clean and quality parks system.

1.3 Report Organization

The Parks & Recreation Master Plan is organized in four chapters with appendix and supporting materials.

Chapter 1 – Introduction and Purpose

Chapter 1 presents an overview of the purpose for the Park and Recreation Master Plan and describes the process used to complete the project.

Chapter 2 – Action Strategies and Recommendations

Chapter 2 presents an overview of community concerns that will affect the City’s ability to provide a successful Park and Recreation delivery system. It also contains the consultant teams recommended actions to accomplish the Master Plan goals. It
provides cost estimates for the recommendations and presents implementation strategies the City could consider for accomplishing those recommendations. Projects are listed in three levels of community priority; current need, current demand, and community desired capital improvements. This was done so that the City can plan its future capital improvement work program based on what is important to residents of Yorba Linda.

Chapter 3 – Equestrian Report

Chapter 3 contains an overview of equestrian needs and demand assessment for equestrian facilities in Yorba Linda, along with possible options for meeting the needs of the equestrian community.

Chapter 4 - Supporting Data and Needs Analysis

Chapter 4 contains the Needs Assessment and Demand Analysis which was generated from the various community outreach tools and public input processes used for the Master Plan.

Appendixes

Appendix A – Park and Facility Inventory
Appendix B – Maintenance Policies and Standards
Appendix C – Sports Group Questionnaire
Appendix D – Stakeholder Questionnaire
Appendix E – Senior Citizen Survey
Appendix F – Cultural Arts Survey
Appendix G – Program Evaluation Sheets

1.4 Master Plan Goals

The Project Team developed several Master Plan goals to guide the consultant team in the Master Plan process. It was agreed that the Master Plan needed to do the following in order to be a document the Parks and Recreation Department could use to guide its facility and program development in the future:

• Describe current and future demographic projections and regional context;
• Examine the current conditions of parks, schools, trails and facilities;
• Study and analyze current public demand and needs for parks and recreation facilities and programs;
• Develop operating policies based on future needs expressed by the staff and the community;
• Recommend improvements to existing parks and facilities and opportunities for joint use and future acquisition;
• Examine and analyze current program policies;
• Recommend future uses and proposed development of equestrian facilities;
• Describe funding and financing strategies and actions to enable the City to implement the recommendations by the year 2030.

1.5 Planning Context

It is important to understand the demographic profile of the community in order to plan for future parks, recreation, trails and open space needs. Knowing the city’s population and age demographics, income distribution and ethnic composition is important in being able to analyze the needs and demands for facilities, programs, and services within the community. This section provides this basic background and gives the reader a foundation for where Yorba Linda is located, whom it serves, and what key demographic issues affect the delivery of Park and Recreation services.

1.6 Regional Context and History

Yorba Linda is an affluent suburban community in northeastern Orange County, California, approximately 13 miles (21 km) northeast of Downtown Santa Ana, and 40 miles (64 km) southeast of downtown Los Angeles. As of the 2010 census, it had a population of 64,234. One of its most famous residents was Richard Nixon, who was born in Yorba Linda however; his father moved the family away before Yorba Linda became a city. The Richard Nixon Presidential Library and Museum is located in Yorba Linda and is probably the most well-known tourist attraction in the city.

Yorba Linda is known for having large residential lots as well as 30 multi-use trails, which are 100 miles (160 km) in aggregate length.

In 2005 CNN ranked Yorba Linda 21st among the best places in the U.S. to live.

In 1834 Jose Antonio Yorba’s most successful son Bernardo Yorba (whom the city would later be named after) was granted the 13,328-acre Rancho Cañón de Santa Ana by Mexican governor José Figueroa. Most of this original land was retained after the
Mexican American war in 1848 by descendants of the original Yorba family. A portion of the City's land is still owned and developed by descendants of Samuel Kraemer, who acquired it through his marriage to Angelina Yorba, the great granddaughter of Bernardo Yorba. The Yorba family still owns portions of Yorba Linda, and there is still the Yorba Ranch adjacent to the 91 freeway. The site of the Bernardo Yorba Hacienda, referred to as the Don Bernardo Yorba Ranch House Site, is listed as a California Historical Landmark.

A section of the land was sold in 1907 to the Janss Investment Company, which first called the area Yorba Linda, and proceeded to subdivide the land and sell it for agriculture and manufacturing. In 1910, the agricultural aspect of that endeavor materialized, and the first of many lemon and orange groves were planted. At that time, the population was still less than 50. A year later, The Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Company began serving Yorba Linda and the first school was constructed.

In 1912 several things happened in Yorba Linda: it received its first post office; the Yorba Linda Citrus Association was founded; the Southern California Edison Company began providing electricity; and the first church was constructed. The area that would later become downtown was also connected to Los Angeles by the Pacific Electric Railway in 1912, primarily for citrus transport.

In 1913, Richard Nixon was born in Yorba Linda, the Chamber of Commerce was set up, a library opened as part of the school, and avocado trees were first planted. A year later a separate district was established for the library system.

In 1915, the Susanna Bixby Bryant Ranch house was constructed. It is now a museum and can be toured by the public.

In 1917, the Yorba Linda Star began publication. It has since become an online section of the OC Register. However, a printed version of just the Star is delivered free of charge to OC Register subscribers in Yorba Linda with the Thursday paper. Moreover, its past articles are available for viewing on microfilm at the Yorba Linda Public Library. In that same year, the first street was paved, Yorba Linda Boulevard.

The population exceeded 300 for the first time prior to 1920.

The small town had grown significantly by the 1960s, with over 1,000 residents by the 1960 Census, and survived or fought off three annexation considerations or attempts: one by Brea in 1958 and one each by Anaheim and Placentia in 1963. These experiences culminated in incorporation which occurred in 1967.
The new City drew up and implemented its municipal general plan in 1972. By the 1980 Census, the population was nearing 30,000. Within ten years it exceeded 50,000. Today, Yorba Linda has over 65,000 residents.

1.7 Service Area

Figure 1-1 is an overall map of Yorba Linda. Figure 1-2 shows the locations of parks in Yorba Linda. Figure 1-3 shows the City’s current General Plan Land Use map.
PARKS AND RECREATION MASTER PLAN
Location of Parks

Figure 1.2

Map Number    Name                        Classification
1             BERNARDO YORBA MIDDLE SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
2             BLACK GOLD GOLF CLUB           Facility/Special Use Area
3             BOX CANYON PARK                Neighborhood Park
4             BRUSH CANYON PARK              Neighborhood Park
5             BRYANT RANCH PARK              Community Park
6             BRYANT RANCH SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
7             CARBON CANYON REGIONAL PARK     Regional Park
8             CASINO RIDGE STAGING AREA       Facility/Special Use Area
9             DOMINGUEZ TRAIL                Linear Park
10            EASTSIDE COMMUNITY PARK       Community Park
11            FAIRMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
12            FAIRMONT KNOLLS TENNIS PARK    Neighborhood Park
13            FEATHERLY REGIONAL PARK        Regional Park
14            GLENKNOLL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
15            GUN CLUB LINEAR PARK (FUTURE)  Linear Park
16            HURLESS BARTON PARK            Community Park
17            JEAN WOODWARD PARK            Neighborhood Park
18            JESSAMYN WEST PARK            Neighborhood Park
19            KINGSBRIAR PARK               Neighborhood Park
20            LAS BRISAS PARK               Mini Park
21            LAS PALOMAS TENNIS PARK       Neighborhood Park
22            LINDA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
23            LUCIA KUST PARK                Linear Park
24            MABEL PAINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
25            PHILLIP S PAXTON EQUESTRIAN CENTER  Facility/Special Use Area
26            QUARTER HORSE STAGING AREA     Facility/Special Use Area
27            RIO DEL ORO PARK              Neighborhood Park
28            ROLAND E BIGONGER PARK         Neighborhood Park
29            ROSE DRIVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
30            SAN ANTONIO PARK              Neighborhood Park
31            SHAPELL PARK                  Neighborhood Park
32            SUSANNA BIXBY BRYANT MUSEUM AND BOTANICAL GARDEN  Facility/Special Use Area
33            THOMAS LASORDA JR FIELD HOUSE AND ADVENTURE PLAYGROUND  Community Park
34            TRAVIS RANCH SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
35            TRAVIS RANCH YOUTH PARK        Neighborhood Park
36            VETERANS PARK                Community Park
37            VISTA DEL VERDE PARK          Neighborhood Park
38            VISTA DEL VERDE PARK II       Neighborhood Park
39            VISTA LAMPARA PARK            Mini Park
40            YORBA LINDA COMMUNITY CENTER  Community Park
41            YORBA LINDA HIGH SCHOOL PARK (FUTURE)  Community Park
42            YORBA LINDA POLICE SERVICES FACILITY AND ARROYO PARK  Neighborhood Park
43            YORBA LINDA MIDDLE SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
44            YORBA LINDA PUBLIC LIBRARY      Facility/Special Use Area
45            YORBA REGIONAL PARK            Regional Park

Legend
- Parks
- Schools
- City Boundary

Source: City of Yorba Linda, Eagle Aerial 2012

PARKS AND RECREATION MASTER PLAN
Location of Parks
Figure 1.2

Map Number    Name                        Classification
1             BERNARDO YORBA MIDDLE SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
2             BLACK GOLD GOLF CLUB           Facility/Special Use Area
3             BOX CANYON PARK                Neighborhood Park
4             BRUSH CANYON PARK              Neighborhood Park
5             BRYANT RANCH PARK              Community Park
6             BRYANT RANCH SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
7             CARBON CANYON REGIONAL PARK     Regional Park
8             CASINO RIDGE STAGING AREA       Facility/Special Use Area
9             DOMINGUEZ TRAIL                Linear Park
10            EASTSIDE COMMUNITY PARK       Community Park
11            FAIRMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
12            FAIRMONT KNOLLS TENNIS PARK    Neighborhood Park
13            FEATHERLY REGIONAL PARK        Regional Park
14            GLENKNOLL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
15            GUN CLUB LINEAR PARK (FUTURE)  Linear Park
16            HURLESS BARTON PARK            Community Park
17            JEAN WOODWARD PARK            Neighborhood Park
18            JESSAMYN WEST PARK            Neighborhood Park
19            KINGSBRIAR PARK               Neighborhood Park
20            LAS BRISAS PARK               Mini Park
21            LAS PALOMAS TENNIS PARK       Neighborhood Park
22            LINDA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
23            LUCIA KUST PARK                Linear Park
24            MABEL PAINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
25            PHILLIP S PAXTON EQUESTRIAN CENTER  Facility/Special Use Area
26            QUARTER HORSE STAGING AREA     Facility/Special Use Area
27            RIO DEL ORO PARK              Neighborhood Park
28            ROLAND E BIGONGER PARK         Neighborhood Park
29            ROSE DRIVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
30            SAN ANTONIO PARK              Neighborhood Park
31            SHAPELL PARK                  Neighborhood Park
32            SUSANNA BIXBY BRYANT MUSEUM AND BOTANICAL GARDEN  Facility/Special Use Area
33            THOMAS LASORDA JR FIELD HOUSE AND ADVENTURE PLAYGROUND  Community Park
34            TRAVIS RANCH SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
35            TRAVIS RANCH YOUTH PARK        Neighborhood Park
36            VETERANS PARK                Community Park
37            VISTA DEL VERDE PARK          Neighborhood Park
38            VISTA DEL VERDE PARK II       Neighborhood Park
39            VISTA LAMPARA PARK            Mini Park
40            YORBA LINDA COMMUNITY CENTER  Community Park
41            YORBA LINDA HIGH SCHOOL PARK (FUTURE)  Community Park
42            YORBA LINDA POLICE SERVICES FACILITY AND ARROYO PARK  Neighborhood Park
43            YORBA LINDA MIDDLE SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES  Schools/Joint Use
44            YORBA LINDA PUBLIC LIBRARY      Facility/Special Use Area
45            YORBA REGIONAL PARK            Regional Park

Legend
- Parks
- Schools
- City Boundary

Source: City of Yorba Linda, Eagle Aerial 2012
1.8 Demographics

The following demographic characteristics provide an overview of the City in terms of its demographic profile. It is important to know the character and culture of the population the City is trying to serve in order to better understand their diverse needs.

The following demographic data is from the May 2013 Southern California Association of Governments Regional Council Report, which has been utilized to determine the community demographic profile to understand the age and cultural makeup of Yorba Linda.

It is important when planning parks and recreation facilities to know not only the population of the area you are planning for but also its characteristics in terms of age, ethnic makeup, household size, income, housing density, etc.

This information helps determine what types of recreation programs and recreation facilities will be needed to serve the specific demographic makeup of the community.

### 2012 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Yorba Linda</th>
<th>Orange County</th>
<th>Yorba Linda relative to Orange County*</th>
<th>SCAG Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012 Population</td>
<td>65,777</td>
<td>3,055,792</td>
<td>[2.2%]</td>
<td>18,242,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Median Age (Years)</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Hispanic</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>-19.3%</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Non-Hispanic Asian</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Non-Hispanic Black</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Non-Hispanic American Indian</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Non-Hispanic All Other</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Number of Households</td>
<td>21,901</td>
<td>995,933</td>
<td>[2.2%]</td>
<td>5,870,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Average Household Size</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Median Household Income ($)</td>
<td>105,845</td>
<td>71,193</td>
<td>34,652</td>
<td>57,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Number of Housing Units</td>
<td>22,641</td>
<td>1,052,361</td>
<td>[2.2%]</td>
<td>6,356,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Homeownership Rate</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Median Existing Home Sales Price ($)</td>
<td>575,000</td>
<td>422,000</td>
<td>153,000</td>
<td>323,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 - 2012 Median Home Sales Price Change</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-3.9%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Drove Alone to Work</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Mean Travel Time to Work (minutes)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Number of Jobs</td>
<td>17,733</td>
<td>1,523,697</td>
<td>[1.2%]</td>
<td>7,462,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 - 2012 Total Jobs Change</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>26,990</td>
<td>[1.1%]</td>
<td>109,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Average Salary per Job ($)</td>
<td>46,864</td>
<td>53,307</td>
<td>-6,443</td>
<td>49,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 K-12 Public School Student Enrollment</td>
<td>8,437</td>
<td>503,736</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>3,096,034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau; Nielsen Co.; California Department of Finance; MDA Data Quick; and SCAG

* Numbers with [ ] represent Yorba Linda’s share of Orange County. The other numbers represent the difference between Yorba Linda and Orange County.
Between 2000 and 2018 the age group 65+ is projected to experience the most growth in share, growing from 7.7% of Yorba Linda’s population in 2000 to over 16% of the city’s population by 2018. This trend will most likely continue through 2025 as “Baby Boomers” continue to reach retirement age and existing seniors live longer. If this trend does continue, the 55 and over population will make up an estimated 33% of Yorba Linda’s population by 2025.

The age group expected to experience the greatest decline, by share, is projected to be the age group 35 to 54, which will drop from 28% of the city’s population currently in 2013 to 24.8% of the city’s population in 2018. This trend should flatten out or increase slightly from 2018 to 2025 as new housing tracts are developed and existing housing occupancy changes from seniors to families.

### POPULATION IN RECREATION PROGRAMMING CATEGORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preschool/Toddlers</td>
<td>0-5 yrs</td>
<td>3,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth and Teens</td>
<td>6-19 yrs</td>
<td>14,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>20-54 yrs</td>
<td>29,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>55 &amp; over</td>
<td>18,678</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Total population figure for this table is 65,417, which differs from the total population of 65,777 shown in the 2012 Statistical Summary Table above because it does not include persons who reside in group care facilities.*
1.9 Key Demographic and Planning Issues

Understanding the demographic context of Yorba Linda is an important component of recreation facility and program planning. Demographic characteristics such as age, presence of children, ethnicity, and income have been demonstrated in past research to have a relationship to recreation patterns and program needs. For these reasons, an overview of changes and emerging trends of the resident population is important as the community plans its preferred future.

The key findings with regards to the demographic issues effecting the planning for Yorba Linda’s Park & Recreation Master Plan needs include:

**Population Size** – The population size in Yorba Linda is expected to continue to grow along with the rest of Southern California reaching approximately 68,000 residents within the next five years. Depending on the housing market and the economy, population growth is expected to continue at a rate of 1% to 2% per year over the next 20 years. It is important to understand the population size and the expected growth in order to anticipate and plan for the appropriate number of recreation facilities. Thus a policy of reviewing demographics and updating population projections at least every 5 years should be established.

**Age** – Current population projections by age group indicates that the majority of the population is made up of families and this type of growth is expected to continue in the future. Projections show that there will also be significant increase in those 55 years and older within the next ten to 20 years.

**Ethnicity** – It is anticipated that Yorba Linda will continue to increase in ethnic diversity. Staying current with the ethnic characteristics of Yorba Linda and Orange County is necessary to account for changes in recreation demand based on cultural heritage.

**Household Type** – Projected residential growth will continue to see family oriented residential development, however, there is likely to be some shift in household composition in coming years as the aging of parents, increasing property values, and the traditions of ethnic cultures in the region may give rise to more extended family situations. Keeping current with trends in household types helps to understand more about future population size and density. Household types also affect funding and revenue streams for future parks and recreation facilities as they are related to park fees and development impact fees the City collects.

**Economic Makeup** – There is diversity in the economic makeup of Yorba Linda, ranging from people at or below the poverty level to a significant population of upper middle class professional level households. The community can definitely support fee based programs; however, accommodation must be made to provide ways of including those who cannot afford to pay for programs.
1.10 Executive Summary

The Parks and Recreation Department of the City of Yorba Linda operates parks and recreation facilities that provide leisure activities for about 65,000 residents. The City of Yorba Linda recognizes that properly planned development and appropriate maintenance of these facilities will continue to enhance the quality of life of the citizens of Yorba Linda for decades to come. The Parks and Recreation Master Plan will provide direction for the orderly and consistent planning, development, and administration of the City’s parks and recreation system. The Parks and Recreation Master Plan is a visioning document that takes into account the existing conditions of facilities as well as the current recreation needs and desires of City residents. It contains a current park inventory showing existing facilities and recreation amenities so that the reader can see exactly what the parks and recreation department operates and/or is responsible to maintain.

The planning team and City Staff embraced a collaborative approach to the development of the Master Plan. Staff provided valuable insight and extensive current and historical data for use by the planning team including a current facility inventory, current program inventory, organizational history, trends, values, and mandates, identification of core programs and services, identification of current issues requiring resolution, current and projected breakdown of community demographics, maps, plans, joint use agreements, and future park plans. These items provided a solid basis for the planning process.

The purpose of the Needs Assessment is to quantify and understand both the facility and recreational program needs of the community. In order for the Yorba Linda Parks and Recreation Department to develop a long range plan for providing the right recreational facilities and programs, it must understand the nature of the community, its recreational desires, its actual needs, and its ability to provide the services that are determined necessary through the Needs Assessment.

The RBF Consultant Team, working with City staff, utilized a number of input tools to conduct public outreach to solicit the public’s opinions and requests about recreation program needs and facility desires, as well as their special insights into the operation of Parks and Recreation programs and services.

The public outreach tools used by the RBF Consultant Team included a citywide internet survey; multiple on-site park and greenbelt user intercept surveys; stakeholder interviews with staff, public and private school representatives, community leaders, clubs, and organizations; Community Center user surveys; focus group meetings with senior groups, sports groups, Teen Action Committee, cultural groups, and the Parks and Recreation Commission; a special effort was made to work through the local equestrian groups and their participants to gain their insight into needs of equestrians in the
community; and, a special senior citizen survey was distributed and collected by senior services staff.

The following vision presents how the City of Yorba Linda Parks and Recreation Department desires to be viewed in the future:

“It is the Parks and Recreation Department’s vision to be a primary provider of choice for park and recreation facilities and programs and to partner with other public and private service providers to meet the leisure time quality of life expectations of all who live and visit Yorba Linda.”

In order to accomplish the above vision the Parks and Recreation Department has adopted the following mission statement:

“It is the Park and Recreation Department’s mission to maximize all available resources to deliver well maintained parks, clean and well-functioning recreation facilities, and quality programs, events and community services to the residents and visitors of Yorba Linda. We will do this through cost effective delivery of services, valuable partnerships with other service providers, maximum use of volunteers, and continued feedback from our community. We will measure our success by constant evaluation and updating of our recreation system. Our primary goal is to improve the quality of life for all who use our services, build community pride, and support the economic and environmental sustainability of Yorba Linda.”

To implement the mission statement the Department shall adhere to the following objectives:

• Keeping all parks economically viable and open to the public
• Standardizing and upgrading the information technology infrastructure
• Efficiently processing grants, projects, paperwork and documents through the City’s procedures
• Increasing each staff member’s knowledge, skills and abilities through training opportunities
• Providing City staff with stimulating, safe, and challenging work environments
• Working with City personnel to implement and update the master list of economically feasible facility upgrades that improve the user experience and increase revenue
• Working with Department personnel to market events and improve the overall quality of existing events
• Collecting scientific and historical data on facility participation to better inform decision-making
• Continuing to provide accurate, timely, and targeted reports on program management and analysis for internal and external use
• Building lasting public and private partnerships to promote local economies, good neighbors, recreation, conservation, tourism and establish sustainable funding for the Department
• Working with stakeholders to create and promote a strategy for sustainable Park and Recreation Department funding
• Effectively communicate with the public, policy makers, our partners, our peers and ourselves

To meet the recreation and amenity standards outlined in the Master Plan to support a variety of users and experiences for existing and future residents, the Parks and Recreation Department shall adhere to the facility policy of providing a variety of recreation facilities to support the programming desired by the community for all age groups including preschool/toddlers, youth/teens, adults and seniors. The facilities the community outreach defined as the priorities for most desired facilities in Yorba Linda for residents in order of community importance include:

• Walking Trails and Paths
• Neighborhood Parks
• Multipurpose Lighted Sports Fields
• Softball Fields
• Outdoor Basketball and Volleyball Courts
• A Community Park with multi-purpose lighted sports fields, a community center with classrooms, studios, preschool facilities, and space for cultural arts activities
• Equestrian Arenas/Event Center/Boarding Facilities
• Splash/Water Play Amenities
• Additional Equestrian Trails
• Off-Leash Dog Parks
• Municipal Pool Complex
• New Senior Center
• Another City Gymnasium
• Additional Outdoor Amphitheater
• Fitness Center
• Tennis Center
• Visual/Performing Arts Center
• Public Art
• Skate Park
The list of capital projects presented in the Chapter 2 recommended capital improvement program represent a wide range of project types, scope, and budgets, from basic equipment upgrades to entirely new structures. In terms of objectives, each new capital project should fall into one or more of the following categories:

**Improved access for all users** — renovation projects to reduce accessibility barriers and generally make the facilities more user-friendly for customers of all ability levels.

**General/deferred maintenance** — renovation projects to address wear and tear on existing facilities and prepare them to continue to serve the community for the next decades.

**Enhance revenue** — projects to strengthen the City’s ability to generate revenue through increasing rental space, expanding program capacity, and improving facilities to attract new users and season pass holders.

**Enhance efficiency** — projects to reduce City operating and utilities costs through strategies such as increased energy efficiency, reduced equipment repair and replacement, or reduced maintenance labor.

**Enhance programs** — facility projects to improve the quality, participant experience, and range of programs and special events that the City can offer.

**Enhance the Yorba Linda “Image”** — projects that enhance the customer experience and upgrade the aesthetics of City’s facilities to reflect the high quality of its programs, services, staff, and community expectations.

The Master Plan recommendations for programming policy are to create an efficient and accountable program delivery system that is driven by objective decision making through policies, procedures and performance measures developed in conjunction with staff and community feedback.

To accomplish this, the Master Plan recommends the following actions:

Determine the level of unmet need in each core programming area and prioritize which programs to address first.

1. Track age segments served and add new programs where age groups are left out.
2. Develop key special events around competitions, food, art, and entertainment.
3. Incorporate volunteerism as a business plan to develop the program delivery system:
   a. Continue to develop the volunteer policy and training programs and nurture the volunteer rewards program
b. Recruit volunteers to support special events

4. Increase staff training through the development of training and operation manuals, and ongoing training workshops.

5. Expand recruitment of contract class instructors and pursue offering more variety of fitness/exercise activities, more diverse cultural activities in music, dance, and lifelong learning opportunities.

6. Try developing more organized walking and hiking programs, such as, a seniors walking club, an adult walking miles for health program, preschool hiking outings.

7. Increasing marketing and incentives for greater participation in existing special events.

8. Focus on improving program offerings for youth, teens and seniors; to insure those program rating grades do not decline.

9. Pursue development of more age specific special events, such as, adult softball tournaments, summer teen concerts in the park, more holiday events for preschool/toddlers, and an outdoor senior’s fitness and health expo with entertainment.

10. Consider making use of the tennis courts for a pickle ball and or paddle tennis league for adults and seniors to keep up with growing trends.

11. Consider adding family fun nights at YLCC with entertainment and different age group activities.

12. Monitor program attendance and if participation declines below 70% of expected participation review program for changes or replacement with another activity.

13. Consider creating a nature path on the grounds surrounding the YLCC for the blind with plant identification signage done in Braille as an environmental program to meet the goal of helping disabled persons feel included & socially active.

14. Continue to expand technology based recreation activities with classes on computer & tablet use, digital photography, smart phone applications, movie making, web site building, blogging, and graphic design.

15. Consider creating a “Public Art” program that could include art work in public spaces, use of public facilities as visual art galleries, and an Artist-in-Residency program to create murals and decorate unsightly control boxes.
The Master Plan also contains policies and actions for staff training, safety programs and for community events.

Delivering quality programs effectively and economically requires coordinating and collaborating with other service providers. The Master Plan recommended vision for service provider partnerships is to create a system where equitable partnerships are created and managed with other public agencies, Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District, possible private school(s), not-for-profit organizations, commercial recreation providers, and independent contractors to maximize the City’s resources in meeting the community needs for parks and recreation services.

It should be the City of Yorba Linda’s policy that all partnerships have written agreements that are equitable with measurable outcomes to hold each partner accountable to commitments they make in supporting the partnership agreement.

Recommended action items in the Master Plan to accomplish this are as follows:

1. Annually review all joint use agreements with the Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District for use of facilities to ensure equitable use and each party’s commitment to the terms of the agreement.

2. Establish and measure the impact and cost benefit of all partnership agreements the Parks and Recreation Department has entered into and make any adjustments necessary to meet the vision and policy for service provider and partnerships.

3. Meet at least annually with each partner to review the results of the partnership agreement for the past year and develop a report to the Parks and Recreation Commission and City Council with any recommended changes for the following year.

4. Establish a regional approach to partnerships that will help the City achieve its Master Plan goals for land use, facility development and program delivery.

5. Continue negotiations with Orange County Flood Control District and appropriate County Departments and County elected officials to update the Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center Property Agreement to secure long term use of the site for the equestrian center, either through lease extension or land trade for ownership of the site, to allow for the proposed changes to improve the site and accommodate the demand for equestrian uses.

6. Continue to monitor the options for development of the “West Bastanchury Site” (former “Friends Christian High School” and “Tank Farm” property) and ensure that the final decision for use of the site is consistent with the Parks and Recreation Master Plan for development of facilities and delivery of services.
7. Explore the possibility of a joint development use agreement with Yorba Linda Middle School for the resurfacing, lighting, and upgrading of the outdoor basketball and volleyball courts to meet the practice demands for youth and adult basketball and volleyball programs.

8. Develop a financial plan for how the City and PYLUSD could develop or enhance existing facilities to meet the facility deficits in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

9. Continue to provide staff support and coordination to the Yorba Linda Senior’s Club to ensure future facility improvements, space allocation and program services meet the needs of Yorba Linda seniors.

10. Meet annually with the library staff and board during the budget process to coordinate programs and services to avoid duplication and maximize use of facilities.

11. Explore possible agreements with Yorba Linda arts organizations to provide facilities and support for their community arts events and programs to provide cultural arts activities for the community.

12. Continue to use the Special Equestrian Committee and meet as necessary to review and make recommendations to the Parks and Recreation Commission and the City Council regarding proposed equestrian improvements and recommendations for resolving any scheduling or conflict of use issues dealing with City equestrian arenas and facilities.

13. Continue the regularly scheduled meetings with community sports groups to ensure equitable access to facilities for all groups, to resolve conflicts between groups and to solicit input from the sports groups on proposed changes to fees and facilities.

14. Continue to recruit independent contract instructors to work on a percentage of class fee basis to deliver life-long learning programs, self-improvement classes, fitness programs and general recreation classes.

15. Meet with the County of Orange and State of California officials to explore possible joint use agreements of regional parks that would enable the City to implement its vision for its Parks and Recreation system and access to regional trails.
16. Continue to monitor and coordinate agreements with commercial recreation providers such as the Yorba Linda Ice Rink and the Martial Arts Studios to meet programming demand.

17. Continue to meet with the Yorba Linda Water District to discuss possible use of Water District lands to meet future park requirements.

18. Eliminate unnecessary or entitled partnerships that no longer serve a purpose or meet the City’s vision and mission for park and recreation services.

Paying for the recommendations in the Master Plan will require financial policies that make the best use of resources, generate new sources of revenue, and seek to deliver desired services through partnerships with other service providers.

It is probable that the Park Dedication and In-Lieu Fee Ordinance (Quimby Act Funds) and general revenue to the City will be insufficient to acquire, develop, maintain, and operate recreational programs at existing and new park sites to meet anticipated demands. Other funding sources will have to be identified.

The Master Plan recommends the following financial policies to accomplish this:

1. Continue to require developers of residential subdivisions to provide land or in-lieu fees based on the City's formula of number of units and cost of land to fund parkland acquisition and improvements. Review fees annually.

2. Develop fiscal criteria for the effective allocation of public resources for park and recreation facilities.

3. Identify new sources of funding for the Park Fund.
   a. Continue and expand mechanisms by which the City may accept gifts and dedications of parks, open space and facilities.
   b. Consider the sale of bonds, user fees, assessment districts and other sources that may be identified at a future date for park development and maintenance.
   c. Consider a policy to annually transfer a specified amount of tax revenue annually to the Park Fund from the General Fund to implement the recommended Park Fund Capital Improvement Program.
   d. Consider the establishment of a Community Park Impact Fee Ordinance in addition to the Park Dedication and In-Lieu Fee Ordinance (Quimby Act).

4. Provide recreational facilities which are functional and are designed for cost effective maintenance.
5. Establish agreements wherever possible between the City and other public agencies for the purpose of development, operation, use and maintenance of recreation facilities.

6. Plan recreational facilities to limit liability to the City and to protect the health and safety of citizens utilizing those facilities.

Finally, the Master Plan outlines one of the most important things the public said the Parks and Recreation Department should focus on and that is the maintenance of existing parks and facilities. The appendix of the Master Plan contains maintenance standards and maintenance policies to insure community expectations can be met.

The information and data, along with the policies, recommendations and action items, contained in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan will help guide the parks and recreation department and the City’s elected and appointed officials for the next 20 years in allocating resources, determining community priorities for facilities and programs; and, in developing the financial mechanisms it needs to meet community demands.

1.11 Summary of Reference Documents

The Master Plan process included the review and analysis of a number of existing documents to provide a historical perspective of the Parks and Recreation Department operations. These documents also provided data developed in earlier reports that could be used as baselines for determining levels of service and past community input into what residents’ desire in the way of park and recreation services.

The reference documents reviewed during the Master Plan process included the following:

- City’s Current General Plan
- 1982 Parks and Recreation Master Plan
- 2005 Master Plan of Trails
- Article on “Equestrian Center Feasibility” by Jan Horton on July 25, 2009
- Current and Past Parks and Recreation Program Guides
- Past Parks and Recreation Facility Attendance Reports
- 2012-2013 City Budget
- SCAG 2012 Demographic Report
- Park & Recreation Facility Maintenance Reports
- Park & Recreation Organizational Chart
- Yorba Linda Town Center Survey 2011
- 2004 Needs Assessment & Customer Service Survey
- Yorba Linda Community Profile Report 2010
• Lakebed Equestrian Study
• Special Equestrian Committee Occupancy Survey of Equestrians Centers within 30 miles of Yorba Linda – compiled by Dee Dee Friedrich, President of the Yorba Linda Country Riders
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CHAPTER 2. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND ACTION STRATEGIES

2.1 Introduction

This chapter provides the vision, mission and action strategies, along with specific recommendations, to guide the City of Yorba Linda for the next 20 years in the delivery of its Park and Recreation system. It includes the following sections:

2.2 General Plan Vision for the Parks and Recreation Department

2.3 Mission Statement for the Parks and Recreation Department

2.4 Community Priority Goals for Parks and Recreation

2.5 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Parkland Acquisition

2.6 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Recreation Facilities

2.7 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Recreation Programs

2.8 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Service Provider Partnerships

2.9 Vision, Policy & Strategies for Financial Goals & 10 Year Capital Improvement Program

2.10 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Maintenance Operations

2.2 General Plan Vision

The following vision presents how the City of Yorba Linda Parks and Recreation Department desires to be viewed in the future:

“It is the Parks and Recreation Department’s vision to be a primary provider of choice for park and recreation facilities and programs and to partner with other public and private service providers to meet the leisure time quality of life expectations of all who live and visit Yorba Linda.”

2.3 Mission Statement

In order to accomplish the above vision the Parks and Recreation Department has adopted the following mission statement:

“It is the Park and Recreation Department’s mission to maximize all available resources to deliver well maintained parks, clean and well-functioning recreation facilities, and quality programs, events and community services to the residents and
visitors of Yorba Linda. We will do this through cost effective delivery of services, valuable partnerships with other service providers, maximum use of volunteers, and continued feedback from our community. We will measure our success by constant evaluation and updating of our recreation system. Our primary goal is to improve the quality of life for all who use our services, build community pride, and support the economic and environmental sustainability of Yorba Linda.”

2.3a Mission Objectives – To implement the mission statement the Department shall adhere to the following objectives:

A. Keeping all parks economically viable and open to the public
B. Standardizing and upgrading the information technology infrastructure
C. Efficiently processing grants, projects, paperwork and documents through the City’s procedures
D. Increasing each staff member’s knowledge, skills and abilities through training opportunities
E. Providing park and recreation staff with a stimulating, safe, and challenging work environment
F. Working with City personnel to implement and update the master list of economically feasible facility upgrades that improve the user experience and increase revenue
G. Working with Department personnel to market events and improve the overall quality of existing events
H. Collecting scientific and historical data on facility participation to better inform decision-making
I. Continuing to provide accurate, timely, and targeted reports on program management and analysis for internal and external use
J. Building lasting public and private partnerships to promote local economies, good neighbors, recreation, conservation, tourism and establish sustainable funding for the Department
K. Working with stakeholders to create and promote a strategy for sustainable Department funding
L. Effectively communicate with the public, policy makers, our partners, our peers and ourselves
2.4 Community Priority Goals for Parks and Recreation

During the Master Plan process there was extensive public outreach done to determine what Yorba Linda residents felt should be the goals for park and recreation services for the next 10 years. The goals the community wishes the department to focus on to accomplish their vision and implement their mission include:

- Providing opportunities to enjoy nature and the outdoors
- Promoting youth and teen mental and physical development
- Improving fitness, health and wellness for all ages
- Providing opportunities for lifelong learning and self-development
- Providing cultural opportunities in music, dance, art and theater
- Helping seniors maintain socially active healthy lifestyles
- Connecting people together through events, neighborhood programs and family activities
- Opportunities for adults to participate in physical activities
- Helping disabled persons feel included and socially active

2.5 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Parkland Acquisition

2.5.1 Vision for Land Acquisition - “Our vision for parkland acquisition, trails acquisition and open space preservation is to acquire through parkland, trail, and open space dedication requirements; lease agreements; joint use agreements; and, right-of-way easements; the appropriate level of park type experiences to equitably meet the goals and recreation benefits the community has defined for the Parks and Recreation Department”.

2.5.2 Policy for Land Acquisition – The Parks and Recreation Department shall adopt the following parkland policy standards:

2.5.2a Mini Park Standards - A mini-park typically contains less than 2.5 acres of land. Mini-parks, also termed "vestpocket" parks, contain specialized facilities that serve a concentrated or limited population or specific group such as tots or senior citizens. The service radius is sub-neighborhood, at approximately one-quarter mile maximum. Desirable site characteristics include location within neighborhoods in close proximity to housing developments or senior citizen housing. Limited equipment is provided at these locations, such as tot lot play equipment, benches and picnic areas.

2.5.2b Neighborhood Park Standards – A neighborhood park is any general use local park developed to serve the needs of a particular neighborhood within a community. The size of the park depends on the population within its service area and the extent of desired amenities, but usually ranges from 2.5 to 5.0 acres. Typical neighborhood parks may be within walking or bicycling distance of park users with a service radius of
approximately 1/2 mile. These parks may feature such amenities as children's play areas, ball fields, and open turf areas.

2.5.2c Community Park Standards – A community park is typically a 5.0 to 20.0 + acre site designed to meet the active recreational needs of several neighborhoods. These parks are intended to serve drive-to clientele within a radius of up to three miles. They contain facilities which require more space than neighborhood parks and which may include playfields, basketball courts, tennis courts, swimming pools, community centers and off-street parking.

2.5.2d Greenbelt/Passive Park Standards – Greenbelt parks include landscaped greenbelt areas, parkways, trail easements, and landscape buffers, with a minimum of active recreation equipment. Activities are limited to passive recreation, such as picnicking. Greenbelt parks and neighborhood parks range in size from ½ acre to 3 acres.

2.5.2e Trail Standards – The City of Yorba Linda Master Plan of Trails establishes a coordinated system of riding and hiking trails and bikeways. The following describes the standards for the various types of trails located in Yorba Linda:

**Earthen Multipurpose Trails** - Soft surfaces intended for use by equestrians, hikers, joggers, and some mountain bicyclists where appropriate.

**Paved Multipurpose Trails** - Trails for multiple users (hikers, joggers, equestrians, bicyclists) that do not necessarily meet Class I Bikeway standards because of varying widths and surfaces.

**Paved Trails (Class I Bikeway)** - Paved paths intended primarily for use by bicyclists, pedestrians, those in wheelchairs and those with strollers.

**Bike Lanes (Class II Bikeway)** - Striped, stenciled and signed lanes on streets or highways for the use of bicycles.

**Bike Routes (Class III Bikeway)** - Signed routes along streets or highways, bicycles share travel lanes with motor vehicles.

2.5.2f Parkland per 1,000 Residents Standards – The Yorba Linda City Council just changed its General Plan Park Dedication and Park In-Lieu Fee requirements in January 2014 to 3 acres per 1,000 residents as one tool to help in an effort to address the deficit of local neighborhood and community parkland. Overall, the city exceeds the recommended combined parkland standard of 15 acres per 1,000 residents, but only because of the proximity of three regional parks, the use of school fields and recreation facilities covered by joint-use agreements, and the 219 acre Black Gold Golf Club; all of which provide leisure and recreational facilities for special interest use, but not the park amenities local residents use on a daily basis for leisure and recreational use.
The City is 101.2 acres deficient in meeting its recommended desired standard of 260 acres for the City’s local parkland standard of 4 acres per 1,000 residents. This deficit figure is derived as follows:

**Current total local city parkland acreage equals: 158.8 acres**

(Neighborhood Parks = 86 acres and Community Parks = 72.8 acres) See Table 2.5a

A total local city parkland standard of 4 acres per 1,000 residents would require a total of 260 acres (4 acres x 65,000 /1,000 = 260 acres) to meet the standard.

The local city parkland deficit is thus 260 acres (to meet standard) minus 158.8 acres (current acreage) = 101.2 acres needed to meet recommended standard.

The lack of local city parkland types (neighborhood and community parks) has been offset somewhat by the City being able to enter into joint-use agreements with the Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District for the use of fields and facilities for local community recreation purposes. However, letting developers meet the 4 acres per 1,000 residents requirement by giving 2 acres credit to developers for school fields under the City’s old Parkland Dedication and In-Lieu Fee Ordinance requirements, has been the main reason for the deficit of local city neighborhood and community parks in Yorba Linda. This old policy has resulted in the City only receiving 2 acres of city local parkland per 1,000 residents, which is even below the Quimby Act requirement for local agencies to receive a minimum of 3 acres per 1,000 residents.

In January 2014, the City of Yorba Linda adopted a Parks and Recreation Dedication and In Lieu Fee Ordnance. The policy amendments included:

- Increasing the amount of parkland dedication and in-lieu fee requirements from 2 acres to 3 acres per 1,000 population.
- Removal of previously allowed credit of up to 50% of any required in-lieu fees where the proposed development provided private open space for park and recreation purposes.
- Adding a Section to the Municipal Code consistent with recent changes in State law (AB1359) allowing the City to use Quimby Act fees outside the neighborhood of the development from which the fees were collected.

If City Council is desirous of requiring 4 acres of local city parkland dedication per 1,000 persons instead of the current policy of 3 acres per 1,000 persons, it should seriously pursue acquiring at least another 68.9 acres of local city parkland in order to accomplish this goal.

A goal of 975 acres of total combined parkland has been established for Yorba Linda, based on the recommended combined parkland standard of 15 acres per 1,000 residents (15 acres X 65,000 / 1,000 = 975 acres). This total combined parkland
standard reflects what a city should strive to attain to provide a suitable quality of life in terms of recreational, educational, and leisure time opportunities for all its citizens. The combined parkland standard consists of one (1) acre of mini-park/linear park/greenbelt parkland per 1,000 residents, four (4) acres of local city neighborhood and community parkland per 1,000 residents, and ten (10) acres of regional parkland per 1,000 residents (See Table 2.5a).

In 2013 the City of Yorba Linda currently has 1,071.17 acres of improved mini parks, linear parks, greenbelts, local neighborhood and community parks, and regional parks (including school fields under a joint-use agreement with the PYLUSD and facilities and special use areas within the City's planning area). Thus, the City slightly exceeds the recommended total combined parkland acreage. However, as pointed out in Table 2.5d the reason for exceeding the total combined parkland acreage standard is due to the close proximity of three regional parks and the City’s municipal golf course, which offset the large deficit of local neighborhood and community parkland.

The following charts (2.5a, 2.5b and 2.5c) show the park standards, current parkland acreage by parkland classification, and the deficits in the local city parkland types.

**Table 2.5a Recreation Parkland Standards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park Classification</th>
<th>Recommended Standard Acres Developed Parkland Per 1,000 Residents</th>
<th>Typical Size Range</th>
<th>Typical Service Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quimby</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Neighborhood Parks</td>
<td>2.0 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td>2.5 Acres to 5 Acres</td>
<td>Neighborhoods within 1/2 Mile Radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Community Parks</td>
<td>2.0 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td>5 Acres to 20+ Acres</td>
<td>Neighborhood Communities within 1/2- 3 Mile Radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Quimby Recommendation</td>
<td>4.0 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Parks/Greenbelts</td>
<td>1.0 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td>Varies, usually 2,500 Sq. Ft to 2.5 acres</td>
<td>Neighborhood to Sub-Neighborhoods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Parks</td>
<td>10 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td>40 Acres +/-</td>
<td>Regional Service Area within 30 Minute Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non Quimby Parkland Recommendation</td>
<td>11 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Standard for Total Combined Park Acres</td>
<td>15 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities &amp; Special Use Areas</td>
<td>No Specific Standard, Counted in Total Parkland Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td>Large Enough to Accommodate Intended Use</td>
<td>Community Neighborhoods to Citywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Areas Under Joint-Use Agreements</td>
<td>No Specific Standard, Counted in Total Parkland Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td>Includes school sports fields, courts, and play areas covered by joint-use agreement</td>
<td>Community Neighborhoods to Citywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Park Classifications specifically subject to Quimby Act submissions*
Acreages shown in the following Table 2.5b reflect the acreage of usable park land. Portions of parcels not used for park land (slopes, adjacent streets, etc.) are not included in the acreage calculations (per Quimby Act requirements). Per Quimby Act language that counts parkland acreage to the nearest one-half acre the acreage totals shown have been rounded to the nearest ½ acre for counting the parkland acreage available toward meeting Quimby Act requirements.

Table 2.5b Current Yorba Linda Local City Parkland Acreage Subject to Quimby Act Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park/Facility</th>
<th>Park Classification</th>
<th>Number of Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neighborhood Parks (Subject to Quimby Act Requirements)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda Police Services Facility &amp; Arroyo Park*</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Canyon Park</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush Canyon Park**</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont Knolls Tennis Park</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Woodard Park**</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessamyn West Park**</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsbriar Park</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Palomas Tennis Park</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Del Oro Park</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roland E. Bigonger Park</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio Park**</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapell Park**</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis Ranch Youth Park**</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Del Verde Park**</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Del Verde II Park</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Neighborhood Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>94.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Parks (Subject to Quimby Act Requirements)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Ranch Park</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastside Community Park</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurless Barton Park</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Lasorda Jr. Field House &amp; Adventure Playground</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Park</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda Community Center</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda High School Park (Future)</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Community Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>64.3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Local City Parkland Subject to Quimby Act</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>158.8 acres</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quimby Eligible Acres Per 1,000 Persons (Based on a population of 65,000)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2.44 acres</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes Yorba Linda Police Services Facility, which sets on park property and could be used for a neighborhood community center if not used for a police facility in the future and thus can be counted in meeting Quimby requirements.

** Functions as a Community Park because of the need to put organized community youth sports groups on the site to meet field demand because of the lack of community parks in Yorba Linda for youth sports. Addition of more community parks for youth sports could lessen some of the impact organized youth sports have on these sites.
### Table 2.5c Current Yorba Linda Parkland Types Not Subject to Quimby Act Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park/Facility</th>
<th>Park Classification</th>
<th>Total Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mini Parks, Linear Parks, and Greenbelts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominguez Trail</td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
<td>17.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun Club Road Linear Park (Future)</td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Brisas Park</td>
<td>Mini Park</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucia Kust Park</td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Lampa Park</td>
<td>Mini Park</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Mini/Greenbelts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>27.28</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schools Joint-Use Agreement (Schools in Italics are City Maintained)</strong> (Acres reflect fields, courts &amp; recreation facilities, not school buildings)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernardo Yorba Middle School &amp; Recreation Facilities</td>
<td>Middle School</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bryant Ranch School &amp; Recreation Facilities</strong></td>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont School Recreation Facilities</td>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenknoll School and Recreation Facilities</td>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Vista School &amp; Recreation Facilities</td>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mabel Paine School &amp; Recreation Facilities</td>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Drive School &amp; Recreation Facilities</td>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travis Ranch School &amp; Recreation Facilities</strong></td>
<td>Middle School &amp; Activity Center</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yorba Linda Middle School &amp; Recreation Facilities</strong></td>
<td>Middle School Sports Fields &amp; Courts</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Schools Joint-Use (Acres are Approximate)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>61.6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facilities &amp; Special Use Areas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Gold Golf Club</td>
<td>Special Use</td>
<td>219.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casino Ridge Staging Area</td>
<td>Special Use</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yorba Linda Library</strong></td>
<td>Special Use</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center</td>
<td>Special Use</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter Horse Staging Area</td>
<td>Special Use</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susanna Bixby Bryant Museum &amp; Botanic Garden</td>
<td>Special Use</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total City Facilities/Special Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>229.80</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional Parks (Within Yorba Linda Planning Area)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Regional Park</td>
<td>OC Parks</td>
<td>105.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Canyon Regional Park</td>
<td>OC Parks</td>
<td>124.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Featherly Regional Park</td>
<td>OC Parks</td>
<td>364.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Regional Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>593.69</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Parkland Not Subject to Quimby</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>912.37</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Combined Parkland (Includes Quimby and Non-Quimby parkland classifications)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,071.17</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Library is owned by the City and maintained by the Parks and Recreation Department and programmed for leisure activities and community use by the Library Department, which qualifies it as parkland under Quimby eligible parkland acreage.
The above parkland categories can be counted when determining if Yorba Linda meets its desired standard of 15 acres per 1,000 persons for Total Combined Parkland as shown in Table 2.5a Recreational Parkland Standards. The acreage shown are the actual acreages reported by the City, School District and County of Orange.

Table 2.5d Yorba Linda Parkland Acres Compared to Recommended Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park Classification</th>
<th>Recommended Standard Acres Per 1,000 Residents</th>
<th>Current Yorba Linda Parkland Acres</th>
<th>Acres Required to Meet Standard</th>
<th>Surplus or (Deficit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Parkland Subject to Quimby Act</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Parks</td>
<td>2.0 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>(35.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Parks</td>
<td>2.0 Acres Per 1,000 Residents</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>(65.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>4 acres per 1,000</td>
<td>158.8 acres</td>
<td>260 acres</td>
<td>(101.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Other Parkland Standards Not Subject to Quimby | | | |
| Mini Parks/Linear Parks/Greenbelts | 1 Acre Per 1,000 Residents | 27.28 | 65 | (37.72) |
| School Fields (Joint-Use Agreement with PYLUSD) | No Recommended Standard – Can be counted as city parkland if under joint-use agreement | 61.6 | NA | 61.6 |
| Regional Parks | 10.0 Acres Per 1,000 Residents | 593.69 | 650 | (56.31) |
| Facilities & Special Use Areas | No Recommended Standard. Counted in Total Parkland Acres Per 1,000 Residents | 230.30 | NA | 230.30 |

| Recommended Total Combined Park Acres to Meet Standard | 15 Acres X 65,000 Residents Divided by 1,000 = 975 Acres | Current Yorba Linda Total = 1,071.17 | 975 | 96.17 |

2.5.3 Strategies and Recommendations for Parkland Acquisition

2.5.3a The City’s current standard is to now provide a minimum of 3 acres of local city parkland per 1,000 residents per the Quimby Act which was accomplished by amending the General Plan and the Park Dedication and In-Lieu Fee Ordinance to require the dedication of 3 acres per 1,000 persons. The City amended and adopted the Park Dedication and In-Lieu Fee Ordinance most recently in January 2014.
Park acquisition efforts, along with Park Dedication/In-Lieu Fee requirements, should concentrate on local neighborhood and community parks where the largest deficits exist. The City should insure that future residential developments are required to dedicate a minimum of 3 acres per one thousand residents, which is the Quimby Act standard for these types of parks (i.e. neighborhood and community parks).

2.5.3b Acquire or obtain parkland in underserved areas of the City - The City should seek to acquire through parkland, open space, and trail dedication requirements; lease and extended long term lease agreements, joint use agreements; and easements of balance of mini parks, neighborhood parks, community parks, passive open space, special use parks, and trails in underserved areas of the City based on equity maps in the Park and Recreation Master Plan by working with the residential developers, Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District, private schools and churches, non-profit agencies and organizations, commercial developers, and the County of Orange to meet the adopted parkland standards for Yorba Linda.

The parks map Figure 2-1 shows the underserved areas of the city to be the far western areas and the northeastern areas of the city. The western areas of the city are built out and finding parkland will be limited to infill properties, greenbelts, and existing developed properties that can be purchased and reused for the park purposes. “An example of this would be to continue negotiations with Orange County Flood Control District and appropriate County Departments and County elected officials to update the Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center Property Agreement to secure long term use of the site for the equestrian center, either through lease extension or land trade for ownership of the site, to allow for the proposed changes to improve the site and accommodate the demand for equestrian uses.” The eastern portions of the City are mostly hillsides and canyons, however, it is not built out like the western areas of the City are so opportunities for park acquisition or dedication exist when and if these areas are developed.
This page intentionally left blank.
Legend:
- Parks
- Schools

Map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BERNARDO YORBA MIDDLE SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BLACK GOLD GOLF CLUB</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BOX CANYON PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BRUSH CANYON PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BRYANT RANCH PARK</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BRYANT RANCH SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CARBON CANYON REGIONAL PARK</td>
<td>Regional Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CASINO RIDGE STAGING AREA</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DOMINGUEZ TRAIL</td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>EASTSIDE COMMUNITY PARK</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>FAIRMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>FAIRMONT KNOLLS TENNIS PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>FEATHERLY REGIONAL PARK</td>
<td>Regional Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>GLENKNOLL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>GUN CLUB LINEAR PARK (FUTURE)</td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>HURLESS BARTON PARK</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>JEAN WOODWARD PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>JESSAMYN WEST PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>KINGSBRIAR PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>LAS BRISAS PARK</td>
<td>Mini Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>LAS PALOMAS TENNIS PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>LINDA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>LUCIA KUST PARK</td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>MABEL PAINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>PHILLIP S PAXTON EQUESTRIAN CENTER</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>QUARTER HORSE STAGING AREA</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>RIO DEL ORO PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>ROLAND E BIGONGER PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>ROSE DRIVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>SHAPELL PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>SUSANNA BIXBY BRYANT MUSEUM AND BOTANICAL GARDEN</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>THOMAS LASORDA JR FIELD HOUSE AND ADVENTURE PLAYGROUND</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>TRAVIS RANCH SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>TRAVIS RANCH YOUTH PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>VETERANS PARK</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>VISTA DEL VERDE PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>VISTA DEL VERDE PARK II</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>VISTA LAMPARA PARK</td>
<td>Mini Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>YORBA LINDA COMMUNITY CENTER</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>YORBA LINDA HIGH SCHOOL PARK (FUTURE)</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>YORBA LINDA POLICE SERVICES FACILITY AND ARROYO PARK</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>YORBA LINDA MIDDLE SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>YORBA LINDA PUBLIC LIBRARY</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>YORBA REGIONAL PARK</td>
<td>Regional Park</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5.3c Acquire a large enough park site for a multi-purpose community center and sports complex, preferably east of Fairmont Blvd. - The City should pursue the acquisition through parkland and open space dedication requirements; or lease agreements, or outright acquisition a large enough park site to develop a future community park site with recommended facilities and amenities as referenced in this section.

2.5.3d Work with the Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District, or possible private school(s), to insure any new school development includes a joint use agreement for fields, courts and gymnasiums. The City can decrease its neighborhood and community parkland deficit in the future by getting public access to school fields, courts and recreational facilities through joint development and joint use agreements whereby the two agencies share development and operational costs in exchange for equitable access for parks and recreation programs.

2.5.3e Continue to monitor the options for development of the “West Bastanchury Site” (former “Friends Christian High School” and adjoining “Tank Farm” property) and ensure that the final decision for use of the site is consistent with the Parks and Recreation Master Plan for development of facilities and delivery of services. - The West Bastanchury Site (former “Friends Christian High School” and “Tank Farm” property) could provide needed fields and recreation facilities or a possible site for a future equestrian center. Continue to monitor the options for use of the site, financing plans and development plans to insure that the site is considered as a way to meet parkland requirements for neighborhood or community parks for community sports fields and/or equestrian uses.

2.5.3f Acquire park space to accommodate full-size soccer fields (110 x 70 yards) or reconfigure existing facilities. To meet the needs of a wide spectrum of users, it is recommended that the City acquire park space to accommodate full-size (110 x 70 yards soccer fields), or reconfigure existing. Parks to accommodate full size soccer fields.

2.5.3g Look for ways to acquire mini-park and greenbelts to reach the recommended standard of one acre per 1,000 residents. - A significant portion of Yorba Linda is devoted to open space. Much of it is in large residential lots, particularly in hillside areas. It is the large amount of open space that establishes the rural character of the City. Open space provides residents a sense of community identity, a high standard of living and civic pride. It is one of the primary reasons why residents have chosen to live in the City. A careful blending of development and open space within the City is essential in the continuation and enhancement of quality of life in the City. The preservation and maintenance of open space in the form of mini-parks and greenbelts is one of the major unifying values of residents.
Mini-parks and greenbelts can preserve sensitive lands, such as steep slopes, cultural resources and sensitive habitats. They can also preserve and protect the scenic and visual quality of canyon and hillside areas as a resource of public importance. Mini-parks and greenbelts can be acquired through dedication as a part of subdivision developments, easement requirements for utility right-of-ways, as part of flood control channels and retention basins, parcel alignments, road abandonments, and trail dedications. Open space and environmental grants for outright acquisition should also be explored.

2.5.3h Establish a trail system that meets the riding, hiking and bicycling needs of residents. Yorba Linda provides a comprehensive system of riding and hiking trails and bikeways. The intent of this policy is to afford citizens opportunities for trail oriented recreation activities. These facilities are intended to also enhance open space opportunities by providing non-vehicular linkages to open space areas and recreational facilities. Several of the trails are part of a regional system that provides linkages to Chino Hills State Park and the Orange County Santa Ana River Trail facilities located outside of the City. Expansion of such linkages is recommended to continue the trails network as a valued component of the open space system. A needed facility is a bridge or tunnel across Yorba Linda Boulevard.

Strategies include requiring the dedication of right-of-way and construction of public trails as a condition of approval of development projects; separating trails from vehicular traffic wherever possible; creating bikeways which correlate with the circulation system; providing for the construction of trailhead staging areas and trail connections that provide access to local and regional trails; completing the equestrian trail network through the community, with particular emphasis on extension of trails through the Shell and Murdock properties, and connections into Chino Hills State Park; continuing trail systems maintenance per the maintenance standards set forth in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan; routing bikeways and multi-purpose trails to facilitate access to open space areas, recreational facilities, schools and shopping areas; locating trails along designated scenic corridors wherever environmentally, physically, and economically feasible; encouraging commercial, office, industrial and multi-family residential developers to provide local bicycle trails and rack facilities within their projects as conditions of development; and including hardscape pathways as a design element in all new park development.

2.5.3i Ensure a park Master Planning process that is responsive to community input. To establish procedures for the planning for new parkland in the City and major modifications to existing parks, and in order to ensure that these new parks met the needs of both their local neighborhoods and the City as a whole, the City Council directed that certain guidelines be developed which provide for input from the Council, the Parks and Recreation Commission and area residents when parks are improved or
developed. Therefore, the Parks and Recreation Department should follow the policy of the City of Yorba Linda City Council that parkland development or major modification be done so only after prescribed public review of plans to ensure consistency with City and neighborhood needs as well as with the General Plan. The following seven steps constitute the City of Yorba Linda’s park planning process which should be adhered to prior to the development or modification of City parkland:

**Step 1** - City acquires property utilizing available funds or takes dedication of property under Quimby Act. The Quimby Act is a State law which requires housing developers to contribute land or pay development fees in order to accommodate the parkland requirements contained in the City’s General Plan.

**Step 2** - City Staff develops tentative plan for individual parks based on facilities identified in the Parks and Recreation Element of the General Plan and the Park & Recreation Master Plan. Other considerations for this tentative plan include available resources and limitations of the property.

**Step 3** - The Parks and Recreation Commission reviews the tentative plan to verify consistency with the Parks & Recreation Master Plan and General Plan Parks and Recreation Element.

**Step 4** - Residents living within a minimum of 300 feet of the park site, as well as other potential users, are invited to give testimony on the tentative plan before the Parks and Recreation Commission. The notification area may be expanded if the proposed park site will be a community park.

**Step 5** - Based on input received, limitations of the property, financial considerations and the need to satisfy particular requirements set forth in the Parks & Recreation Master Plan and General Plan Parks Element, a final plan is submitted to the Parks and Recreation Commission. Prior to the creation of a final plan, City Council approval and authorization of funds for construction and ongoing maintenance is required. Once again, area residents and other potential users are invited to comment on the final plan.

**Step 6** - The City Council reviews the final plan for approval. Area residents and other potential users may once again comment.

**Step 7** - Upon approval of the final plan by the City Council, staff prepares plans and specifications for the park, advertises for bids and awards a construction contract. Construction will generally commence within six-months of City Council approval.

### 2.6 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Recreation Facilities

#### 2.6.1 Vision for Recreation Facilities

“Our vision for recreation facilities in Yorba Linda is to provide for the needs of all residents and visitors based on the facility and
recreation amenity priorities outlined in the Demand and Needs Analysis of the Park and Recreation Master Plan that support the existing and future population of the City”.

2.6.2 Policy for Recreation Facilities – To meet the recreation and amenity standards outlined in the Master Plan to support a variety of users and experiences for existing and future residents, the Parks and Recreation Department shall adhere to the facility policy of providing a variety of recreation facilities to support the programming desired by the community for all age groups including preschool/toddlers, youth/teens, adults and seniors.

2.6.3 Strategies/Recommendations for Recreation Facilities:

The “Demand and Needs Analysis” process identified a number of facilities the community said they would like to have in Yorba Linda. The list of facilities needed or desired by the community has been prioritized into three categories:

- **“Need”** – *Priority projects for funding and allocation of resources to meet current needs*

- **“Demand”** – *Projects that community outreach and comparison studies show there is a demand for in the community if funding and resources can be obtained*

- **“Desire”** – *Projects that the community desires if there is a way to fund them in the future*

Facilities classified as “Need” and should be pursued in the 20 year Capital Improvement Program are:

- **Walking Trails and Paths** – During the public outreach, walking trails and paths were mentioned as needed facilities for all age groups, families, seniors, etc. for exercise, fitness and healthy lifestyles. The following strategies should be used to insure there are safe places for informal walking and organized walking programs:
  - Include hardscape walking paths in all new neighborhood and community park design and when retrofitting or upgrading existing parks
  - Use walkways to connect park amenities to each other and connect the walkways to perimeter walking paths
  - Wherever possible connect neighborhood sidewalks to trail systems to provide hiking access
  - Consider utility right-of-ways and flood channels as potential trails and walking paths and work with agencies that own the right-of-ways to develop hardscape trails
• Look for ways to establish or upgrade trailhead signage and access to existing trail systems

• Include 1/4 mile markers on walking paths

• Light walking paths and walkways to make evening walking safer

• When designing new park walkways, make walkways wide enough for public safety vehicles to patrol

• Try to avoid putting perimeter walkways in parks directly adjacent to residential homes

• Neighborhood Parks – While there was sentiment during the public outreach process that it would be desirable to bundle park dedication requirements for neighborhood parks into larger parcels that could be developed into community parks that would serve several neighborhoods, recreation trends show that residents treasure and want their neighborhood parks and the Master Plan public outreach confirmed that the Yorba Linda community, while wanting large community parks, did not want to “trade-off” neighborhood park development for community parks. Therefore, it is recommended the City’s Parks and Recreation Element of the General Plan contain the following policy:

“*Yorba Linda recognizes the need and desire for mini parks/greenbelts, neighborhood parks and community parks and shall work to insure all areas of the City have a balance of park types to meet all resident’s needs.*”

• Multipurpose Lighted Fields – The Demand and Needs Analysis shows that fields for existing youth and adult programs are adequate to meet current demand; however, it also indicates a need for larger lighted multi-purpose fields including full-size soccer (110 x 70 yards) that can be configured for various age groups from youth through adults. The ideal strategy would be to secure a site large enough to develop a community park containing a multi-purpose lighted sports complex. Future multipurpose field needs could also be met by including sports fields in all new neighborhood and community park developments and by insuring that new school development contains joint use agreements for sports fields.

• Multi-Age Softball Fields – The City of Yorba Linda leases three softball fields part-time from the City of Anaheim to run the Yorba Linda adult softball program. This situation limits the City’s ability to meet both existing and future demand for youth and adult softball. The recommended strategy would be to include multi-age softball fields in either a multi-purpose community park development or secure additional softball fields through leases with the School District or other agencies.
• **Outdoor Basketball and Volleyball Courts** – The Demand and Needs Analysis and the community outreach results both indicate a high demand for additional outdoor basketball and volleyball courts to accommodate the practice needs of the various youth and adult basketball and volleyball programs. One strategy could be to develop a joint use agreement with the Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District for the resurfacing, upgrading and lighting of the outdoor basketball and volleyball courts at Yorba Linda Middle School. Additional strategies would include adding outdoor basketball and volleyball courts to existing park sites and including basketball and volleyball courts in new neighborhood and community park developments.

• **A Community Park with multi-purpose lighted sports fields, a community center with classrooms, studios, preschool facilities, and space for cultural arts activities** - The public outreach and Facility Needs Assessment indicate a high demand for an additional community park with a multi-purpose community center. The existing Yorba Linda Community Center is operating at above capacity and participating ratios show that an additional community center is needed within the City. Ideally this community center would be located in the Eastern portion of the City to serve current and future residential development in this area. Specific amenities for a community park in addition to a multi-purpose community center with classrooms, studios and pre-school facilities, would include a sports complex, a spray pool/water feature, an outdoor amphitheater, walking paths, and group picnic shelters. Identifying a potential site and securing funding within the next ten years should be a strategic goal for the City.

Facilities classified as “**Demand**” for which funding outside the annual Capital Improvement Program should be pursued include:

• **Equestrian Arenas/Event Center/Boarding Facility** – As part of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan process an Equestrian Needs and Feasibility Study was completed (see Chapter 3). This study pointed out the demand for equestrian arenas and support facilities for residents to use on a daily basis as well as to accommodate regional equestrian competitions and shows hosted by the equestrian clubs that partner with the City. Strategies to accomplish this include possible land dedication through development agreements, land acquisition and possible leased land options.

• **Splash/Water Play Amenities** – This was a highly requested park amenity during the public input process and the recommended strategies would be to include a splash/water play amenity in a future community park acquisition and development. The population ratios indicate that the City could support the demand for two such facilities so the City should review existing parks to see if there is an adequate location to add a splash/water play amenity.
• **Additional Equestrian Trails** – Equestrian trails were extremely important to the community as indicated by the resident feedback in the community survey. Equestrian trails serve two purposes in the City, they provide recreational opportunities for equestrians and hikers and they provide the aesthetics and image residents cherish branding Yorba Linda as an historical equestrian community. The City should continue to require trail dedication for all new residential development, look for ways to connect trails through hard surface links, and create trail heads to provide easy access for residents.

• **Off-Leash Dog Parks** – The facility comparisons show that the City could support the demand for two off leash dog parks. The ideal strategy would be to provide an off leash park in the Western portion of Yorba Linda and in the Eastern portion. Studies show that dog parks attract a user radius of 5-10 miles. If a proper location cannot be secured in an existing park then strategies to acquire an adequate location(s) should be pursued.

• **Visual/Performing Arts Center** – The cultural focus groups and cultural surveys indicate a high demand for visual and performing arts. There are two strategies that could be pursued. The City could include gallery space and theatre amenities in a future library development or multi-purpose community center development. The City could also partner with the Yorba Linda Spot Light Theatre, Yorba Linda Arts Alliance as well as the Southern California Philharmonic (formally the Yorba Linda Orchestra) and other cultural groups to develop a strategic plan for the acquisition and development of a Yorba Linda Performing Arts Center, or joint use agreements with the Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District for use of the new Performing Arts Center at El Dorado High School.

Facilities classified as “Desire” and should be pursued when funding opportunities become available include:

• **Municipal Pool Complex** – A municipal swimming pool was mentioned in several of the public outreach tools as a desirable facility residents would like to have in Yorba Linda. The City meets the current demand for swim lessons, water safety programs and recreational swim; however, there is a significant number of people who would support the development of a municipal pool complex to complement existing aquatic programs. If the City proceeds in the future with the development of a large community park with a sports complex it should consider adding a swimming pool complex as one of the site amenities. Another strategy would be to partner with the north Orange County YMCA in a joint development project for an aquatics facility. Finally, a pool complex could be included in the development plans for the West Bastanchury site (formerly “Friends Christian High School” and “Tank Farm” property) and shared joint use through the potential development agreement.
• **New Senior Center** – The City currently dedicates space in the Yorba Linda Community Center for Senior Programs. Senior surveys indicated a desire for larger game and card rooms, additional studios for cultural classes, and a fitness center for seniors as well as other age groups. Strategies could include expanding the existing Yorba Linda Community Center to the east on what is now a large lawn area and specifically dedicate this space to senior services with the requested amenities. Another strategy would be to include a “senior wing” on a new community center if that project is pursued in the future. If in the future an elementary school site becomes available for acquisition the City could consider acquiring it to transform into a full service senior center.

• **Skate Park** – The Teen Action Committee (TAC) listed a skate park as a top priority for facilities that could serve youth and teens. However, the community survey, stakeholder interviews and community focus group results indicate that a very low percentage of residents favor building a skate park. There does not appear to be a good location within the existing park system to designate as a skate park site. To be successful skate parks need to be in an easily accessible location highly visible (for security purposes) and away from residential or commercial properties. The recommended strategy is for the City to remain aware that this is a desirable facility for a special interest group and that if a location and opportunity for funding a skateboard park presents itself the City should pursue such a facility.

• **New City Gymnasium** – The gyms at the Thomas Lasorda Jr. Field House and Travis Ranch Activity Center currently operate at capacity with shared use between the school district and the City. The Demand and Needs Analysis indicated that there would be future demand for a third gymnasium dedicated to City programs and community groups that partner with the City to deliver indoor sports programs. If a new community center and sports complex is pursued, the City should consider adding a multi-purpose gym that can accommodate youth and adult basketball, volleyball, futsal, pickle ball, and indoor soccer. It would be most advantageous if a new gymnasium was constructed next to a senior services center with a fitness facility so that locker rooms, showers, and restrooms could be a shared use.

• **Additional Outdoor Amphitheater** – The demand for more community events, particularly those with entertainment, were a highly requested item during the public input process. The community would like to see more concerts in the park, outdoor performing arts presentations, movie nights, and other family fun activities. Providing a second amphitheater in the eastern portion of the City would provide the Parks and Recreation Department two venues for which to program community events and to work with community partners for festivals and special events to provide residents with year around activities they can attend. Possible strategies include designing an amphitheater into a future community park in the eastern area.
of the City or working with the County of Orange on a joint development and use project to include an amphitheater in one of the regional facilities.

- **Fitness Center** – The primary requests for a City fitness center came from the senior surveys and focus groups. However, input from all age groups indicated a desire for affordable fitness programs and a fitness center that could complement health and wellness activities at the Community Center. One strategy the City could undertake would be to see if dedicating one of the meeting rooms at the Yorba Linda Community Center for a fitness room with state of the art equipment would be a popular addition with the current users of the community center. If the City were to pursue an expansion of the Yorba Linda Community Center it should consider a fitness facility among the amenities in the expansion. Finally, if a new community center with a senior center wing is built, it should definitely have a fitness center designed into the site.

- **Tennis Center** – According to the Demand and Needs Analysis the City has a three court deficiency in meeting the current demand for tennis courts. There are several strategies the City could pursue, including adding additional courts at existing park sites, adding additional courts to joint use agreements with the schools or YMCA, and pursuing the development of a new tennis center at a location to be determined. A new tennis center should contain a minimum of eight courts to meet current and future demand for instruction, leagues, and open play. An eight court facility could also host tournaments, contain a pro shop and food service area, and be operated as a membership facility to be financially self-sustaining. Development of a tennis center should also include paddle ball and pickle ball courts as these are growing recreational activities and residents showed an interest in having these facilities in the community surveys.

- **Public Art** – A public art program is another specialized activity which appeals to a certain segment of the community. Public art or “art in public places” has shown the ability to provide a sense of place, aesthetically improve areas, and reduce vandalism. Public art projects can take the form of identifiable monument signs, “Wayfinder” signage programs, murals, ornamental gardens, and sculpture pieces identifying local historic events. Including art in the design of public facilities and buildings as part of the overall architectural theme is another way to incorporate art into public places. Yorba Linda should look at how other cities have implemented public art and use successful strategies if it wishes to pursue such projects.

The list of capital projects compiled by the Parks and Recreation Department over the years represented a wide range of project types, scope, and budgets, from basic equipment upgrades to entirely new structures. In terms of objectives, each new capital project should fall into one or more of the following categories:
• Improved access for all users — renovation projects to reduce accessibility barriers and generally make the facilities more user-friendly for customers of all ability levels.

• General/deferred maintenance — renovation projects to address wear and tear on existing facilities and prepare them to continue to serve the community for the next decades.

• Enhance revenue — projects to strengthen the City’s ability to generate revenue through increasing rental space, expanding program capacity, and improving facilities to attract new users and season pass holders.

• Enhance efficiency — projects to reduce City operating and utilities costs through strategies such as increased energy efficiency, reduced equipment repair and replacement, or reduced maintenance labor.

• Enhance programs — facility projects to improve the quality, participant experience, and range of programs and special events that the City can offer.

• Enhance the Yorba Linda “Image” — projects that enhance the customer experience and upgrade the aesthetics of City’s facilities to reflect the high quality of its programs, services, staff, and community expectations.

1) Recommended Improvements to Individual Parks and Facilities

Each year there are unforeseen improvements or design projects that need to be undertaken in an expedient manner to respond to issues that cannot wait until the annual budget process. There are also park rehabilitation projects, such as irrigation repair, that must be done in a timely manner to keep facilities functioning properly. Therefore, it is recommended that the annual Capital Improvement Program contain funding to address these types of timely issues. The current design issues at existing parks that have been addressed and completed this past budget cycle include the Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center Improvements Design, Vista Verde Park Conceptual Design, and the future Yorba Linda High School Park Design. Recently completed design issues at existing parks include Hurless Barton Irrigation Renovation Design and the Hurless Barton Amphitheater Renovation Design. Current critical repair and rehab projects that have been addressed and completed this past budget cycle include the repair of the irrigation booster pump at Eastside Community Park, to correct irrigation complaints, and the removal of the worn out baseball backstop at Jean Woodard Park. Outstanding critical repair and rehab projects that are currently being addressed in 2013-2014 include the ongoing parking lot rehabilitation at various park sites and the ongoing ADA improvements at various sites.
Each year there will be design and repair projects that will be unforeseen during the annual budget process, so planning ahead with an annual fund to address these types of issues will insure that parks and facilities are keep operating in a safe and efficient manner.

2) Twenty Year Capital Improvement Program Recommendations

The analysis of the community outreach tools and the results of the community priorities formed the basis for the Capital Improvement Program recommendations for existing facilities, additions/amenities for existing facilities and for new facilities. The CIP recommendations have been divided into three levels so that decisions on the allocation of financial resources can be made based on community priority needs. The three levels are:

Level 1 - Priority projects for funding and allocation of resources to meet current needs

Level 2 - Projects that community outreach and comparison studies show there is a demand for in the community if funding and resources can be obtained

Level 3 - Projects that the community desires if there is a way to fund them in the future

There may be a situation whereby a Level 2 or Level 3 project gets funded before a Level 1 project depending on funding sources. For example, if the community decides it is willing to support a Park Bond for a new community park with a sports complex, that project may be funded before a Level 1 project from the Park Fund, because the Park Fund has not received enough revenue yet to fund the Level 1 project.

Finding a permanent revenue stream for the Park Fund, such as a new Park Impact Fee, in addition to the Quimby required fee, would enhance the City’s ability to implement the CIP, as projects are built as income is received into the Park Fund, i.e. Level 1 projects get funded first, then Level 2 and so on, unless there is a grant, donation, special funding or bond that would fund a project sooner. Some projects benefit the entire city and are recommended to be funded from the General Fund, or they are not an eligible expense of the Park Fund and need to be paid for from the General Fund.
### Table 2.6 - 20 Year Capital Improvement Program Recommendations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Level 1 Current Needs</th>
<th>Level 2 Current Demand</th>
<th>Level 3 Community Desired</th>
<th>Recommended Funding Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Park Improvements (ongoing)</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Rehabilitation Projects (ongoing)</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Fund &amp; General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Ranch Park Phase II Lighted Basketball Court</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillip Paxton Equestrian Center Imp’s</td>
<td>$320,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General Fund/Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Del Oro Park Playground Equipment</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont Knolls/Gun Club Parkway Design</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Del Verde I Playground Area</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Del Verde II Construction Phase I</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Del Verde II Construction Phase II</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastside Park Phase III</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Ranch Park Phase II Completion</td>
<td></td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont Knolls/Gun Club Parkway Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Fund &amp; General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLCC Wedding &amp; Events Plaza</td>
<td></td>
<td>$574,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Athletic Field/Facility Lighting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Community Park with Sports Complex/Gymnasium on West Bastanchury site (formerly “Friends Christian High School” and “Tank Farm” property)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,000,000</td>
<td>Park Fund, Fund Raising, Grants, possible Park Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Community Center/Senior Center/With 200 Seat Community Theater (Could be combined with future community sports park above)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>Grants, Fund Raising, Joint Development Agreement, Private Foundation Donation and possible Park Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Tennis Center (10 league courts, 2 stadium courts &amp; clubhouse)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>Revenue Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Pool Complex (50 meter competition pool, lesson/lap pool, water play area, support facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,500,000</td>
<td>Park Fund/Development Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Outdoor Amphitheater (3.5 acre site with 2500 seating capacity with grass seating, stage and shade covering)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,750,000</td>
<td>Park Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Equestrian Boarding &amp; Events Center (8-10 acre site with lighted arena, practice arena, 75-125 stalls, and support amenities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>Equestrian Fund, Developer Dedication, Concession</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level 1:

- **TOTALS:** $5,295,000

### Level 2:

- **TOTALS:** $5,774,000

### Level 3:

- **TOTALS:** $60,050,000

---

**Note:** Estimates do not include land acquisition costs and are provided as examples of what these type of facilities could be expected to cost. Size, number of amenities, quality of construction, and market factors could substantially change these estimates.

Annual miscellaneous park improvements and park rehabilitation projects should always be a Level 1 funding priority to meet the expressed community outreach results that showed well maintained and updated facilities and parks were the number one priority for City resources. The Department has averaged about $100,000 per year over the past
decade for unforeseen park improvements and park rehab projects, which equates to $2 million each over the next 20 years.

Some of the projects may be “bundled” into one project if there is community support, for example, it would be more cost effective to build a new community park with a sports complex, multipurpose community center and aquatics center with shared parking as one project versus building separate facilities.

A pool and tennis center with concessions and sponsors may be a good combination that could be funded with a revenue bond or lease purchase option.

As a rule of thumb, mini parks, green belts, and neighborhood parks should be funded from the Park Fund. Special use facilities should be funded from the General Fund or special source funding, like grants, donations or financing options. Community Parks and facilities should be funded from park bonds or State or Federal grant or stimulus programs.

Combining funding sources can help get projects funded. The Park and Recreation Department, Parks and Recreation Commission and City Council should review the funding options presented in Chapter 2.9 and undertake an assessment of which might be viable and then develop a plan to take forward for approval.

2.7 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Recreation Programs

2.7.1 Vision for Recreation Programs – “Our vision for recreation programs will be to effectively deploy our mission and establish programs and activities that provide opportunities to enjoy nature and the outdoors; promote youth and teen mental and physical development; improve fitness, health and wellness for all ages; provide opportunities for lifelong learning and self-development; providing cultural opportunities in music, dance, art and theater; help seniors maintain socially active healthy lifestyles; connect people together through events, neighborhood programs and family activities; provide opportunities for adults to participate in physical activities; and, help disabled persons feel included and socially active”.

2.7.2 Policy for Recreation Programs – Our programming policy shall be to create an efficient and accountable program delivery system that is driven by objective decision making through policies, procedures and performance measures developed in conjunction with staff and community feedback.

2.7.3 Strategies/Recommendations for Recreation Programs - The core programming efforts of the Parks and Recreation Department should be in Fitness and Wellness, Outdoor Adventure, Youth Sports, Environmental Education, Youth and Active Adult Enrichment, Visual and Performing Arts, Adult Sports, Summer Camps, Senior Services, and Citywide Special Events.
The following strategies should be used to accomplish this:

Determine the level of unmet need in each core programming area and prioritize which programs to address first.

a. Track age segments served and add new programs where age groups are left out.

b. Develop key special events around competitions, food, art, and entertainment.

Incorporate volunteerism as a business plan to develop the program delivery system:

a. Continue to develop the volunteer policy and training programs and nurture the volunteer rewards program

b. Recruit volunteers to support special events

Increase staff training through the development of training and operation manuals, and ongoing training workshops.

Expand recruitment of contract class instructors and pursue offering more variety of fitness/exercise activities, more diverse cultural activities in music, dance, and lifelong learning opportunities.

Try developing more organized walking and hiking programs, such as, a seniors walking club, an adult walking miles for health program, preschool hiking outings; and, increasing marketing and incentives for greater participation in existing special events.

Focus on improving program offerings for Youth/Teens and Seniors; to insure those program rating grades do not decline.

Pursue development of more age specific special events, such as, adult softball tournaments, summer teen concerts in the park, more holiday events for preschool/toddlers, and an outdoor senior’s fitness and health expo with entertainment.

Consider making use of the tennis courts for a pickle ball and or paddle tennis league for adults and seniors to keep up with growing trends.

Consider adding family fun nights at YLCC with entertainment and different age group activities.

Monitor program attendance and if participation declines below 70% of expected participation review program for changes or replacement with another activity.
Consider creating a nature path on the grounds surrounding the YLCC for the blind with plant identification signage done in Braille as an environmental program to meet the goal of helping disabled persons feel included & socially active.

Continue to expand technology based recreation activities with classes on computer & tablet use, digital photography, smart phone applications, movie making, web site building, blogging, and graphic design.

Consider creating a “Public Art” program that could include art work in public spaces, use of public facilities as visual art galleries, and an Artist-in-Residency program to create murals and decorate unsightly control boxes.

2.7.4 Staff Training – The Yorba Linda Parks and Recreation Department has a long tradition of cultivating desirable qualities in its full time and part time recreation staff. The process begins with the recruitment and in depth interviews which are intended to attract the brightest and most enthusiastic recreation leaders as possible. The following are steps the department should use to ensure this trend continues:

1) When hired, recreation employees should learn about the City through written policies and procedures which help guide and govern them.

2) Employees should be provided ongoing in-service training that covers job responsibilities, expectations and customer service.

3) Recreation staff working with youth should receive training in developmental principals as an important part of the recreation training process as it will make them better prepared to build relations and make a difference in a young person’s life.

4) Recreation employees working with adults and seniors should be given training dealing with how to give their clients a voice in how their recreation needs can be met.

5) All recreation employees should be given training to familiarize them with the vision and policies for recreation programs contained in the Master Plan.

6) The department should make an effort to cultivate youth volunteers to work in recreation programs as training for future recreation employment.

2.7.5 Safety Program – The City is committed to a healthy community which also includes safety. The Parks and Recreation Department staff operates a formal safety program to ensure safe recreation services and facilities. This program also focuses on the safety and general welfare of all employees and users of City facilities. The program should include the following:
1) Monitoring the safety training programs.

2) Reviewing and investigating accidents.

3) Coordinating regular inspections.

4) Making safety recommendations.

5) Making safety planning, prevention, and awareness a priority for City employees.

2.7.2 Community Special Events – Community outreach showed that special events are one of the most popular recreation activities desired by the community. People continue to look for social venues to attend. City special events are a great way to showcase the City and foster community support. The following are strategies for community events:

1) The Parks and Recreation Department should attempt to have community events through the year which can be operated either directly or in coordination with a service club, nonprofit organization or other community service provider.

2) The events can be large citywide events or smaller events held at neighborhood parks.

3) Department resources should be allocated to solicit sponsors and volunteers to help with the production of community events.

2.7.7 General Program Strategies – The following general program strategies will serve as guidelines for the department to follow as it develops its recreation program system:

1) Continually evaluate recreation programs to ensure they provide a cost effective opportunity for residents to participate.

2) Collaborate with other recreation program providers to minimize duplication and maximize recreational opportunities for the community.

3) Support and increase when possible therapeutic recreation programs and programs for the disabled.

4) Make “First Impressions” a customer service priority for all recreation division staff.

5) Ensure that identification signage is posted for all events and programs to make it easy for participants to find the location activities are taking place.

6) As resources permit; expand, foster, and lead environmental education opportunities.
7) Include promotional material and information when operating youth programs that help curb the current youth obesity epidemic.

8) Continually evaluate recreation programs and events through participant feedback forms, attendance, enrollment, and recreation trends.

2.8 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Service Provider Partnerships

2.8.1 Vision for Service Provider Partnerships - “Our vision for service provider partnerships is to create a system where equitable partnerships are created and managed with other public agencies, Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District, not-for-profits, commercial recreation providers and independent contractors to maximize the City’s resources in meeting the community needs for parks and recreation services”.

2.8.2 Policy for Service Provider Partnerships – It shall be the City of Yorba Linda’s policy that all partnerships have written agreements that are equitable with measureable outcomes to hold each partner accountable to commitments they make in supporting the partnership agreement.

2.8.3 Strategies & Recommendations for Service Provider Partnerships

1. Biennial review all joint use agreements with the Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District for use of facilities to ensure equitable use and each party’s commitment to the terms of the agreement.

2. Establish and measure the impact and cost benefit of all partnership agreements the Parks and Recreation Department has entered into and make any adjustments necessary to meet the vision and policy for service provider and partnerships.

3. Meet at least annually with each partner to review the results of the partnership agreement for the past year and develop a report to the Parks and Recreation Commission and City Council with any recommended changes for the following year.

4. Establish a regional approach to partnerships that will help the City achieve its Master Plan goals for land use, facility development and program delivery.

5. Meet with Orange County Flood Control District and update the Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center Property Agreement to allow for the proposed changes to improve the site and accommodate the demand for equestrian uses.

6. Continue to monitor the agreement for development and joint use of the West Bastanchury site (formerly “Friends Christian High School” and “Tank Farm” property) and ensure that the final site amenities and joint use terms and conditions are consistent with the Parks and Recreation Master Plan for development of facilities and delivery of services.

7. Explore the possibility of a joint development use agreement with Yorba Linda Middle School for the resurfacing, lighting, and upgrading of the outdoor
basketball and volleyball courts to meet the practice demands for youth and adult basketball and volleyball programs.

8. Develop a financial plan for how the City and PYLUSD could develop or enhance existing facilities to meet the facility deficits in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

9. Continue to provide staff support and coordination to the Yorba Linda Senior’s Club to ensure future facility improvements, space allocation, and program services meet the needs of Yorba Linda seniors.

10. Meet annually with the library staff and board during the budget process to coordinate programs and services to avoid duplication and maximize use of facilities.

11. Explore potential collaboration with local arts groups to provide facilities and support for their community arts event and to explore other opportunities for jointly providing cultural arts events for the community.

12. Make the Special Equestrian Committee a permanent sub-committee of the Parks and Recreation Commission to meet as necessary to review and make recommendations to the Commission and the City Council regarding proposed equestrian improvements and recommendations for resolving any scheduling or conflict of use issues dealing with City equestrian arenas and facilities.

13. Continue the regularly scheduled meetings with the community sports groups to ensure equitable access to facilities for all groups, to resolve conflicts between groups, and to solicit input from the sports groups on proposed changes to fees and facilities.

14. Continue to recruit independent contract instructors to work on a percentage of class fee basis to deliver life-long learning programs, self-improvement classes, horseback riding lessons, and other special interest classes.

15. Meet with the County of Orange and State of California officials to explore possible joint use agreements of regional parks that would enable the City to implement its vision for its Parks and Recreation system and access to regional trails.

16. Continue to monitor and coordinate agreements with commercial recreation providers such as the Yorba Linda Ice Rink and the Martial Arts Studios to meet programming demand. Consider a commercial operator to build and operate future equestrian uses.

17. Eliminate unnecessary or entitled partnerships that no longer serve a purpose or meet the City’s vision and mission for park and recreation services.

2.9 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Financial Goals

2.9.1 Vision for Financial Goals – “Our vision is to be financially sustainable by operating in a transparent manner, efficiently as possible, and to be accountable for the public funds and private support we receive to deliver a quality parks and recreation system”.
2.9.2 Policy for Financial Goals – The Parks and Recreation Department shall adopt and monitor its budget consistent with its mission and shall seek cost recovery wherever possible, continually update fee schedules, incorporate cost efficient practices, follow City bidding and purchasing procedures and look at new ways of developing revenue for ongoing operations and improvements to existing assets.

2.9.3 Strategies & Recommendations for Financial Goals - The Park & Recreation Master Plan projects for land acquisition, new facilities and improvements to existing facilities will be implemented as opportunity arises and funding becomes available. Funding for these projects may take the form of park in-lieu fees, general fund, donations, grants, a voter-approved measure, or a combination of these. The City may seek qualified consultants to help gauge community support, identify funding thresholds, and develop targeted funding strategies.

It is probable that Quimby Act Funds and general revenue to the City will be insufficient to acquire, develop, maintain, and operate recreational programs at new park sites to meet anticipated demands. Other funding sources will have to be identified. Parkland in-lieu fees are intended to be spent to provide park space to serve the populations in the subdivisions in which they are collected. Fees may be used for the development of new, or improvements to existing park and recreational facilities. The City should implement the following strategies:

Evaluate requirement for developers of residential subdivisions to provide land or in-lieu fees based on the City's formula of number of units and cost of land to fund parkland acquisition and improvements. Fees shall be reviewed annually.

Develop fiscal criteria for the effective allocation of public resources for park and recreation facilities.

Identify new sources of funding for the Park Fund:

a. Continue and expand mechanisms by which the City may accept gifts and dedications of parks, open space and facilities.

b. Consider the sale of bonds, user fees, assessment districts and other sources that may be identified at a future date for park development and maintenance.

c. Consider a policy to annually transfer a specified amount of tax revenue annually to the Park Fund from the General Fund to implement the recommended Park Fund Capital Improvement Program.

d. Consider the use of Revenue Bonds and Park Bonds with a voter approved assessment to fund specific facilities that would serve the entire city.
Provide recreational facilities which are functional and are designed for cost effective maintenance.

Establish agreements wherever possible between the City and other public agencies for the purpose of development, operation, use and maintenance of recreation facilities.

Plan recreational facilities to limit liability to the City and to protect the health and safety of citizens utilizing those.
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CHAPTER 3. EQUESTRIAN REPORT

3.0 Introduction

As part of the City of Yorba Linda’s General Plan review and update, RBF Consulting was also tasked with developing a Parks & Recreation Master Plan to be used as the Parks and Recreation Element of the General Plan that will guide the City’s park and facilities development policies. The Parks and Recreation Master Plan process included extensive public outreach, surveys, and analysis on the needs for various recreational amenities in Yorba Linda, including the assessment of equestrian needs.

Yorba Linda has a rich equestrian tradition stemming from the days when ranches and agriculture where the main land uses in the area. Over the years the City has developed into residential neighborhoods and commercial centers, much like the rest of Orange County. City fathers took great pride during the development process to preserve the equestrian and semi-rural lifestyles and environment in Yorba Linda.

The Yorba Linda area currently has over 114 miles of equestrian access trails and the City has a dedicated park site known as the Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center where equestrian clubs offer lessons, training, shows and events for the local equestrian community. The City also maintains equestrian arenas within park sites for unreserved use by local horse owners. The City also contains numerous residential equestrian properties with horse boarding amenities.

For the past decade the City has been working with the equestrian clubs and the equestrian community to try to determine where and how additional equestrian amenities could be added in Yorba Linda. Several sites have been proposed and eventually determined not suitable for equestrian facilities, although there has been a consensus among equestrian users that additional equestrian facilities are desired in Yorba Linda. In early 2012 the City decided to include an equestrian needs assessment as part of the Parks & Recreation Master Plan process before it proceeds any further with proposals and ideas for new equestrian facilities in Yorba Linda.

3.1 Equestrian Needs Assessment Process

The process for determining the equestrian needs in Yorba Linda was intertwined with the needs assessment process of determining the overall recreational amenity needs in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan. This process included community outreach and input in the form of focus groups, stakeholder interviews, site inspections, community written and web surveys, and direct conversations with facility users.

RBF has extracted the portions pertaining to equestrians from these outreach tools and has prepared an analysis of the current requests and the future demands for equestrian
facilities to meet the needs of Yorba Linda residents. This chapter only defines the equestrian needs, it does not include an analysis of possible locations for adding facilities, nor does it include an analysis on the options for funding or operating any new equestrian facilities.

During this process, some comments were received stating that public funds are constrained and equestrian facilities do not serve a majority of the community, and that other park facilities that serve a larger portion of the community are needed before the City spends money on more equestrian facilities. These types of comments are common when there are competing needs for City resources. It is true that equestrian facilities are special use facilities enjoyed by the residents who choose horse activities as their form of recreation; however, this is also true of performing arts centers, senior centers, public pools, etc. where the users of each are a marginal amount of the community, but all together provide the recreation system that makes the community a desirable place to live, raise a family or retire. The purpose of the Park and Recreation Master Plan is to determine which recreation facilities and programs the community desires and then develop strategies to implement them. While there is merit to the approach that the most attended facilities should receive priority funding, if a strategy can be developed to provide the facilities a community desires, without one facility being developed at the expense of another, then the entire community wins.

3.2 Key Findings and Summary Needs Assessment

The key findings from the equestrian analysis include:

- It is estimated that approximately 5,000 to 8,000 people living in Yorba Linda participate in equestrian related activities, such as, riding, lessons, boarding, competitions, equestrian related businesses, and attending equestrian events.

- Ages that participate in equestrian activities in Yorba Linda range from 5 years old to people in their 60’s and 70’s.

- Equestrian activities have a positive economic benefit to the City.

- There is a wide opinion on what equestrian facilities are needed in Yorba Linda.

- Boarding facilities within a 30 mile radius of Yorba Linda currently have an average vacancy rate of 7%. In market demand terms it can be expected that the average vacancy rate will be at least 10% due to natural turnover. When vacancy rates are below 20% there is market demand for additional space. The current 7% average vacancy means the market demand for more space is significant.
Many residents do not want taxes to pay for equestrian facilities, although many support the equestrian tradition and equestrian lifestyle reputation that Yorba Linda enjoys.

Survey results show that maintaining and adding to the trails system is a high priority for residents.

The Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center is currently used to capacity and needs to be expanded.

The equestrian clubs and organizations in Yorba Linda provide a wide range of equestrian programs, activities, shows and events.

3.3 Assessment of Community Desires

• An additional arena and turnouts with improved parking is needed at Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center.

• A larger show and event facility, with a range of boarding options of at least 75 to 125 or more boarding units could meet the demands of both future lessons/training needs and events/competitions needs and would be a positive economic benefit for the City.

• Trailheads and trail connectors are needed to complete the trail system and provide ways in which equestrians can access lesson, training and exercise arenas without having to trailer their horses.

• Any new equestrian facility should contain a covered arena and open arenas, temporary pipe stalls for boarding during shows and events, a range of boarding options with at least 75 to 125 boarding stalls, restrooms, horse trailer parking, adequate spectator seating and parking, security lighting, a public address system, equipment storage, hot walkers, exercise rings, judging facilities, an office/administration building with classroom, a maintenance facility with hay storage and manure collection/disposal areas, areas for concessions/booths, picnic areas, trailhead access to trail system, and open grass areas for social activities that double as overflow parking during events.

3.4 Equestrian Focus Group Results Summary

An equestrian focus group meeting was held in March 2012 with members from the Yorba Linda Country Riders, the Pony Club, the Mini Club, the 4H Club and public trail riders represented.
The nine attendees participated in an individual priority setting exercise, and then a group priority setting exercise, to give their input on equestrian needs and priorities in Yorba Linda.

In the individual exercise, each participant was asked to respond to twenty-five questions about the most needed equestrian programs and facilities in Yorba Linda. They were then divided into three groups and asked to identify priorities in their groups for equestrian amenities needed in Yorba Linda.

The following is a summary of the meetings results:

The equestrian facilities and programs that the Equestrian Focus Group considered their most important or very important to have in Yorba Linda included:

- Western instruction and training
- English instruction and training
- Individual riding lessons
- Shows and competitions
- Dedicated arenas for each style of lessons and training
- Covered arena for shows and competitions and lessons on hot days
- Horse trailer parking
- Fenced sand turnout areas
The programs and facilities the Equestrian Focus Group considered desirable to have, but less important than those listed above, included:

- Jumpers instruction and training
- Hunters instruction and training
- Dressage instruction and training
- Group riding lessons
- Therapeutic riding program
- Youth summer riding camps
- Boarding stalls and facilities (barns, pipe stalls, tack shop, vet services, etc.)
- Shared arenas

The programs and facilities the focus group thought were not important to have in Yorba Linda included:

- Horse sales
- Rental horses
- Hot walkers
- Multi-horse pastures

When divided into three groups and asked how the best way for the City to operate new equestrian facilities, there were three different suggestions.

- One group said the City should oversee and operate new equestrian facilities directly.
- Another group believed the City should get a commercial concessioner to operate the facilities.
- The final group thought the City should just provide the facilities and the non-profit equestrian clubs and organizations should operate the facilities.

In discussing the equestrian issues and priorities, the entire focus group agreed on the following:

- Arena footing is the number one issue to address in any new facility.
- Adequate parking for shows and events needs to be provided.
- Trailheads and access to the equestrian trails is vital.

### 3.5 Community Survey

A community survey was conducted from mid-June to mid-August 2012 in both written and electronic forms. Written surveys were handed out in all of the summer recreation programs, at the community centers, Library, City Hall, parks and at City events. The survey was also placed online and accessible through the City’s web site. Over 800 surveys were received. The survey was designed to get public input on all parks and
recreation facilities so that it could be determined what recreation amenities were desirable and what the community wanted most so that recommendations could be formulated in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan. Results pertaining to equestrian programs and facilities have been extracted and a summary of the results is below.

Almost 10% of the responses indicated that an equestrian center was the one recreation facility they most wanted to see added in Yorba Linda.
When asked which one facility would most benefit their personal household, a little over 10% of survey takers responded that equestrian facilities would most benefit them. Additional Equestrian Facilities were ranked fifth for most desired facility for responder’s personal household benefit, behind Trails/Walking Paths, Outdoor Public Pool, Performing Arts Center, and Sports Complex.

When asked if they have visited or used the Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center in Yorba Linda, 18% said that they have visited the facility within the last year. This is about the same percentage that visited other special use facilities including the Las Palomas Tennis Center (20%) and the Susanna Bixby Bryant Museum (17%) within the last year. As expected, the most visited facilities were the Yorba Linda Community Center (85%), Thomas Lasorda Jr. Field House (62%) and the Travis Ranch Activity Center (48%). Those parks with sports fields were visited an average of 30% to 40% in the past year and Black Gold Golf course was visited by 40% of the responders within the past year.
When asked what their top two choices are for the City to concentrate their recreation efforts on in the Master Plan, again about 10% responded the City should focus on equestrian facilities as shown in the following results chart.

When given the chance to write in what two new facilities were the most benefit to them, equestrian facilities were mentioned several times.

3.6 Stakeholder Comments and Interviews

As a follow up to the equestrian focus group meeting and the community surveys, interviews were conducted with equestrian stakeholders (horse property owners and individual participants in equestrian programs at the Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center). Tours of the equestrian trails were undertaken along with interviewing riders along the trails. The following is a summary of the notes taken at these interviews.

- Maintaining the existing equestrian trails and adding to the trail system is a high priority.
- Preserving the equestrian lifestyles by protecting equestrian properties is important.
- Boarding horses on personal properties and having access to arenas via the trail system is the best way to preserve the equestrian lifestyle in Yorba Linda.
- Getting more youth involved in equestrian activities will help deter youth crime and help kids become better adults.
- Keeping equestrian activities affordable by having the City partner with the equestrian groups to deliver programs and facilities is the most efficient way of providing equestrian services.
- The trails in Yorba Linda give the City its character and must be protected and maintained.
- More arenas are needed so that more shows and competitions for those in lessons can be offered.
- Arena footing is the number one safety issue in adding more arenas.
- The youth participating in equestrian clubs not only gain valuable horsemanship skills, they also learn respect, self-esteem and the caring for the environment.
- While a full service equestrian center would be nice, a facility with portable pipe stalls, rest rooms, horse trailer parking, open and covered arenas to hold more shows and competitions is needed.
- Taking care of a horse teaches great life skills.
- There is a need for a therapeutic riding program for special needs youth in Yorba Linda and the surrounding areas.
- New equestrian facilities are needed, but the City has to find the right place for them so they do not impact existing homeowners.
On May 28th, 2013 the Special Equestrian Committee, led by Dee Dee Friedrich, President of the Yorba Linda Country Riders, conducted a user survey of equestrian centers within 30 miles of Yorba Linda.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th># of Stalls</th>
<th># of Stalls Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nellie Gail Ranch/Laguna</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0 w/20 ppl on waiting list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaheim Hills Saddle Club</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Rodeo/Brea</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange Co. Fair Grounds/Costa Mesa</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coto De Caza</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serrano Creek/Chino Hills</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancho De Felicidad/Chino</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond I/Chino Hills</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyote Creek/Chino Hills</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sycamore Trails/San Juan Capistrano</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortega/San Juan Capistrano</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Beach</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richfield/Yorba Linda – PRIVATE</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocking T/Yorba Linda - PRIVATE</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,181</strong></td>
<td><strong>152 Vacancy Rate 7%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In market demand terms it can be expected that the average vacancy rate will be at least 10% due to natural turnover. When vacancy rates are below 20% there is market demand for additional space. The current 7% average vacancy means the market demand for more space is significant.

At a workshop held by the City in July 2012 to get public input on a possible equestrian center development site, participants from the community expressed their desire for the following amenities:

- 100-200 box and outside stalls
- A 250 ft x 250 ft covered arena with seating
- Two lunging arenas
- Warm up area
- Hot walker
- Wash stalls
- Tack Room
- Veterinary Hospital
- Restrooms/ Showers
Managers Office
Residence for caretaker
Fountain and a Horse Statue
Dog/skate park
Trail head
Picnic area
Community Multipurpose Building
Community Garden
Community/ Youth/ Therapeutic Activities

If a new boarding facility is built it may take boarding away from existing facilities in the area in the short term, however; with the economy improving the demand in growth from new customers buying horses and wanting to board them will be increasing, and so in the long run existing boarding facilities could and should retain their current occupancy rates.

3.7 Equestrian Participation and Demographics in Yorba Linda

In reviewing the attendance figures at equestrian events in Yorba Linda, membership in the local equestrian clubs and organizations, the number of youth involved in classes and training, the number of equestrian properties in Yorba Linda, and the number of responses to the community surveys indicating participation in equestrian activities, an estimated 8 to 12 percent of residents in Yorba Linda engage in equestrian related activities in the city. This translates to be approximately 5,000 to 8,000 residents in Yorba Linda involved in horse-related recreational pursuits.

Youth is the most dominant age group for participating in classes and training activities and adults are the biggest users of the trails system. We also found that the age for participation in equestrian activities in Yorba Linda ranged from as young as 5 years old to residents in their 60’s and 70’s. We found that there is a wide range of participation and income is not a determining factor. Low income, middle income and high income families are involved in equestrian activities. Equestrian pursuits appear to be family affairs, with more than one member participating in the family and the whole family involved when shows or events are part of the equestrian equation. The next section provides information on the economic impact of the equestrian lifestyle.

3.8 National and Local Economic Impact of Equestrian Activities

The horse industry is a very large and important part of our national, state and local economies. It is diverse, involving agriculture, business, sport, gaming, entertainment and recreation.
The economic study done by Deloitte Consulting LLP for the American Horse Council Foundation in 2010 validates what the industry has known for some time, that the horse industry is a highly-diverse, national, serious and economically significant industry that deserves the attention of the general public and federal, state and local officials. (Please note that the study was done in 2005 and the figures updated in 2010 were accurate from the time the study was updated and may have changed slightly as of this printing).

- The California horse industry produces goods and services valued at $4.1 billion.
- The national industry has a $7 billion impact on the California economy when the multiplier effect of spending by industry suppliers employees is taken into account. Accounting for off-site spending of spectators would result in an even higher figure.
- 311,100 Californians are involved in the industry as horse owners, service providers, employees, and volunteers. Even more participate as spectators.
- The California horse industry directly provides 54,200 full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs. Spending by suppliers and employees (in California and other states) generates additional jobs in California for a total employment impact of 130,200.
- There are 698,000 horses in California, over 70 percent of which are involved in showing and recreation.
- According to an economic impact study conducted by the American Horse Council Foundation in 2010, equestrian activities have shown a positive economic effect on the local economy from the multiplier effect of spending by industry suppliers, equestrian owners, horse boarders, trainers and spectators at shows and events.
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4.0 Introduction

To insure a broad representation of opinions from residents, organizations, stakeholders, all age categories, and special interest groups, the Master Plan demand and needs analysis included a wide variety of community outreach tools and ways people could become involved and provide their thoughts and ideas for parks, facilities, recreation programs, and services they believed to be priorities for the city to focus on to have a successful park and recreation program.

The demand and needs analysis evaluates both quantitative and qualitative data. Quantitative data includes the community surveys, facility standards, guidelines and comparisons, and the program comparisons and analysis. The qualitative data includes the community focus group workshops, stakeholder interviews, mind-mixer responses and community visioning sessions.

4.1 Community Outreach Tools

The community outreach tools used to get community input included:

- Scoping Session/Staff Interviews
- Organized Sports Group’s Questionnaire
- Stakeholder Interviews
- Senior Citizens Survey
- Cultural Organizations Focus Group & Survey
- Web Based & Hard Copy Community Surveys
- Community Visioning Sessions
- Mind-Mixer Online Forum

The community outreach also included an equestrian focus group and equestrian stakeholder interviews. The Equestrian Demand and Needs Analysis are contained in a separate document; however, the findings and recommendations are also contained in the summary and recommendations of this section of the Master Plan.

4.2 Recreation Programs Weighted Evaluation

The program scoring sheets contained in Appendix E provide an evaluation of recreation programs according to the type of population served. A program evaluation has been done on pre-school/toddler programs, youth/teens, adults, seniors, and community
events. Each scoring sheet lists the programs offered by the City of Yorba Linda in the population category, provides an analysis of the programs for each population and performs a weighted score evaluation to determine if the overall program delivery for that age population meets the community priorities and other criteria determined by the results of the community outreach tools.

Programs are evaluated in several categories including community priorities, location and access, quality, and costs. The evaluation provides a goal, performance measure, and measurement scale to determine the scoring for each population category. A discussion of how the programs were measured is also included. The maximum number of points a program category can receive is 20. The following is the rating range of the point system:

- **“A” 16-20** Programs meet community priorities, are easily accessed, meet quality expectations & are affordable
- **“B” 11-15** Programs need to be expanded or improved to meet community priorities, accessibility, quality expectations or become affordable
- **“C” 6-10** Programs need facility improvements or new facilities in addition to quality improvements to meet community priorities, accessibility, quality expectations or become affordable
- **“D” 0-5** Programs fail to meet community priorities and need major overhaul

The priority benefits the community indicated they wanted most from recreation programs according to the results of the community outreach tools are:

- Providing opportunities to enjoy nature and the outdoors
- Promoting youth & teen mental & physical development
- Improving fitness, health and wellness for all ages
- Providing opportunities for lifelong learning and self-development
- Providing cultural opportunities in music, dance, art and theater
- Helping seniors maintain socially active healthy lifestyles
- Connecting people together through events, building stronger neighborhoods and families
- Opportunities for adults to participate in physical activities
- Helping disabled persons feel included & socially active
4.3 Program Evaluations (See Appendix G for program rating sheets)

The program evaluation ratings for the City’s park and recreation programs are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Category</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-school/Toddler Programs</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth/Teen Programs</td>
<td>17.15</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Programs</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Programs</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Special Events</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cumulative average rating for all park and recreation programs is **16.47**, which also translates to an “A” rating. Although all of the program evaluations received an “A” rating, there is still room for improvement and the City must continue to work at the things that have allowed them to offer quality programs that are accessible and meet the stated community priorities. These grade ratings are very good and reflect the community’s expressed opinion of the City’s park and recreation programs. For the City to increase the rating value of its programs it will mean meeting community demand as expressed in the community outreach process, reviewing what programs other cities offer to see if there are additional programs that may suit Yorba Linda and allow the Parks & Recreation Department to keep up with recreation trends, and pursuing recommended facility improvements in the Master Plan to accommodate expanding and adding programs to meet future trends and demand.

Recommendations for doing this include:

- Increase staff training through the development of training and operation manuals, and ongoing training workshops.
- Expand recruitment of contract class instructors and pursue offering more variety of fitness/exercise activities, more diverse cultural activities in music, dance, and lifelong learning opportunities.
- Try developing more organized walking and hiking programs, such as, a seniors walking club, an adult walking miles for health program, preschool hiking outings; and, increasing marketing and incentives for greater participation in existing special events.
- Focus on improving program offerings for youth/teens and seniors; to insure those program rating grades do not decline.
• Pursue development of more age specific special events, such as, adult softball tournaments, summer teen concerts in the park, more holiday events for preschool/toddlers, and an outdoor senior’s fitness and health expo with entertainment.

• Make use of the tennis courts for a pickle ball and or paddle tennis league for adults and seniors to keep up with growing trends.

• Add family fun nights at with entertainment and different age group activities.

• Monitor program attendance and if participation declines below 70% of expected participation review program for changes or replacement with another activity.

4.4 Scoping Session/Staff Interviews

The data input process started with a facilities tour and interviews with facility and program staff on use patterns, maintenance, and programming issues. The RBF consulting team’s overall impressions were that facilities are well maintained, well used and staff morale and enthusiasm for their jobs was high.

The issues staff said they would like to see addressed in the parks and recreation Master Plan included:

• How does the number of facilities we offer in Yorba Linda compare to the number of facilities offered in other cities?
• Will the Master Plan address improvements that are needed at our park sites that would enhance recreation programming and improve the park experience for users?
• How do we know what future facilities we are going to need to meet future trends in recreation?
• How does our program offerings compare to other similar cities?
• How should we prioritize space and facility use between age groups?
• What do our current users think of our facilities and programs?
• How do our programs rate against standardized evaluations?
• Are there any gaps in programming that we need to address?
• How do we maintain and expand our current community partner relationships to better serve our residents now and in the future?

4.5 Organized Sports Groups Questionnaire

There are a number of non-profit Sports Groups in Yorba Linda that provide sports programs for youth in addition to the sports programs the City provides directly. The Parks and Recreation Department provides field allocation and scheduling for all of these groups and meets with them on a regular basis to resolve conflicts.
The City has maintenance agreements with each of the sports groups that make the groups responsible for their own field maintenance, while the City maintains the field infrastructure, such as, the turf and irrigation systems. In addition to a focus group meeting with the various youth sports organizations serving Yorba Linda the Consultant Team prepared a written questionnaire for each group to fill out and submit so the current field usage and projected demand for fields could be determined (Appendix C). From the data obtained the Consultant Team prepared a summary of the questionnaires and identified key points affecting the sports groups. The following table shows the cumulative results of the sports questionnaire:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Ages Served</th>
<th>Total Served</th>
<th>Projected Growth Next 5 Years</th>
<th>Need Lights?</th>
<th>Stated Field Needs</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PYLGSA (Softball)</td>
<td>5 to 18</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dedicated Softball Facility</td>
<td>Currently use 6 fields, have conflicts with soccer &amp; baseball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EYLLL (Baseball)</td>
<td>5 to 15</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Need lights</td>
<td>Need lighted fields for practice, level surfaces and better water management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHLL (Baseball)</td>
<td>5 to 15</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Batting Cages</td>
<td>Have conflicts with soccer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WYLLL (Baseball)</td>
<td>5 to 18</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Annual Maintenance</td>
<td>Would like better field maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLPWF (Football)</td>
<td>7 to 15</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Better field conditions</td>
<td>Operate an adult Challenger Division that could grow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUSA (Soccer)</td>
<td>5 to Adult</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td>10% Adult</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Need more lighted practice &amp; game fields</td>
<td>Conflicts with football, baseball &amp; softball. Would like dedicated soccer complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYSO (Soccer)</td>
<td>5 to 18</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Need a U15/Adult field</td>
<td>Same as JUSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCP (Soccer)</td>
<td>5 to 18</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>+10%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Need 3 additional fields over the next five years</td>
<td>Club soccer program operates year round. Need more lighted fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLB (Basketball)</td>
<td>5 to 18</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>Would need more gym time to expand</td>
<td>Use Tommy Lasorda &amp; Travis Ranch Gyms. Travis Ranch gym needs new scoreboard &amp; better lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJB (Basketball)</td>
<td>5 to 15</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>10% +</td>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>Would need more gym time to expand</td>
<td>Use Tommy Lasorda &amp; Travis Ranch Gyms. Would like a third gym to expand program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orangemen (Lacrosse)</td>
<td>8 to 15</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Need to prepare for future growth, fast growing sport</td>
<td>Conflicts with football, baseball &amp; softball. Would also like dedicated lacrosse complex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The participation numbers are from the spring/summer 2012 registration. The annual youth sports estimated total participation for all groups ranges from 6,000 to 7,000, which is about 60% of the total 5 to 18 (2010 census) Yorba Linda youth population.

The major issues expressed by the sports groups included field use conflicts; maintenance on some fields; need for soccer/lacrosse complex; need for lighted fields; and a desire for a third gym in the future.

The RBF consulting team also conducted two focus groups with the organized sports groups, one with the indoor sports groups and one with the outdoor sports groups.

4.6 Summary of the Indoor Focus Group Meeting

Those in attendance included the following:

- Robert Karraa, Co-President National Junior Basketball
- Anthony Lannone, Co-President National Junior Basketball
- Doug Poling, Treasurer National Junior Basketball
- Doug Dickerson, President Yorba Linda Basketball
- Dale Buscaino, Yorba Linda Basketball
- Craig Tefertiller, Impact Volleyball
- Eric Beck, Impact Volleyball

Selected speaker for each sports group gave an overview and history of their program and comments on issues facing them, their needs and projections for the future.

A. National Junior Basketball

- Has been in Yorba Linda for 25 years
- National Organization Yorba Linda Association has players from Yorba Linda, Anaheim Hills, Placentia and Brea
- Have 500+ participants
- Uses Tommy Lasorda and Travis Ranch gyms
- Funding comes primarily from registration fees, donations, and fund raising

B. Yorba Linda Basketball

- Has been in Yorba Linda for 21 years
- Local organization that plays 8 man teams
- Participants from Yorba Linda, Anaheim Hills, Placentia and Brea
- Have 650+ participants
- Uses Tommy Lasorda, Travis Ranch and Yorba Linda High School gyms
- Funding comes from registration fees, donations and parent support
C. Impact Volleyball

- Has been in existence for 23 years
- Local club volleyball organization
- Participants are from Yorba Linda, Anaheim Hills, Placentia, and Brea
- Have 150 – 200 participants in the club, however, 400-500 girls try out
- Uses Heritage Oak School gym
- Funding comes from school support and registration fees

4.7 Summary of Issues, Needs, and Requests from Sports Groups

A. Group expressed concerns about the City’s non-resident policy and how it’s used to prioritize the allocation of gym time. All the youth sports groups draw participants based on the PYLUSD boundaries, which don’t match the City boundaries, and they get penalized for having kids on the teams from Anaheim Hills, Placentia and Brea.

B. Groups expressed a concern that the City policy prohibiting the charging of admission to the City gyms prevents them from hosting tournaments and raising revenue to pay for the fees the City charges them for the use of the gym facilities.

C. Groups recently stated approved fee increases for use of facilities will impact the registration fees for participants for next year and in some cases it will raise the registration fees from $150 per participant to $400 per participant in order to cover the increased cost of rental fees.

D. Groups were concerned that the new fees were adopted without input from the sports groups. They commented that their fees went up disproportionally to fees charged by outside groups who rent the facilities.

E. The groups expressed a need for lighted outdoor basketball & volleyball courts for practice, they currently use school district facilities which are in poor condition and poorly maintained.

F. The groups suggested a joint development and use agreement with the School District to resurface and light the basketball & volleyball courts at Yorba Linda Middle School. They anticipate that 8 lighted basketball courts and 4 lighted volleyball courts for practice during the non-daylight saving time hours are needed and that an 8pm curfew on the lights would be perfectly satisfactory with them. During the fall, winter and spring months of standard time coaches cannot practice after 5pm which makes it difficult for both coaches and participants.
G. The groups indicated that over the past two years volleyball has been growing substantially and that more sand volleyball courts and gym time for volleyball is needed.

H. There is currently only one volleyball court at the Travis Ranch gym and a second court would be highly desirable.

I. The groups understand the relationship between the City and the School District for joint use of the gyms; however, it sometimes seems that the School District has the ability to override the City’s use of the facilities thus impacting their programs. Their wish list would be to have a City only multipurpose gym with two full size basketball courts that can be configured to 4-6 youth basketball & volleyball courts.

J. The groups indicated that the lights on the scoreboards at both the Lasorda and Travis gyms frequently burn out and if it happens on a Friday the lights do not get fixed until the following Monday and that it would be helpful if weekend staff at the two gyms were trained to service the lights on the scoreboards.

K. The groups indicated that the microphones and speakers for the sound systems at the Lasorda and Travis gyms are not state of the art and frequently do not work properly. They said upgraded sound systems on both facilities are desirable.

L. The groups expressed concern that the part time staff that work the weekend at the gyms don’t always know who is supposed to be in the gym or what is scheduled to go on at the facility and there needs to be better communication and training of weekend staff both about the scheduling and the operation of the facility.

M. The groups reported that although the floors are in good condition at both gyms there is a lack of floor maintenance i.e., sweeping the floor, to keep it clean between games. They report that the floors are cleaned in the morning before their games begin; however, no one sweeps the floor until the evening after they leave. The groups said they would sweep the floor between games if the City provided them access to the wide dust mops to clean the floors.

N. The groups reported that the lighting levels at each of the gyms are different with the older Travis Ranch Gym being the poorest quality light. They reported that the new Yorba Linda High School Gym has a state of the art lighting system and that they would like to see the Lasorda Gym and the Travis Ranch Gym lighting be upgraded to the level of Yorba Linda High School gym.
O. All of the groups requested that the floor at the Travis Ranch Gym be resurfaced because it has become dark with all of the dirt and oils that have been imbedded by the heavy use the floor has received.

P. The groups would like to see the Park & Recreation Master Plan address and develop a recommendation for better policies regarding how nonresidents are treated when enrolled in a youth sports program along with Yorba Linda residents. They made the point that youth from Anaheim Hills, Placentia and Brea find it more convenient to play in the Yorba Linda programs with the kids they go to school with; yet, there is no reciprocal access to gym facilities for the Yorba Linda programs in Anaheim Hills, Placentia and Brea. They would also like to see the Master Plan address a change in the policy of not being able to charge admissions so that they can host tournaments. They would like to see the Master Plan address a policy change that now does not allow them to operate snack bars at City facilities, because if they could, they could raise funds to pay the City fees without having to raise participant registration fees.

4.8 Summary of Outdoor Sports Focus Group Meeting

Those in attendance included the following:

- Ted Lawton, President PYLGSA
- Danielle Zavala, President WYLLL
- Jeff Leech, JUSA
- James Stinnett, PYLGSA
- Greg Stull, JUSA
- Ryan Nagao, AYSO
- Josh Melendez, YLP YMCA

Selected speaker for each sports group gave an overview and history of their program and comments on issues facing them, their needs and projections for the future.

A. AYSO

- Has been in Yorba Linda for over 10 years
- National Organization Regional Association has players from Yorba Linda, Anaheim Hills, Placentia, Brea, Orange, & Tustin
- Have 500+ participants
- Hosts tournaments on a rotating basis
- Funding comes primarily from registration fees, donations, and fund raising
B. PYLGSA

- Has been in Yorba Linda for 40 years
- Local organization with 35 member Board
- Participants are almost all from Yorba Linda
- Have 550+ participants
- Uses six fields and coordinates with soccer & baseball
- Funding comes from registration fees, donations and parent support

C. YLP YMCA

- Currently runs afterschool programs at Fairmont elementary
- Would like to expand after school programs if it can get facility's and funding
- Operates an adult soccer program in Placentia

D. JUSA

- Has been in Yorba Linda 39 years
- Operates recreation and club soccer programs
- 90% of recreation soccer participants are from Yorba Linda
- Operate fall and spring programs
- They don’t operate any adult leagues
- They have provided over $100,000 in field maintenance and improvements over the years

E. WYLLL

- Have been in Yorba Linda since 1987
- They play at Veterans Field
- They operate programs for T-Ball through Seniors division
- Funding comes from snack bar and parent support for fund raising

4.9 Summary of Issues, Needs, and Requests from Sports Groups

A. Group expressed concerns about the City’s non-resident policy and how it’s used to prioritize the allocation of field time. All the youth sports groups draw participants based on the PYLUSD boundaries, which don’t match the City boundaries, and they get penalized for having kids on the teams from Anaheim Hills, Placentia and Brea.

B. Groups felt field conditions were good, expressed difficulties in scheduling practices in August when football needs to practice 5 days per week and the City closes fields for renovation.
C. Parking was a main concern of all the groups. WYLLL depends on the Von’s shopping center parking and are worried about losing the joint parking agreement if the property is sold or redeveloped. All the groups would like the Master Plan to look at possible parking solutions at all field sites. Some suggestions were made regarding Jean Woodard Park, Shapell Park, etc.

D. All the groups agreed there is a shortage of lighted fields and it is difficult to get practice time from October to April when it’s dark early.

E. The soccer groups expressed a need for a lighted outdoor soccer complex and said they could share a lighted soccer complex with two full size regulation fields that could be divided up into smaller fields for various age groups. They would like to see a centrally located complex with some neighborhood park amenities, but dedicated to soccer for practices, leagues and tournaments.

F. The groups suggested looking into more joint development and use agreement with the School District to improve and light fields, especially for practice.

G. The groups indicated that they would be willing to help out financially to accomplish getting more lighted fields.

H. The fields girls softball use are spread out all over the City and they would not mind giving up their fields to soccer if they had a central softball complex to serve their needs and hope the Master Plan would look at this option.

I. Soccer would like the Master Plan to look at possible lights at Arroyo Park, Lower Travis Park, and Jessamyn Park.

J. The soccer groups indicated that they need to start at 7 am on Saturdays to get all their games in, but the field entry time is 9 am, which was apparently set to insure residents that noise would be minimal until 9 am, but they have started before at 7 am without complaints, so they would like this policy looked at in the Master Plan.

K. The sports groups wanted to be included during the recently completed design process for Vista del Verde II.

L. The soccer groups indicated the future need for Futsal (5 a side indoor soccer) facilities, as it is becoming very popular.

M. The groups thought that a policy of lighted field allocation tied to the number of participants in a program would be a fairer way to insure groups get the practice time they need.
4.10 Parks and Recreation Commission Focus Group

One of the focus groups the Consultant Team solicited input from was the members of the Parks & Recreation Commission. They participated in two exercises.

Exercise I: Commission Understanding, Opinions & Knowledge of the Parks & Recreation Department & Commission Functions

Using handheld polling devices, the Commissioners provided input on 26 different statements related to parks and recreation needs in Yorba Linda. The graphs of their responses are contained in the appendix section and the summary of their responses are as follows:

- Four Commissioners agreed that Yorba Linda residents are aware of all the recreation programs and activities that are available to them and one Commissioner somewhat disagreed and felt more awareness was needed.

- Four Commissioners agreed at least somewhat that Yorba Linda residents are satisfied with both the quality and quantity of park and recreation facilities in Yorba Linda. One Commissioner somewhat disagreed.

- Four Commissioners agreed at least somewhat that the City meets the needs of the youth sports programs in Yorba Linda. One Commissioner somewhat disagreed.

- Only one Commissioner agreed that the City is meeting the needs of adult sports in the City. Four Commissioners either didn’t know or disagreed that the City is meeting adult sports needs.

- Three Commissioners agreed that residents want more community events and family social activities in Yorba Linda. Two Commissioners thought the current number of events and family activities meet the needs of the community.

- Three Commissioners agreed that “Teen” needs are being met in Yorba Linda, while two Commissioners either didn’t know or disagreed that “Teen” needs are being met.

- Three Commissioners agreed that Teens need more opportunities for tutoring and afterschool studying, while two Commissioners felt there are adequate opportunities.

- Four Commissioners agreed that most residents are aware of the programs the Library offers. One Commissioner didn’t know if residents were aware of all the Library programs.
• Four Commissioners agreed they are aware of the programs and activities the Parks and Recreation Department offers the community, while one Commissioner responded they didn’t know if they were aware of the Departments program offerings or not.

• Only one Commissioner agreed the community would support a new fee based tennis center in the community, while four Commissioners either didn’t know or disagreed that the community would support such a center.

• Two Commissioners agreed the community would support the development of a new equestrian center, while three Commissioners disagreed that the community would support the development of a new equestrian center.

• Four Commissioners agreed there should be a skate park in Yorba Linda, while one Commissioner disagreed.

• Two Commissioners agreed there should be more fun excursions offered for teens during the summer, while three Commissioners disagreed and felt the current opportunities are adequate.

• Three Commissioners agreed that exercise and fitness programs and activities should be a priority for facility use to insure healthy lifestyles in the community. Two Commissioners were either unsure or disagreed that exercise and fitness programs should be a priority.

• Three Commissioners agreed that organized sports programs should have priority in the allocation of gym time over drop-in and social programs. Two Commissioners were unsure of the priorities of allocation of gym time. Three Commissioners agreed that the relationship and cooperation between the Placentia, Yorba Linda Unified School District and the City is healthy and constructive. Two Commissioners were either unsure or disagreed.

• One Commissioner agreed that transportation to programs and activities is a bigger deterrent to participation than the cost of programs. Four Commissioners were either unsure or disagreed.

• Four Commissioners agreed that residents are satisfied with the current level of maintenance of parks and facilities in Yorba Linda. One Commissioner disagreed.

• Four Commissioners agreed that senior citizen recreational and social needs are being met through the programs for seniors at the Yorba Linda Community Center. One Commissioner was unsure.
• Three Commissioners agreed that funding is a bigger issue for the Commission to resolve then allocation of prime time hours on fields and in facilities. Two Commissioners were either unsure or disagreed.

• Four Commissioners agreed that staff and financial resources should be prioritized according to the cost benefit ratio of facilities and programs. One Commissioner was unsure on how resources should be prioritized.

• Three Commissioners agreed that they have personally attended different City activities for different age groups and feel knowledgeable about park and recreation needs in Yorba Linda. Two Commissioners were unsure about park and recreation needs in Yorba Linda.

• Four Commissioners agreed that the community has a positive image of the Park & Recreation Department. One Commissioner disagreed.

• Three Commissioners agreed that the City Council listens to and values the advice of Parks & Recreation Commission. One Commissioner was unsure and one Commissioner strongly disagreed.

• Only one Commissioner agreed that preserving open space and passive recreation area is more important than developing active sports fields and facilities. Four Commissioners disagreed and felt that developing active sites is more important than preserving open space and passive recreation areas.

• Three Commissioners agreed that recreation programs and activities are the basis of a healthy community and should be a priority for public resources. One Commissioner was unsure and one Commissioner disagreed.

4.11 Exercise II: Commission Input on Priorities for Park Facilities and Programs

The Commission was tasked with determining as a group the most important facilities and programs in Yorba Linda and recording them on a worksheet. The following were the group’s responses to the questions on the worksheets.

1. If the City were to have to prioritize funding, please list the 3 most important existing parks and recreation facilities the City must keep and maintain?

   • Hurless Barton/Veterans Park
   • Yorba Linda Community Center
   • The Trails System
2. Please list the 3 most important park amenities that the Commission thinks should be included in future park development.

- Multi-use fields (large/flat)
- Lights
- Gymnasium

3. Please come to a consensus on what you think are the top 3 programs/activities that the City should subsidize if it could only subsidize 3 programs.

- Senior programs
- Teen programs
- Community events

4.12 Community Stakeholders

In addition to the Parks & Recreation Commission, the RBF consulting team conducted interviews or phone conversations with representatives from numerous clubs and organizations that use City facilities or offer programs and activities, or are affected in some way by the quality of the park and recreation system in Yorba Linda. The stakeholders that were contacted for confidential interviews included the following:

- Yorba Linda Sunrise Rotary Club
- Friends of the Yorba Linda Library
- Old Town Merchants Association
- Boys and Girls Club of Placentia-Yorba Linda
- Station Shopping Center Association
- Yorba Linda Country Riders
- Pacific West Association of Realtors
- Interfaith Council
- Yorba Linda Library Director
- Yorba Linda-Placentia YMCA
- Yorba Linda Historical Society
- Yorba Linda Chamber of Commerce
- Yorba Linda Arts Alliance
- Yorba Linda “Noon” Rotary Club
- Senior Citizens Club
- Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District
- Yorba Linda Veterans Memorial Association
- Orange County Wheelmen
- Orange County Bicycle Coalition
- Equestrian Coalition of Orange County
During the interviews each organization representative was asked four questions and then allowed to give additional comments (See appendix D for sample questionnaire). The questions were:

1. “What do you think are priorities for parks and facilities in Yorba Linda?”
2. “What programs or activities do you think are missing in the community?”
3. “Do you hear complaints about parks or programs, and if so what are they?”
4. “Is there anything special you would like the Master Plan to address?”

The majority consensus for question number one was:

- Providing quality neighborhood parks
- Maintenance of existing facilities
- Maintaining the Yorba Linda Community Center
- Providing sports facilities including fields, gyms, outdoor courts and golf course
- Public meeting room spaces
- Facilities for events and performances

The majority consensus for question number two was:

- Nothing really, current programs are diverse and numerous
- Regional events that attract visitors and create business opportunities
- Programs for youth and teens

The majority consensus for question number three was:

- Some fields need new backstops and irrigation improvements
- Conflicts with use at the Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center
- Desire for more “bicycle compatible” trails
- Need larger card rooms for senior programs
- Golf course parking is difficult
- Rental fees for facilities are expensive
- Need more lighted volleyball and basketball outdoor courts

The majority consensus for question number four was:

- A larger Library with expanded hours
- Outdoor event space for all types of events
- A performing arts center with art galleries
- A separate senior center
- More teen activities at TLFH and TRAC
- A larger tiny tot/toddler facility (TRAC too small)
- A soccer field complex
- A gym dedicated to just City programs with a fitness center
- Expand exercise and dance programs
- A new equestrian center
- Connecting the trail system and creating trail loops
- More lighted multipurpose sports fields
- More summer job opportunities for teens
- Preservation of open space
- A dog park
- A public swimming pool
- Water play areas in neighborhood parks

4.13 Senior Citizens Survey

In addition to meeting with the Yorba Linda Seniors Club at the Yorba Linda Community Center and interviewing other senior providers in the community, a Senior Citizens Survey was also conducted (see Appendix E for Senior Citizen Survey form). The survey was handed out to participants at senior activities, by the Seniors Club, at senior events, and was available for seniors to pick up at City facilities.
The senior citizen survey was conducted in April & May 2012 to gain feedback on how aware seniors are of the programs, activities and services that are available to them in Yorba Linda; if they think any of these programs, activities and services should be expanded; and, if they would be willing to pay a fee for the programs, activities, facilities and services if it was affordable.

The survey also asked for information about their use of the Yorba Linda Community Center for senior programs and their use of the Yorba Linda Library. Demographic information on age, living arrangements, ethnicity, gender and their use of computers was also asked. Finally, the seniors were given a chance to make suggestions and give a personal comment.

About 1000 surveys were handed out and 124 were returned. There were some surveys returned where the respondent did not answer all of the questions, choosing to answer only those they wanted to give input on.

The completed surveys were a good mix of demographics and a good cross section of program participants. While a random written survey is not statistically a representation of all seniors in the community, the over 10% return rate does provide considerable input on senior needs and demand for services in Yorba Linda. This survey, along with direct input from focus groups, interviews and other community surveys provides a good basis for determining future senior citizen activity, program, facility and service needs.

DEMOGRAPHIC OVERVIEW OF SURVEY RESPONDENTS

Gender

Thirty two percent (32%) of the respondents were male and seventy two percent (72%) were female, so more than double the survey opinions are from women. According to the “ESRI” 2010 Census Summary Profile demographic information (this will be used in both the General Plan Update and the Parks & Recreation Master Plan Update), the percentage breakdown of males and females 50 years of age and older in Yorba Linda is 48.5% male and 51.5% female. In reviewing the attendance figures of seniors in programs at the Yorba Linda Community Center we found that the response percentages approximate the actual participation rates between men and women. The possible reasons for this and what possible things could be implemented to increase the male participation in senior programs and activities will be discussed in the final needs analysis of the park and recreation Master Plan.

Ethnicity

Seventy nine percent (79%) of the respondents indicated their race as Caucasian; 8% Asian (other than Chinese, Vietnamese or Korean); 6% Chinese; 2% Vietnamese; 2%
Hispanic; 2% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander and 1% Native American Indian or Alaska Native. The “ESRI” 2010 Census Summary Profile of ethnic breakdown for persons 50 and older in Yorba Linda shows that 80% are Caucasian, 14% Asian, 10% Hispanic, 1% Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and 3% Native American or Alaska Native. This means the ethnic percentage distribution of surveys received generally equals the percentage distribution of seniors in Yorba Linda, except for the low response rate of the senior Hispanic population.

**Age**

The age distributions of the respondents were as follows:

- 50 – 59 = 11%
- 60 – 69 = 22%
- 70 – 79 = 52%
- 80 – 89 = 18%
- 90 – 99 = 3%

No one over 100 years of age responded.

The “ESRI” 2010 Census Summary Profile of people over 50 years of age in Yorba Linda is as follows:

- 50 – 59 = 51%
- 60 – 69 = 30%
- 70 – 79 = 12%
- 80 – 89 = 5%
- 90 + = 2%

As can be expected, the majority of senior services and program users are between the ages of 60 to 89, with ages 70 to 79 the highest users of senior programs. However, the survey does represent responses from all age groups 50 to 99 using or participating in senior activities in Yorba Linda.

**Living Situation**

Eighty six percent (86%) of the respondents live independently in their own home or apartment. Fourteen percent (14%) either live in their children’s home or their children live with them in their home. This statistic is most likely due to the current economic
situation and to cultural preferences to take care of one’s family. It is consistent with AARP’s findings that over 10% of the seniors in America either live with their children or their children live with them. We did not receive any responses from seniors living in assisted living or full care facilities.

**Computer Knowledge & Ownership**

Ninety four percent (94%) responded that they own a computer that works and ninety two percent (92%) responded that they know how to use the computer. Ninety one percent (91%) indicated they send and receive e-mail, twenty nine percent (29%) said they use Facebook, and 4% said they used Twitter. It appears that communicating with seniors via e-mail blasts and Facebook will be an effective way of marketing and informing seniors of available programs, activities and services.

**PROGRAM AND FACILITY AWARENESS AND PARTICIPATION**

**Participation in Programs at the Yorba Linda Community Center**

Eighty percent (80%) of responders said they participate in senior and other programs at the Yorba Linda Community Center. Twenty percent (20%) indicated they participated in senior programs elsewhere. Seventy three percent (73%) of responders indicated they participate in senior programs with friends or neighbors, while twenty seven percent (27%) indicated they attend programs and activities on their own.

**Deterrents to Participation**

When asked if there are any deterrents to them participating in programs or activities at the Community Center, seventy four percent (74%) said there were no reasons they could not participate in programs at the Community Center. Thirteen percent (13%) said they could not afford the cost of classes and activities, 4% said transportation was a deterrent, 4% said their health was a deterrent, 4% said they have a disability that prevents them from participating, and 2% said programs at the Community Center were either not convenient for them or did not interest them.

**Volunteering**

Twenty seven percent (27%) said they volunteer to work in some capacity at the Community Center’s senior programs, while seventy three percent (73%) said they did not volunteer. If more volunteers are needed City staff may want to do some follow up surveying to ascertain what it would take to interest more seniors to volunteer.
**Use of the Yorba Linda Community Center**

Ninety one percent (91%) responded that they have used the Community Center for programs, activities or services. Twenty eight percent (28%) said they use the Community Center daily, fifty nine percent (59%) said they use the center weekly, and thirteen percent (13%) said they use the Community Center once a month or less. The responses here indicate a high degree of repeat use, i.e. eighty seven percent (87%) use the Community Center at least weekly.

**Use of the Yorba Linda Library**

When asked if they use the Yorba Linda Library, fifty one percent (51%) of the seniors responded that they did, while forty nine percent (49%) said they did not use the Library. Of those that said they use the Library, only 1% said they use it daily, while twenty four percent (24%) said they used the Library weekly. Seventy eight percent (78%) responded that they use the Library once a month or less.

**Awareness of Facilities and Programs**

Seniors were given a list of senior programs, activities, services and facilities that exist in Yorba Linda and asked if they knew that these things were available to them. The purpose of this question was to ascertain the degree of awareness seniors have of the opportunities available to them in Yorba Linda.

The following table shows the percentage of respondents answering “yes”, that they are aware of the program, activity or facility, the remaining responses either did not know of the opportunity or were not sure if it was available to them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity/Service/Facility</th>
<th>Percentage of “Yes” Answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Volunteer Program</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAILS – Senior Mobility Program</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Senior Lunch Program</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Fairs and Flu Clinics</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Classes (Yoga, Computer, Zumba, etc.)</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Exercise Classes by Volunteers</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Boutiques</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Movies</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wii Activities</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Dances</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dance Classes</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craft Classes</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mah Jong</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Room/Card Room</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parties and Holiday Activities</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity/Service/Facility</td>
<td>Percentage of “Yes” Answers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Bulletin</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AARP Tax Help</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventative Health Care for Adults (PHCA)</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Resource Information (housing, transportation, etc.)</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Informed Lecture Series</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Planning</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballroom Dancing Lessons</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Surplus Food</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping Pong</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bingo</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places to Walk and Stroll</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Areas with Park Benches</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerts</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Programs</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Rooms</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAP Exercise, Wellness, Quilting &amp; Mature Driving</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Tea &amp; Fashion Show</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the awareness percentages are good and show that a high majority of seniors are getting the information they need to be aware of the opportunities available to them in Yorba Linda. Those programs, facilities and services that received less than a 70% awareness rating should be looked at as to how information about them can be publicized more so that seniors are made more aware of these opportunities.

**Need for Additional Programs**

Seniors were asked to review the list again and indicate if they thought City staff needed to focus on offering any more of the items listed. This question was asked to ascertain if seniors thought that the existing level of offerings is adequate or if there were certain programs, facilities or services that needed to be increased. The following table shows the results of the percentage of responders answering “Yes” that the level of offerings for these items needs to be increased. The remaining percentages did not think any increase in the offering of these programs, services or facilities is needed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity/Service/Facility</th>
<th>Percentage of “Yes” Answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Volunteer Program</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAILS – Senior Mobility Program</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Senior Lunch Program</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Fairs and Flu Clinics</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Classes (Yoga, Computer, Zumba, etc.)</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Exercise Classes</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Boutiques</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Movies</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wii Activities</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Dances</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dance Classes</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craft Classes</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mah Jong</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Room/Card Room</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parties and Holiday Activities</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Bulletin</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AARP Tax Help</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventative Health Care for Adults (PHCA)</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Resource Information (housing, transportation, etc.)</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Informed Lecture Series</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Planning</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballroom Dancing Lessons</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Surplus Food</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping Pong</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bingo</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places to Walk and Stroll</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Areas with Park Benches</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerts</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Programs</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Rooms</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billiards</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAP Exercise, Wellness, Quilting &amp; Mature Driving</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Tea &amp; Fashion Show</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While an average of twenty five percent (25%) responded that there should be more offerings of the programs and activities listed, an average of seventy five percent (75%) indicated the level of offerings are fine and do not need to be increased. City staff may want to look at those items that received more than 25% “Yes” responses that the
offering needs to be increased to see if there is actually a demand for it or if the respondents just like the program and responded accordingly.

**Willingness to Pay**

The final question dealt with the issue of paying for the items listed. Seniors were asked to review the list again and indicate if they would be willing to pay for the activity, facility or service if they thought it was affordable. This question was included to ascertain the willingness of seniors to pay for existing programs and services if a time comes that tax dollars are no longer available to subsidize or support the offerings. The following chart shows the percentage of seniors responding “Yes” they would be willing to pay for the program, service or facility. The remaining responses were either “no”, they would not pay for the item; or, they were unsure if they would be willing to pay or not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity/Service/Facility</th>
<th>Percentage of “Yes” Answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Volunteer Program</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAILS – Senior Mobility Program</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Senior Lunch Program</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Fairs and Flu Clinics</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Classes (Yoga, Computer, Zumba, Line Dance, etc.)</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Exercise Classes</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Boutiques</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Movies</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wii Activities</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Dances</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dance Classes</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craft Classes</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mah Jong</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Room/Card Room</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parties and Holiday Activities</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Bulletin</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AARP Tax Help</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventative Health Care for Adults</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Resource Information</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Informed Lecture Series</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Planning</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballroom Dancing Lessons</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Surplus Food</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping Pong</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bingo</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity/Service/Facility</th>
<th>Percentage of “Yes” Answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Places to Walk and Stroll</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Areas with Park Benches</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerts</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Programs</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Rooms</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billiards</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAP Exercise, Wellness, Quilting &amp; Mature Driving</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Tea &amp; Fashion Show</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of seniors that would pay for existing programs, facilities and services if the city were forced to charge for them to keep them going is relatively low. Those items that received a twenty five percent (25%) or higher “Yes” response stand the best chance of continuing to draw participation if fees need to be charged or increased to continue the activity. Willingness to pay should not be considered an indicator of the popularity of a program, facility or service, but rather the perceived value to a senior in their personal budget of what they would be willing to spend their money on.

### 4.14 Senior Comments

Seniors were given an opportunity to provide a written comment at the end of the survey. The following is a list of the comments received.

- “I would like to see more trees planted on the walking trails”
- “We have been members of the Senior Center since it opened and are proud to have it in Yorba Linda”
- “I think the TRAILS bus transportation should be available to persons who are in close proximity to Yorba Linda because the Community Center is more convenient to them”
- “The staff working at the Community Center is wonderful”
- “Bridge teachers are great”
- “Bridge is a terrific asset for the seniors of Yorba Linda; it keeps their minds sharp and gives them opportunity to socialize”
- “I love the dance classes; I go 2 or 3 times a week”
- “Bridge occupies seniors 6 hours per week which is a great thing”
- “Duplicate bridge lessons bring more participants to the Community Center”
“There needs to be more duplicate bridge lessons for beginners, maybe you could increase the number of volunteer teachers”

“The Community Center staff is doing a great job, keep it up”

“The opportunity to learn and play bridge is very important to seniors”

“Yorba Linda needs a nicer Senior Center like the one in Anaheim”

“We need a larger card room”

“It would be nice to have a snack bar open during the day when seniors are in the Community Center”

“I would like to see better quality lunches”

“Can the coffee be ready at 8am sharp when people arrive?”

“We could use a new copy machine for the Senior Club”

“I would like to suggest a larger card room, an open area like in other senior centers”

“I wish there were more free yoga programs”

“I am a resident of Yorba Linda but I participate in the senior programs with my friends who live in Placentia and would like to see the transportation extended so we all could get to the Community Center together”

“The health and wellness exercise programs are excellent”

“I like the exercise programs that let you do as little or as much as you want, please keep this program”

“Thanks for asking for my input”

“I participate in all the senior activities at the Community Center and enjoy it very much”

“Thank you for the survey, looking forward to seeing the results”

“I enjoy all the activities available to me at the Community Center”

“I come to the Community Center several times a week and enjoy the cleanliness of the facility”

“The only service besides street cleaning I utilize in Yorba Linda is the bridge program at the Community Center”
“Yorba Linda needs a bigger room for pool tables like Fullerton and Anaheim have”

“I have been attending senior programs at the Community Center for 2 1/2 years and I think it is now time for the City to build a new senior center to house all the baby boomers who will be taking classes in the future”

“The exercise classes and movement classes, like dance, are helping me stay fit”

“I wish the City would do away with the “Right Turn Only” at the exit of the Community Center parking lot”

“I always have a nice day when I go to the Community Center”

“I really appreciate the computer classes”

“Doing a monthly senior bulletin would be great as it would inform more seniors”

“The senior bulletin should be put in prominent areas and be larger”

“Thank you for taking this survey”

“I would like to see increased services for transportation”

“The TRAILS bus needs more room for legs”

“I would like to see a new facility for seniors with larger rooms”

“We need a bigger room for bridge”

“I enjoy the table tennis program and would like to see a larger room for it”

“I would like to see a separate facility for seniors with larger rooms”

“I enjoy the reading rooms at the library and would like to see more reading spaces available”

“The library reading programs are excellent”

“The TRAILS transportation program needs more days”

“The exercise programs are excellent, it keeps us healthy and mentally alert”

“I would like to see a gym with a personal trainer for seniors, this would be an excellent idea to keep senior healthy and fit, many senior centers provide this service i.e. Cerritos Senior Center”

“I think the senior programs are great and would like to see a new senior center facility so the programs can be expanded”
4.15 Cultural Organizations Focus Group

A focus group meeting was held in September 2012 with representatives from various cultural organizations in Yorba Linda. The following are the minutes of that meeting which focus on the cultural issues and needs in the community.

Some discussion points raised during the meeting included:

- Funding challenges
- Opportunities
  - The money names the opportunities
  - Endowment
  - Private investors
  - Donors
    - Certainty first, before investment
    - City Council challenges
- Public vs. Private Facility
- Joint Use/Multi-Use Facility
  - Local use
- The prior Facility Feasibility Study

The group discussed the following topics:

- Programs that should be financially supported by the City Yorba Linda
- Cultural arts facilities that should be recommended in the Master Plan
- Best way for the City to support the arts in Yorba Linda

The discussions lead to the following points:

- Downtown as a Gathering Place, a new town center/art district
- Art District with entertainment and dining, visually and intellectually stimulating, gallery/display area
- No Joint-Use
- Groups have to go out of YL to perform = not good. They are forgotten
- Want a unique separate facility
- Want entertainment and dining in Yorba Linda
- Library
  - Changing needs but still very important
- Seniors, teens, and babies are covered but there is no place for the age groups between teen and seniors
- Public Art Pieces – YL doesn’t have any and they are sad about it.
- Get money from hotel taxes and development fees
- **City vs. Private Money $\$**
  - What about Chamber of Commerce support?
  - When City Funding is cut, programs get cut
    - Need a long term funding source like a non-profit, not the City
  - Need a strong advocate for the arts on City Council
    - Community support is there already
- **YL needs an Arts Identity**
  - Already have sports, schools, equestrian
- **YL Wants/Needs:**
  - **Craft Center**
    - Don’t forget about all age groups
    - Studio – artist in residency is a great idea
      - Look at Palos Verdes
        - Paint, ceramics, fashion, entertainment, homes tours, picnics
    - Want a center that anyone can drop into
  - Let’s involve business owners
  - Private arts/dance centers can get pricy

### 4.16 Cultural Focus Group Survey Results

Participants in the Cultural Focus Group were asked to rank the importance of cultural facilities and programs on a scale of 1 to 5; 1 being most important and 5 being not important. The graphs of the results are contained in the appendix section and a summary of their answers are as follows:

**The two cultural programs that received a 100% agreement on “Must Have” were:**

- “Art in Public Places”
- “Opportunities for local artists to display” (Art Fairs).

**The cultural programs that received 75% agreement as “Very Important, should have” included:**

- Contract Classes in the Arts (Music, Dance, Voice, etc.)
- Development of a Community Performing Arts Theater
- Collaboration of City & Schools for Cultural Opportunities & Marketing
- Development of a Fine Arts Museum
- Excursions to Cultural Events
- Artist in Residency Program
- New Community Center with Arts & Cultural Activity Studios
• Gallery Space in Public Buildings
• Band & Music Competitions
• Dance Competitions
• Culturally Themed City-Wide Events
• Museum Volunteer Opportunities
• Art Opportunities for Tots, Youth & Teens
• Second Amphitheater
• Development of Downtown Art District
• New Library with Expanded Space for Cultural Programs

The cultural programs that received at least 50% agreement as “Like to have” included:

• Susanna Bixby Bryant Museum
• Summer Concerts in the Park
• Art Opportunities for Seniors
• Historic Preservation

4.17 Community Cultural Groups Survey

In addition to the input from the Cultural Focus Group, several cultural organizations filled out a cultural survey (See Appendix F for Cultural Survey Form).

The cultural survey contained three questions:

1. Please list the 3 most important cultural programs you believe should be financially supported by the City of Yorba Linda (Concerts in the Park, Art Fairs, Library/Museum programs, etc.)

2. Please list the 3 most important cultural arts facilities that you think belong in Yorba Linda (art galleries, performing arts theatre, museums, artist studios, amphitheater, or other arts facilities)

3. How you think is the best way for the City of Yorba Linda to support the arts in Yorba Linda?

The results for question number one were:

• Community Theater
• Art Fairs
• Concerts in the Park

The results for question two were:

• Performing Arts Theater
Another Amphitheater
Another Community Center with art studios, rehearsal rooms and classrooms

The results for question three in priority order were:

1. The City should partner with non-profit arts organizations to help them provide facilities and programs
2. The City should operate cultural arts programs and facilities directly (Concerts in the Park, Art Fairs, Library/Museum programs, etc.)
3. The City should provide financial incentives to commercial visual and performing arts companies to attract them to Yorba Linda
4. The City should partner with other public agencies, like schools, County of Orange, State and other cities to provide arts facilities and programs
5. The City should provide fee based cultural arts programs, activities and classes using existing Community Center and City facilities

The majority of comments in the survey dealt with the need for a performing arts facility in Yorba Linda. Yorba Linda residents have to travel to Brea or Fullerton to participate or see performances by the Yorba Linda Community Theater Group. They expressed a desire for a multi-functional cultural facility in Yorba Linda that could accommodate community theater, traveling shows, fine art exhibits, classrooms, rehearsal space, set building space, music & dance studios, and contain office and storage space.

4.18 Web Based and Hard Copy Community Survey

In order to get a broad representation of community residents in the outreach process a community survey of parks and recreation was prepared in both paper and on-line digital formats. Surveys were made available at all City facilities, handed out at City activities, and put on-line for people to access and respond.

The survey was marketed on the City’s web site, at City facilities and through the General Plan Update visioning sessions. There were over 1,000 responses to the survey (see Appendix H for community survey form). Not every person answered every question; some questions were skipped by responders. Therefore, the percentage results just include the questions that received an answer.

The results of the survey were as follows:

1. In which community do you live?
   - West Yorba Linda 28.4%
   - Central Yorba Linda 26.1%
   - East Yorba Linda 27.1%
   - Another City 18.4%
The responses represent responses from almost an equal amount of residents in each area of the city. The 18.4% of non Yorba Linda residents is close to the approximately 20% nonresident participation rates in park and recreation programs. The nonresident responses came from people living in Anaheim/Anaheim Hills (5.4%); Placentia (7.2%); and, Fullerton, Brea and Unincorporated County (5.8%).

While not strictly statically valid, the survey results do represent the opinions and use patterns of enough residents in each area of the city to establish a creditable assumption that the answers represent what all sections of the Yorba Linda community and those that use Yorba Linda facilities and programs from surrounding cities feel are the important issues to address in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

2. Which of the following benefits of parks, recreation services, and open space are most important to you?

- Provide opportunities to enjoy nature and the outdoors  53.8%
- Promote youth mental & physical development  31.3%
- Improve fitness, health and wellness  33.6%
- Protect the natural environment  16.2%
- Provide opportunities for lifelong learning  14.1%
- Provide cultural opportunities  20.5%
- Help seniors maintain socially active lifestyles  8.7%
- Connect people together, building stronger families  27.2%
- Enhance community image and sense of place  11.3%
- Help disabled persons feel included & socially active  4.2%

The benefits of parks and recreation services that were the most important to people included opportunities to enjoy nature and open space, improving their fitness & health, promoting youth mental & physical development, connecting people together & building stronger families and providing for cultural opportunities.

In allocating resources and space uses, programs, activities and facilities that address these important benefits should be considered a priority.

3. If cultural opportunities are most important to you what types of arts, programs and/or activities would you like to see offered?

The cultural programs/activities that received the highest degree of priority were:

- Music programs  74.7%
- Theater programs  49.7%
- Dance programs  38.3%
- Art Exhibitions (Art Fairs)  25.8%
- Art in Public Places  20.9%
Several people responded that Equestrian Programs were a cultural activity to them and wanted to see more facilities and programs for Equestrians. Music programs, such as Concerts in the Park, music classes, teen concerts, and music activities for tots/toddlers were clearly the priority with almost 75% of the responses wanting these programs.

Almost half of the responses also felt theater programs/activities are a priority and over a third of the responses felt dance programs/activities are a priority. About a quarter of the responses indicated art exhibitions, such as Art Fairs, and gallery programs were a priority.

4. **What is the ONE type of park you would MOST like to see added in Yorba Linda to meet the needs of the members of your household?**

The responses in order of priority were:

1. A Large multi-use park that serves the whole community
2. Expanded Trails
3. Neighborhood Parks
4. A Sports Complex
5. Preservation of Open Space
6. Equestrian Center
7. No Additional Parks are needed
8. Skate Park
9. Tennis Center

The facilities that were rated above the response “No Additional Parks Needed” are the types of parks that should be the priorities in the Master Plan for future development. The skate park and tennis center may be desired by a certain segment of the community; however, the community as a whole has other priorities.

5. **What is the ONE type of recreation facility you would MOST like to see added in Yorba Linda to meet the needs of the members of your household?**

The responses in order of priority were:

1. Trails & Walking Paths
2. Outdoor Public Pool
3. Performing Arts Center
4. Sports Complex
5. Equestrian Facilities
6. Tennis Courts
7. Another Multi-use Gym
8. Teen Center
9. Outdoor Lighted Basketball Courts
10. Another Community Center
11. Senior Center

The responses indicate the broad based community’s priorities for new facilities. These responses will be compared to the results of the other community outreach tools to analyze the final priority recommendations in the Master Plan for future new facilities.

6. If you have used any of the following Yorba Linda City parks and facilities during the last 12 months for recreation purposes, please check the box that corresponds to the frequency of your use of these facilities.

The result of the use of parks was as follows:

The most frequently used parks/facilities (10% or more of responses use 1 or more times per week) included:

- Yorba Linda Community/Senior Center
- Hurless Barton Park
- Thomas Lasorda Jr. Field House
- Travis Ranch Activity Center/Gym
- Veterans Park

All Yorba Linda Parks/Facilities were visited at least once per year by all responders. These results match the attendance reports for these active facilities and indicate the need for prioritizing them in the allocation of resources for maintenance and operations to meet the heavy community demand.

7. If you have used any of the following County and State parks and facilities during the last 12 months for recreation purposes, please check the box that corresponds to the frequency of your use of these facilities.

The most frequently visited (5 times per year or more) Regional Park Facilities were as follows:

1. Yorba Regional Park 88.2%
2. Orange County Zoo/Irvine Park 68.7%
3. Craig Regional Park 50.7%
4. Chino Hills State Park 43.5%
5. Featherly Regional Park 34.7%
6. O’Neill Regional Park 24.1%

The responses indicate Regional Parks are frequently used by the responders and are therefore important to them for meeting their park and recreation needs.
8. If you have used any of the following Placentia – Yorba Linda Unified School District parks and facilities during the last 12 months for recreation purposes, please check the box that corresponds to the frequency of your use of these facilities.

The frequency of use for school facilities was as follows:

1. Yorba Linda High School 38.6%
2. Travis Ranch 38.4%
3. Yorba Linda Middle School 37.3%
4. Bryant Ranch 24.0%
5. Fairmont 22.8%
6. Lakeview 21.8%
7. Bernardo Yorba 21.6%
8. Rose Drive 19.2%
9. Mabel Paine 18.5%
10. Linda Vista 14.2%
11. Glen Knoll 12.0%

Yorba Linda High School, Travis Ranch School, and Yorba Linda Middle School are frequently used for recreation purposes, while the rest of the school facilities are used at least 5 times per year for recreation. This indicates the need for continued joint use agreements and public access to school facilities for recreation purposes.

9. If you have used any of the following other city and agency parks and facilities during the last 12 months for recreation purposes, please check the box that corresponds to the frequency of your use of these facilities.

The frequency of use of other cities and agencies parks & facilities was as follows:

1. Richard Nixon Library 65.4%
2. Placentia Parks 46.5%
3. Brea Parks 44.5%
4. Santa Ana Zoo 40.9%
5. Cal State Fullerton 37.4%
6. Fullerton Parks 35.9%
7. Anaheim Parks 30.7%
8. American Sports Center (Anaheim) 11.1%

A third to almost a half of the responders seek recreation opportunities at parks in other cities and two thirds visit the Richard Nixon Library at least once per year.
10. Overall, which of the following statements best describes your satisfaction with the physical condition (maintenance, cleanliness, etc.) of the Yorba Linda parks and facilities you have visited?

- Very satisfied 65.3%
- Somewhat satisfied 32.2%
- Somewhat dissatisfied 2.0%
- Very dissatisfied .4%

Two thirds of the responders were very satisfied with the maintenance and cleanliness of Yorba Linda parks and facilities. 97.5% were either satisfied or very satisfied. This indicates the city is maintaining its parks and facilities to the level the community is expecting.

11. Have you or others in your household participated in recreation programs or organized sports activities (e.g. team sports) in Yorba Linda?

- Yes 75.3%
- No 24.7%

Three quarters of the responders answered the survey from the point of view of people who have participated in park and recreation programs in Yorba Linda and therefore have firsthand knowledge of the facilities and programs. The responders that have not participated in any programs in Yorba Linda provide the perspective of the community that is interested enough to fill out the survey, but has yet to participate in any activities, but may have visited parks in Yorba Linda. The responses to this question give credibility to the survey that it represents the opinions of both users and non-users, and therefore is a good gauge of the entire community.

Question 12 was a follow up question for the “Yes” answers to question 11, asking what was the program they participated in, so that a check could be made if was in fact a Yorba Linda program.

13. How would you rate the overall quality of recreation programs provided by the Parks and Recreation Department?

- Excellent 39.9%
- Good 51.7%
- Fair 7.9%
- Poor .5%

The majority of the responders rated the quality of the Yorba Linda Park and Recreation programs “Good to Fair” (60%), while almost 40% said they are excellent. One of the
goals in the Master Plan should be to reverse these numbers and improve the quality of programs so that the customer responses are 60% excellent and 40% good to fair.

More program variety and options, additional staff training, ease of registration, more convenient times, better supervision and organization of programs, improved or additional facilities to meet demand, and better follow up on customer complaints or suggestions are ways to increase quality and reach a goal of 60% or better excellent rating.

14. If you did not participate in programs, classes or lessons, what are your reasons?

- Too busy, no time 36.6%
- Held at inconvenient times 26.8%
- Not aware of programs 17.1%
- Too expensive 15.2%
- Need child care 12.5%
- Classes or programs are full 7.9%
- Poor quality of programs 2.1%
- Need Transportation 1.5%

In today’s society there is a lot of demand on people’s time and a multitude of options for spending your leisure time, so the answer to the question of why a person did not participate of “Too busy, no time” is expected. A “Level of Service” goal for Parks & Recreation Departments should be to have all other answers at 10% or less, which would indicate the reason for not participating is insignificant in light of 90% of the community does not consider the issue a barrier to participation.

Consequently, the Parks and Recreation Department should look at when programs are offered, how they are marketed, what the cost is, and how child care might be provided in order to address the barriers to participation responders indicated were above 10% in the survey.

15. What is the ONE program, class or special event you would MOST like to see added in Yorba Linda to meet the needs of the members of your household? Please write “None” if Yorba Linda offers everything your family needs.

About 70% of the survey responders answered “None, Yorba Linda offers everything our family needs”. The answers of the 30% that indicated a program, class or event they would like to see fell into the following categories:

- More Swim Classes and Recreational swim at YLHS pool
- Computer classes for older adults
- More toddler activities
- A chess club
• More activities, sports, and classes for teenagers
• Equestrian Boarding facilities
• Equestrian arenas and event space
• Education help with Math/Reading classes kids 5-12
• Some help/assistance with autistic children
• A Municipal Public Swimming Pool
• More Yoga Classes
• Adult volleyball league and soccer league
• Gymnastics program
• Art & Yoga in the morning hours
• Fencing and archery for kids
• Indoor soccer
• Line Dancing
• Yoga for the whole family at convenient times
• A nice dog park for all breeds- fencin off small dogs from larger breeds
• More local transportation to be able to go to events
• Theatre Classes
• Cooking Classes
• Splash pool/water feature in neighborhood parks
• More Craft Classes
• A Senior Golf League
• Jr. Golf League and Tournaments
• Music Classes
• More Adult Softball Fields
• Hiking programs
• More tennis programs
• Arts & Crafts Festivals
• Learning Chinese
• More Dance classes
• Skate Park
• More Movies and Concerts in the Park
• Extended Hours for Adventure Playground
• Track events
• Archery range
• Programs for single Adults
• More fitness classes and equipment
• More sports fields for sports groups
• Home improvement classes
Staff should review this list and see if these suggestions can be accommodated by expanding class offerings, improving or adding to facilities, or by initiating new programs.

16. Thinking about the needs of your household, which of the following do you feel should be the focus of the City of Yorba Linda in its park and recreation efforts?

1. Maintaining existing parks and facilities 36.3%
2. Providing recreation programs and activities 28.7%
3. Upgrading existing parks 26.5%
4. Preserving open space 24.8%
5. Building new sports facilities 16.6%
6. Developing new parks 9.3%
7. Acquiring land for future parks 8.3%
8. Building a new senior center 2.3%

The results of this question set the tone for what the community would like to see be the Parks & Recreation Departments focus in terms of allocating staff resources, funding and facility space. These objectives will be incorporated into the policy documents of the Parks & Recreation Master Plan and the City’s General Plan update.

17. In general, please select the statement that most describes your feeling about supporting a tax measure to maintain and improve existing parks and trails, acquire more parks and natural areas, or develop more trails, parks, and recreation facilities?

• 12.5% said they would support it period
• 46.4% responded that they would support it depending on the amount they would have to pay and the projects the money would be used for
• 18% said they would not support it under any circumstances

18. If you would support a tax measure for parks and recreation, please circle the amount you would be willing to pay per year?

• 27.9% said they would pay up to $15 annually per household
• 25.8% said they would pay up to $25 annually per household
• 25.2% said they would pay up to $50 annually per household
• 3.5% said they would pay up to $75 annually per household
• 14.2% said they would pay up to $100 annually per household
• 3.5% said they would pay over $100 annually per household
It appears from the results of these two questions the City could get a minimum 60% yes vote on a tax assessment of at least $15 per year for park projects that meet the priority policies that focuses on:

- Maintaining existing parks and facilities
- Help the City provide recreation programs and activities
- Upgrading existing parks
- Preserve open space

### 4.19 Community Comments

There were 759 responders who made comments on the recreation issues, programs and facilities that are important to them. They are grouped by issue, program or facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Responses</th>
<th>Issue, Facility or Program Commented On</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Equestrians – Either the desire for an equestrian facility or support of the equestrian lifestyle and image of Yorba Linda. There were 4 comments that said an equestrian center is not needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Dog Park – Either the desire for an off-leash dog park or support for dog parks. There were no comments that said a dog park was not needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Youth Sports Complex – Either the desire for more youth sports fields for soccer and lacrosse or the desire for a large multi-purpose lighted field for youth sports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Downtown Art/Entertainment District – Supported the development of a downtown art and entertainment district with restaurants, theaters, shops and special events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Neighborhood Parks – Support for building more neighborhood parks with children’s play areas and picnic facilities to serve neighborhoods that currently don’t have a neighborhood park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Cultural Programs – Supported the need for more cultural programs, visual arts, performing arts, cultural trips, art fairs, concerts, dances, arts &amp; crafts, public art, cultural classes in music, voice, dance, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Multipurpose Community Center – Supported the need for another community center, mainly on the east side of town, which would house preschool/toddler/tiny tots program, studios for cultural classes and teen activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Splash Pad/Water Play – Supported the need for a splash pad/water play amenity in neighborhood parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Municipal Pool – Supported a city pool for year round aquatics programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Walking Paths – Supported the installation of walking paths in neighborhood parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Gymnasium – Supported building a new gym facility for city programs only, not in conjunction with schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Golf Facilities – Supported expanding golf facilities, like practice facilities or a 9 hole golf course. 3 responses for additional parking at Black Gold Golf Course.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Outdoor Volleyball Courts – Supported the need for more grass or sand outdoor volleyball courts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Outdoor Basketball Courts – Supported the need for more outdoor basketball courts or making the school basketball courts available to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Adult Softball Fields – Supported the need for more adult softball fields to expand the adult softball program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Shade Covers – Supported the need for shade covers on children’s playground areas in neighborhood parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Safety &amp; Cleanliness – Commented on the need to keep parks and facilities clean and safe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Trails – Supported the need for more multi-purpose equestrian trails and connections to existing trails.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Preschool/Toddler Facility – Supported the need for a new facility for city preschool/toddler programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Amphitheater – Either requests for improvements to existing amphitheater or for another...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Responses</td>
<td>Issue, Facility or Program Commented On</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tennis Center – Supported the need for a new tennis center or lighting of more tennis courts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Preservation of Open Space – Supported the need for open space, preserving the canyon areas, keeping some areas passive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Senior Services – Supported the need for a senior center, larger game rooms, and more senior services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Holiday Events – Supported the need for more holiday special events that would involve different cultures, all ages, and the business community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Life Long Learning Classes – Supported the need for more classes for adults and seniors in computers, arts, careers, and language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fitness Center – Supported the addition of a fitness center to the community center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bike Trails – Supported the development of bike trails connecting parks, schools and for recreational riding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Skate Park – Requested a skate park for Yorba Linda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.20 Facility Comparisons & Standard Guidelines

Introduction

In order to determine the adequacy of park and recreation facilities to serve Yorba Linda residents, part of the process is to compare the number of park amenities Yorba Linda has to the average number of the same park amenities similar surrounding cities. It is also helpful to compare the number of facilities provided locally to national standards or guidelines for park amenities based on population. Finally, looking at surplus and deficit demand ratios based on participant guidelines and comparing them to Yorba Linda attendance rates, gives three different bases for determining policy for what future park facilities and amenities the City should pursue when developing new parks and refurbishing existing parks.

Choice of Comparison Cities and Facilities

The cities chosen to do the comparisons were selected based on their similar population and income (2010 Census), lifestyles, recreation budgets and providing similar park facilities compared to Yorba Linda. The comparison cities included:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recreation Budget</th>
<th>Maintenance Included?</th>
<th>Population in Households</th>
<th>Household Median Income</th>
<th>Household Median Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laguna Niguel</td>
<td>$3,615,992</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>62,663</td>
<td>$94,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brea</td>
<td>$6,380,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>39,360</td>
<td>$93,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buena Park</td>
<td>$5,081,210</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>77,456</td>
<td>$74,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Point</td>
<td>$4,557,762</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33,559</td>
<td>$107,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encinitas</td>
<td>$5,948,379</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>59,754</td>
<td>$114,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fountain Valley</td>
<td>$2,026,247</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>55,557</td>
<td>$95,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laguna Beach</td>
<td>$2,676,200</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>22,870</td>
<td>$142,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Forest</td>
<td>$2,733,600</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>70,460</td>
<td>$109,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda</td>
<td>$5,303,928</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>64,991</td>
<td>$139,511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The park facilities and amenities chosen to compare are the ones that the Yorba Linda community survey, user interviews and other Master Plan outreach tools indicated are Yorba Linda residents most popular and desired park facilities and amenities they want in their community. The Equestrian and Cultural Arts Facility comparisons are done separately in the equestrian and cultural arts sections of the Parks & Recreation Master Plan.

The difference in the number of particular facilities cities provide their residents is based upon several factors; such as, if schools, colleges or other agencies provide facilities within their service areas; the demographic makeup of the community, i.e. median age of residents, income levels, etc.; available commercial recreation opportunities; presence of youth sports organizations; and, special interest lifestyles within the community, i.e. equestrian communities, golf communities, art communities, historic communities, etc. The number of sports fields a city reports depends on the relationship with their local school district(s), i.e. do they have a joint use agreement and does the city schedule school fields? For comparison purposes, only city owned sports fields and courts and those on school property that are under a joint use agreement are counted. Private, non-profit, church or other agency fields and courts were not counted because of the restricted access for public use for these types of facilities. The numbers of facilities that are listed on the comparison cities web sites may be different from the comparison tables due to adjustments made to provide a consistent way of counting facilities. For example, a city may list a community center with two meeting rooms, but if those meeting rooms can be divided into two rooms each the count is four in the comparison table. The same is true for sports fields, if they are multi-purpose and used by more than one sport they are counted in each sport. Also, a city may list a site as having one soccer field, but if that field can be configured into small or medium soccer fields, then the total number of fields that can be configured are counted.

The comparison does not separate out lighted sports fields by sport type, as most lighted fields are used by multiple types of sports, only the total number of lighted fields an agency has are counted in the comparison so that an average of the number of total lighted fields can be ascertained for comparison purposes. For example, if one lighted field is used for both softball and soccer it is counted as one lighted field.

The facility comparisons are done to present a picture of what facility deficiencies there may be in Yorba Linda so that they can be addressed in the demand and needs analysis. The demand and needs analysis will determine if there is really a need for the facility or if that facility use is being met by other means.

For example, Yorba Linda does not have a standalone Senior Center facility like all of the other comparison cities, but rather dedicates significant Community Center space to senior programs. If one just looks at the facility comparison table it might appear that
Yorba Linda is deficient in providing a senior center. The demand and needs analysis will analyze if senior needs are being met by allocating space in the Yorba Linda Community Center or if a standalone senior center is actually needed to meet future senior program demands; or, if senior programs need their own facility to free up space in the community center to meet community center program demand.

Another example is adult softball fields. The comparison tables show that Yorba Linda is deficient. However, Yorba Linda leases adult softball fields from the City of Anaheim to run its adult softball program. The demand and needs analysis will note this situation and look to see if leasing fields will meet future needs for adult softball programs or if Yorba Linda should address developing adult softball fields of their own.

In other words, the comparison tables are tools the study uses to flag differences, so that they can be addressed in the demand and needs analysis, where it can be determined if more facilities are actually needed to meet future needs, or if the demand for facilities that the comparison tables show are deficient is being met by other means.

### 4.21 Table I – Facility Comparisons between Cities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Facility</th>
<th>Laguna Niguel</th>
<th>Brea</th>
<th>Buena Park</th>
<th>Dana Point</th>
<th>Encinitas</th>
<th>Fountain Valley</th>
<th>Laguna Beach</th>
<th>Lake Forest</th>
<th>Yorba Linda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor BB Courts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor VB Courts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Room</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Meeting Rooms</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lighted Sports Fields</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Softball Fields (Skinned 60’ bases)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Softball Fields (Skinned 40’ bases)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Little League Fields</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Soccer Fields (60 X 40 Yards)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Soccer Fields (80 x 60 Yards)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Soccer Fields (100 x 60 Yards)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL Soccer Fields (110 x 70 Yards)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football Fields</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor BB Courts</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor VB Courts</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Pool</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.22 Table II – Facility Comparison Averages

The following table compares the number of facilities in Yorba Linda with the average number of facilities in the nine comparison cities. If Yorba Linda has up to 1 more than the average, then the comment will read: “Slightly Above Average”. If Yorba Linda has more than 1 above the average, the comment will read “Above Average”. Conversely, if Yorba Linda has less than 1 less than the average, the comment will read: “Slightly Below Average” and if Yorba Linda has more than 1 less than the average, the comment will read: “Below the Average”. These designations will be used later in the demand and needs analysis as scoring criteria to determine actual demand for facilities.

It should be noted that just because Yorba Linda is above or below the average does not mean that it has too many or too few of a particular facility. Yorba Linda may have more than the average of the other cities because it is filling a community demand for the facility, or it may have less than the average because some other agency is filling the demand in the community.

The average comparisons ratios in Table II will be matched to the summary analysis of the community outreach tools to determine if there is an unmet need in Yorba Linda for any of the facilities. For example, if the average comparison shows a “Below the Average” or “Slightly Below Average” and the summary analysis of the community outreach tools indicate a substantial community request or demand for that facility, then the conclusion in the demand and needs analysis will be that more of that facility is needed in Yorba Linda.

For example, if more indoor volleyball courts are a high request item in the community outreach, Table II will be used to score or prioritize indoor volleyball courts in the list of recommendations for facilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Facility</th>
<th>Average # of Facilities in Comparison</th>
<th>Number of Yorba Linda Facilities</th>
<th>Ratio Above or Below the Average</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Below the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- .3</td>
<td>Slightly Below Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+1.1</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor BB Courts</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+ .7</td>
<td>Slightly Above Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor VB Courts</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2.6</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Room</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-.5</td>
<td>Slightly Below Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Meeting Rooms</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+ 4.7</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lighted Sports Fields</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+ .7</td>
<td>Slightly Above Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Softball Fields</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0 (3 leased Part Time)</td>
<td>- 4.7</td>
<td>Below the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Little League Fields</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+5.3</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Softball Fields</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Soccer Fields</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+8.2</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Soccer Fields</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+1.3</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Soccer Fields</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Below the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL Soccer Fields</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>Below the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Lighted Football Fields</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>At the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor BB Courts</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>Below the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor VB Courts (Sand or Grass)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>Below the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Pool</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Below the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>At the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Below the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Tracks</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+.4</td>
<td>Slightly Above Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray Pools</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-.4</td>
<td>Slightly Below Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Courts</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+1.7</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Shelters</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Above the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bocce Ball</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+.6</td>
<td>Slightly Above Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphitheater</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>At the Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Municipal Golf Course</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+.3</td>
<td>Slightly Above Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure Playground</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+.9</td>
<td>Slightly Above Average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The City of Yorba Linda has developed a Field Designation inventory, identifying park locations of all Soccer, Football, Lacrosse, Baseball, Softball and grass spaces facilities. The table on page 4-47 provides an inventory by type and size of facility.
## Field Designation Matrix

(Used for assigning fields as part of the Sports Advisory Committee field allocation process)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Parks and Joint Use Fields</th>
<th>Number of Soccer/Football/Lacrosse Fields</th>
<th>Baseball/Softball</th>
<th>Field Designation Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small (60x40yds) or (50x30yds)</td>
<td>Medium (80x60yds) or (80x50yds)</td>
<td>Large (100x60yds) or (100x50yds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Ayers Park</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Brush Canyon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Bryant Ranch Sports Fields</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Bryant Ranch Park</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Eastside Lower</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Eastside Upper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Jean Woodard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Jessor Park</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. San Antonio</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Shapell Park*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Travis Ranch Youth Park-lower</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Veterans**</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Vista Del Verde</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Yorba Linda Middle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIELD TOTALS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>12</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>14***</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 lighted</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 lighted</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 lighted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 lighted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields with Lights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Designated for softball per SAC recommendation

** Football Field

*** Does not include portable backstops used seasonally at YLMS, Eastside, etc.

Special Notes: The City does not have any soccer/lacrosse fields that are 110 yards long x 70 yards wide or larger. As per National Federation Soccer rules, the field of play shall be rectangular, 100 to 120 yards long and 55 to 75 yards wide. The following dimensions are recommended for high schools: 110 yards x 65 yards. NCAA rules state a soccer field must be rectangular no less than 110 yards long x 65 yards wide and no larger than 120 yards long x 80 yards wide. A typical lacrosse field is 110 x 60 yards.
4.23 **Table III – Facility Guideline Standards by Population and Participation**

Other tools used in determining the demand and need for facilities is comparing the number of facilities in Yorba Linda to the recommended adjusted NRPA facility guideline standards per 1,000 population and the number of facilities per Public Enterprise survey guideline standards per number of participants (Average Daily Attendance).

Deficits in the “per 1,000 population” column may indicate a need to provide more of a facility for the city’s total population. However, if there is a surplus ratio in the “per number of participants” column it probably means the demand is being met for the facility, even though the City does not meet the national guideline standard.

Conversely, if in the “per 1,000 population” column it shows a surplus ratio and the ratio in the “per number of participants” is negative; it means that the real demand is greater than the supply of that facility and a demand for more of those facilities exists.

If both the “per 1,000 population” and the “per number of participants” column’s show a deficit, it shows that there is a definite demand for more of that facility.
### Table 4.23a: Surplus or Deficit per 1,000 Population Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Facility</th>
<th>Guideline Standard Per 1,000 Population*</th>
<th>Yorba Linda</th>
<th>Surplus or Deficit Per 1,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>1/30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>1/30,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>1/30,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor BB Courts</td>
<td>1/20,000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor VB Courts</td>
<td>1/20,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Room</td>
<td>1/50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Meeting Rooms</td>
<td>1/5,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lighted Sports Fields</td>
<td>1/5,000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Softball Fields</td>
<td>1/15,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Little League Fields</td>
<td>1/4,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Softball Fields</td>
<td>1/12,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Soccer Fields</td>
<td>1/8,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Soccer Fields</td>
<td>1/8,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Soccer Fields</td>
<td>1/8,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football Fields</td>
<td>1/30,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor BB Courts</td>
<td>1/10,000</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor VB Courts(Sand or Grass)</td>
<td>1/15,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Pool</td>
<td>1/30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>1/24,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>1/50,000</td>
<td>0 (Programs are @ YLCC)</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skateboard Park</td>
<td>1/50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Tracks</td>
<td>1/50,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray Pools</td>
<td>1/30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Courts</td>
<td>1/5,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bocce Ball</td>
<td>1/50,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphitheater</td>
<td>1/50,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Municipal Golf Course</td>
<td>1/75,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure Playground</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Modified National Recreation & Parks Guideline Standards based on California cities with populations of 50,000 to 100,000
### Table 4.23b: Surplus or Deficit per Participant Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Facility</th>
<th>Guideline Standard Per Number of Participants**</th>
<th>Yorba Linda</th>
<th>Surplus or Deficit Per # of Participants Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>1/6,000 Licensed Dogs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(YL 11,461 dog licenses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>1/300 Average Daily Attendance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(YLCC ADA = 394)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>1/500 Average Daily Attendance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(TLFH + TRAC ADA = 1,367)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor BB Courts</td>
<td>1/200 Average Daily Attendance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(TLFH + TRAC = ADA 770)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor VB Courts</td>
<td>1/200 Average Daily Attendance</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(TLFH + TRAC= ADA 770)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Meeting Rooms</td>
<td>1/100 Average Daily Attendance</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>(YLCC + TRAC + Library = ADA 1,245)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lighted Sports Fields</td>
<td>1 per 24 registered sports teams</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>YL Combined Registered Sports Teams = 592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi Use Softball Fields (40’/60’ bases)</td>
<td>1 per 12 registered teams</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(YL Teams = 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Little League Fields</td>
<td>1 per 12 registered teams</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(ELYLLL+YHLLL+WYLLL = 112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Softball Fields</td>
<td>1 per 12 registered teams</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(PYLGSA = 48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 5-8 Soccer Fields</td>
<td>1 per 12 registered teams</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(JUSA +AYSO+NCP= 121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 6-11 Soccer Fields</td>
<td>1 per 12 registered teams</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(JUSA +AYSO+NCP= 161)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 12-15 Soccer Fields</td>
<td>1 per 12 registered teams</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(JUSA +AYSO+NCP= 82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Football Fields</td>
<td>1 per 12 registered teams</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(YLPWF = 36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor BB Courts</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor VB Courts(Sand or Grass)</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Pool</td>
<td>1/200 Avg Daily Attendance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(YL ADA = 150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>1/300 Avg Daily Attendance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(Programs are @ YLCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skateboard Park</td>
<td>1/300 Avg Daily Attendance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray Pools</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Courts</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bocce Ball</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphitheater</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Municipal Golf Course</td>
<td>1 per 50,000 rounds of golf</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Black Gold = 56,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure Playground</td>
<td>1/200 Average Daily Attendance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(YL ADA = 150)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (Surplus or Deficit per the Number of Participants Ratio + means supply greater than demand, – means demand greater than supply)

DNA = Data Not Available - ** Recommended Guideline from Public Enterprise based on Attendance Comparisons from 2010 Survey of 20 California cities with populations of 50,000 to 100,000
4.24 **Summary Analysis of Comparison Tables**

In analyzing the comparison tables above there are a number of key issues to address in the demand and needs analysis with regards to facilities. Those key issues are:

- Yorba Linda is 31% “Above the Average” in the number of facilities in the other city comparison, 21% “Below the Average” in the number of facilities in the comparison; and, 48% “At the Average” or “Slightly Above or Below the Average” of the number of facilities in the comparison.

- The facilities Yorba Linda is “Above the Average” include:
  - Gymnasiums
  - Indoor Volleyball Courts
  - Community Meeting Rooms
  - Dedicated Little League Fields
  - Youth Softball Fields
  - Small Soccer Fields
  - Medium Soccer Fields
  - Tennis Courts
  - Picnic Shelters

- The Facilities Yorba Linda is “Below the Average” include:
  - Dog Parks
  - Softball Fields
  - Outdoor Basketball Courts
  - Outdoor Volleyball Courts
  - Public Swimming Pools
  - Senior Centers
The Facilities Yorba Linda is “At the Average” or “Slightly Above or Below the Average” include:

- Community Centers
- Indoor Basketball Courts
- Fitness Rooms
- Total Lighted Sports Fields
- Large Soccer Fields
- Football Fields
- Libraries
- Skateboard Parks
- Walking Tracks
- Spray Pools
- Bocce Ball Courts
- Public Municipal Golf Courses
- Adventure Playgrounds

The largest deficit of facilities compared to other cities are “Adult Softball Fields” and “Outdoor Basketball Courts”

Looking at surpluses and deficits compared to population standards, Yorba Linda meets or exceeds the “per 1,000 population” standards in 28% of the facilities and it falls below the standards in 72% of the facility comparisons.

The facilities Yorba Linda meets or exceeds the population standards include:

- Gymnasiums
- Indoor Basketball Courts
- Indoor Volleyball Courts
- Community Meeting Rooms
- Lighted Sports Fields
• Youth Softball Fields
• Small Soccer Fields
• Public Municipal Golf Courses
• Bocce Ball Courts

• The Facilities Yorba Linda falls below the population standards include:
  • Dog Parks
  • Community Centers
  • Fitness Rooms
  • Softball Fields
  • Dedicated Little League Fields
  • Medium Soccer Fields
  • Large Soccer Fields
  • Football Fields
  • Outdoor Basketball Courts
  • Outdoor Volleyball Courts
  • Public Swimming Pools
  • Libraries
  • Senior Centers
  • Skateboard Parks
  • Walking Tracks
  • Spray Pools
  • Tennis Courts
  • Amphitheatre’s

The surplus or deficit “per number of participants” that attend a facility provides a more accurate picture of the demand for a particular facility than just looking at the “other city comparison averages” and the “population standards”.

If there is a negative ratio of facilities to participants then the facility demand is higher that the facility supply, which results in overuse or crowded program conditions.

• Six of the facilities compared have a surplus ratio, while twelve of the facilities compared have a deficit ratio of participants to facilities.
The issues indicated in the comparison tables that need to be flagged for study in the demand and needs analysis include:

- **Community Centers** – The comparison tables indicate a current need for additional community center space and with growth projections there will be heavy demand on the existing community center.

- **Gymnasiums** – Yorba Linda’s current gym facilities are heavily used as indicated by the slight deficit ratio per number of participants. Future demand for indoor basketball and volleyball courts may increase the deficit ratio so gym facilities need to be looked at in the demand and needs analysis.

- **Fitness Rooms** – State of the Art fitness rooms and programs are a popular facility amenity in new community and senior centers. Since Yorba Linda shows a deficit compared to other cities the demand for fitness facilities should be addressed in the demand and needs analysis.

- **Community Meeting Rooms** - It appears Yorba Linda has sufficient public meeting rooms to meet the current demand.
• **Total Lighted Sports Fields** – Although Yorba Linda is slightly above the average of comparison cities and exceeds the recommended population guideline standards for total lighted sports fields, the per number of participants deficit ratio indicates extreme crowding of existing lighted fields and the need for at least an additional 10 lighted multipurpose sports fields. This situation definitely needs to be looked at in the demand and needs analysis.

• **Softball Fields** – The comparison tables show a deficit of 3 to 4 softball fields to meet current program demand. However, Yorba Linda does lease 3 fields from the City of Anaheim for its programs. The terms and conditions of the lease for fields and the projected future program demand needs should be analyzed to determine if additional softball fields are needed in Yorba Linda or if future demand can be met by continuing to lease fields from the City of Anaheim.

• **Dedicated Little Fields** – Although Yorba Linda is above the average of comparison cities in the number of dedicated little league fields, the tables show it is below the recommended guideline standard per 1,000 population and has a deficit ratio per participants because of the extremely active little league organizations in Yorba Linda. Consequently, this situation needs to be looked at in the demand and needs analysis.

• **Small Soccer Fields** – The comparisons show that demand and guideline standards are currently being met for small size soccer fields.

• **Medium Soccer Fields** – The number of medium size soccer fields in Yorba Linda does not meet the recommended population guideline standards and the tables show a deficit per participant ratio that indicates a current demand for at least 7 more medium soccer fields. The demand and needs analysis will need to address this deficit.

• **Large Soccer Fields** – Yorba Linda has the average number of large soccer fields as shown in the city comparisons; however, there is a deficit of large soccer fields per the population guideline standards and a deficit per participant ratio, meaning the large soccer fields are currently overcrowded so large soccer fields should be addressed in the demand and needs analysis.

• **Full Size Soccer Fields** – Acquire park space to accommodate full-size soccer fields (110 x 70 yards) or reconfigure existing.

• **Football Fields** – Yorba Linda has the average amount of football fields for youth football per the city comparisons; however, it does not meet the recommended population guideline standards and there is a deficit per participation ratio, meaning football fields should be looked at in the demand and needs analysis.
• **Outdoor Basketball & Volleyball Courts** – Yorba Linda is below the average and below the recommended population guideline standards for both outdoor basketball and outdoor volleyball courts. The demand for these should be addressed in the demand and needs assessment.

• **Public Swimming Pool** – Since Yorba Linda does not operate a public swimming pool it does not meet the average in the city comparison nor does it meet the recommended population guideline standards for public pools. The demand and needs analysis will look at the demand for year round aquatic programs.

• **Senior Center** – Yorba Linda does not have a standalone senior center, but rather allocates space and resources in the Yorba Linda Community Center to operate senior citizen programs, activities and services. There is a deficit per participant ratio which means there is greater demand for space for senior programs than can be accommodated in the existing community center, so this issue should be addressed in the demand and needs analysis.

• **Dog Parks** - there are 11,461 dog licenses issued in Yorba Linda and guidelines for population standards and number of licensed dogs, as well as the other city comparisons indicate the demand for at least one dog park and probably two dog parks to meet projected demand.

• **Skateboard Park** – Yorba Linda does not have a skateboard park, so it is below the comparison city average and below the recommended population guideline standards for this type of facility. However, there was no large community demand expressed in the outreach tools for a skatepark.

• **Walking Tracks** – Walking paths and walking tracks for outdoor physical activity, exercise, fitness, health, and socializing were a high demand in all the outreach tools.

• **Spray Pools (Water Playground)** – Yorba Linda is slightly below average in providing spray pools in its neighborhood parks and below the recommended population guideline standard. The public outreach tools indicated a desire for such facilities.

• **Tennis Courts** – Yorba Linda is above average in the number of public tennis courts in the comparison of cities; however, it is below the recommended population guideline standard. The public outreach tools indicated a desire for more tennis courts.
• **Bocce Ball Courts** – Yorba Linda meets the average of other cities and is only slightly below the recommended population guideline standards. It appears the current demand is being met with the existing one facility, but attendance should be monitored to obtain participation data so that a surplus or deficit ratio can be established to see if there is a need to address more of these facilities in the future.

• **Amphitheaters** – Yorba Linda is at the average compared to other cities and just slightly below the recommended population guideline standards. It appears the current demand for outdoor concerts and events is being met with the one amphitheater, but public outreach indicated the community would like to expand the concerts and would like another amphitheater.

• **Public Municipal Golf Course** – Yorba Linda meets the recommended population guidelines and is at the average for municipal golf courses when compared to the other cities. The per participant ratio shows a slight deficit, which means the golf course is operating at just about capacity and any increase in demand will result in increased waiting times for public use.

  This is consistent with the approximately 5,000 golfers in Yorba Linda. However, the public outreach indicates that there does not appear to be a large demand for a second municipal golf course in Yorba Linda.

  This probably due to the fact that there are a number of public and private golf courses available to Yorba Linda residents within the surrounding cities that are convenient to access.

4.25 Facility Priorities

The analysis of the community outreach tools and the comparison tables reveals that the community priorities for improvements to existing facilities, additions/amenities for existing facilities and for new facilities can be divided into three categories:

• “Need” – *Priority projects for funding and allocation of resources to meet current needs*

• “Demand” – *Projects that community outreach and comparison studies show there is a demand for in the community if funding and resources can be obtained*

• “Desire” – *Projects that the community desires if there is a way to fund them in the future*
The following table shows which category each of the facility requests from the summary analysis of the community outreach and comparison tables fall into in priority order:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility/Amenity/Addition Priority Ranking</th>
<th>Type of Facility Requested</th>
<th>Need</th>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Desire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking Trails/Paths</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Parks</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Fields</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball Fields</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Community Park</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Basketball Courts</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Volleyball Courts</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multipurpose Community Center (Preschool/Tiny Tots/Teens/Arts, Music, Dance Studios)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equestrian Arenas/Event Center/Boarding Facility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splash/Water Play Amenity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Equestrian Trails</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Leash Dog Park</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual/Performing Arts Center</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Pool Complex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate Park</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Only Gymnasium</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another Amphitheater</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Center</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Center</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equestrian Boarding Facility</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Art District</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Art</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A
Park & Facility Inventory
APPENDIX A. PARK AND FACILITY INVENTORY

Information, maps, and photos for the following parks and facilities are included in this chapter.

Bernardo Yorba Middle School ................................................................. A-8
Black Gold Golf Club ............................................................................. A-10
Box Canyon Park .................................................................................. A-12
Brush Canyon Park ............................................................................... A-14
Bryant Ranch Park ................................................................................ A-16
Bryant Ranch School Recreational Facilities ......................................... A-18
Carbon Canyon Regional Park ............................................................... A-20
Casino Ridge Staging Area .................................................................. A-22
Dominguez Trail .................................................................................... A-24
Eastside Community Park ..................................................................... A-26
Fairmont Elementary School Recreational Facilities ............................ A-28
Fairmont Knolls Tennis Park ................................................................ A-30
Featherly Regional Park ....................................................................... A-32
Glenknoll Elementary School Recreational Facilities .......................... A-34
Gun Club Linear Park ........................................................................... A-36
Hurless Barton Park ............................................................................. A-38
Jean Woodward Park ............................................................................ A-40
Jessamyn West Park ............................................................................ A-42
Kingsbriar Park ..................................................................................... A-44
Las Brisas Park ..................................................................................... A-46
Las Palomas Tennis Park ..................................................................... A-48
Linda Vista Elementary School Recreational Facilities ....................... A-50
Lucia Kust Trail ..................................................................................... A-52
Mabel Paine Elementary School Recreational Facilities ....................... A-54
Phillip S. Paxton Equestrian Center ....................................................... A-56
Quarter Horse Staging Area ................................................................. A-58
Rio Del Oro Park ................................................................................... A-60
Roland E. Bigonger Park ...................................................................... A-62
Rose Drive Elementary School Recreational Facilities ........................ A-64
San Antonio Park .................................................................................. A-66
Shapell Park ......................................................................................... A-68
Susanna Bixby Bryant Museum and Botanical Garden ......................... A-70
Thomas Lasorda Jr. Field House and Adventure Playground ................ A-72
Travis Ranch School and Activity Center ............................................ A-74
Travis Ranch Youth Park ..................................................................... A-76
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Park</td>
<td>A-78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Del Verde Park</td>
<td>A-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Del Verde Park II (Current) and (Future)</td>
<td>A-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Lampara Park</td>
<td>A-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda Community Center</td>
<td>A-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda High School Park (Future)</td>
<td>A-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda Middle School Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>A-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda Police Services Facility and Arroyo Park</td>
<td>A-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda Public Library</td>
<td>A-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Regional Park</td>
<td>A-96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Location of Parks

Legend
- Parks
- Schools
- City Boundary

Map Number | Name | Classification
---|---|---
1 | BERNARDO YORBA MIDDLE SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
2 | BLACK GOLD GOLF CLUB | Facility/Special Use Area
3 | BOX CANYON PARK | Neighborhood Park
4 | BRUSH CANYON PARK | Neighborhood Park
5 | BRYANT RANCH PARK | Community Park
6 | BRYANT RANCH SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
7 | CARBON CANYON REGIONAL PARK | Regional Park
8 | CASINO RIDGE STAGING AREA | Facility/Special Use Area
9 | DOMINGUEZ TRAIL | Linear Park
10 | EASTSIDE COMMUNITY PARK | Community Park
11 | FAIRMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
12 | FAIRMONT KNOLLS TENNIS PARK | Neighborhood Park
13 | FEATHERLY REGIONAL PARK | Regional Park
14 | GLENKNOLL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
15 | GUN CLUB LINEAR PARK (FUTURE) | Linear Park
16 | HURLESS BARTON PARK | Community Park
17 | JEAN WOODWARD PARK | Neighborhood Park
18 | JESSAMYN WEST PARK | Neighborhood Park
19 | KINGSBRIAR PARK | Neighborhood Park
20 | LAS BRISAS PARK | Mini Park
21 | LAS PALOMAS TENNIS PARK | Neighborhood Park
22 | LINDA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
23 | LUCIA KUST PARK | Linear Park
24 | MABEL PAINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
25 | PHILLIP S PAXTON EQUESTRIAN CENTER | Facility/Special Use Area
26 | QUARTER HORSE STAGING AREA | Facility/Special Use Area
27 | RIO DEL ORO PARK | Neighborhood Park
28 | ROLAND E BIGONGER PARK | Neighborhood Park
29 | ROSE DRIVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
30 | SAN ANTONIO PARK | Neighborhood Park
31 | SHAPELL PARK | Neighborhood Park
32 | SUSANNA BIXBY BRYANT MUSEUM AND BOTANICAL GARDEN | Facility/Special Use Area
33 | THOMAS LASORDA JR FIELD HOUSE AND ADVENTURE PLAYGROUND | Community Park
34 | TRAVIS RANCH SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
35 | TRAVIS RANCH YOUTH PARK | Neighborhood Park
36 | VETERANS PARK | Community Park
37 | VISTA DEL VERDE PARK | Neighborhood Park
38 | VISTA DEL VERDE PARK II | Neighborhood Park
39 | VISTA LAMPARA PARK | Mini Park
40 | YORBA LINDA COMMUNITY CENTER | Community Park
41 | YORBA LINDA HIGH SCHOOL PARK (FUTURE) | Community Park
42 | YORBA LINDA POLICE SERVICES FACILITY AND ARROYO PARK | Neighborhood Park
43 | YORBA LINDA MIDDLE SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES | Schools/Joint Use
44 | YORBA LINDA PUBLIC LIBRARY | Facility/Special Use Area
45 | YORBA REGIONAL PARK | Regional Park

Source: City of Yorba Linda, Eagle Aerial 2012
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Public Amenities Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Public Facilities Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Basketball Court (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Volleyball Court (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Baseball/Softball Field (4 Informal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Open Space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: BERNARDO YORBA MIDDLE SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Schools/Joint-Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: 5350 Fairmont Boulevard, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size: 13 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking: 34 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Track and Field</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: BERNARDO YORBA MIDDLE SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Schools/Joint-Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: 5350 Fairmont Boulevard, 92886</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size: 13 Acres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking: 34 spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Amenities:**
No Public Park Facilities

**Facilities:**
- Restroom
- 1 Lighted Driving Range
- Snack Stand/Kitchen
- Putting Green
- Pro Shop
- Event Space
- Maint. Yard

**Sports Fields/Courts:**

**Name:** BLACK GOLD GOLF CLUB
**Type:** Facility/Special Use Area
**Location:** 1 Black Gold Drive, 92886
**Size:** 219.0 Acres
**Parking:** 89 spaces

**Special Features:**

**Notes:**
18 Hole, Par 72 Award Winning Golf Course and Clubhouse available for Weddings, and Special Events.
Name: BLACK GOLD GOLF CLUB
Type: Facility/Special Use Area
Location: 1 Black Gold Drive, 92886
Size: 219.0 Acres
Parking: 89 spaces
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities:</th>
<th>Facilities:</th>
<th>Special Features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 2-5 Playground</td>
<td>✓ Restroom</td>
<td>✓ Horse Trail Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 5-12 Playground</td>
<td>✓ Drinking Fountain</td>
<td>✓ Multi-Use Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Tot Swing (2)</td>
<td>✓ Picnic Tables</td>
<td>✓ Walking Path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Strap Swing (4)</td>
<td>✓ Picnic Shelter (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Name:** BOX CANYON PARK  
**Type:** Neighborhood Park  
**Location:** 23400 Foxtail Drive, 92887  
**Size:** 5.0 Acres  
**Parking:** 0 spaces

**Notes:**

![Box Canyon Park Map]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>BOX CANYON PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>23400 Foxtail Drive, 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>5.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Amenities:
- Age 5-12 Playground
- Tot Swing (2)
- Strap Swing (2)

### Facilities:
- Restroom
- Drinking Fountain
- Bar-B-Ques (3)
- Picnic Tables
- Picnic Shelter (2)

### Sports Fields/Courts:
- Tennis Court (2 lighted)
- Basketball Court (1 lighted)
- Baseball/Softball Field (2 informal)
- Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field (1)

---

**Name:** BRUSH CANYON PARK  
**Type:** Neighborhood Park  
**Location:** 28282 Brush Canyon Drive, 92887  
**Size:** 5.0 Acres  
**Parking:** 29 spaces  

**Special Features:** No Special Features at this location

**Notes:**
Name: BRUSH CANYON PARK
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: 28282 Brush Canyon Drive, 92887
Size: 5.0 Acres
Parking: 29 spaces
Amenities:
- Age 2-12 Playground
- Tot Swing (2)
- Strap Swing (4)

Facilities:
- Restroom
- Picnic Tables
- Drinking Fountain

Sports Fields/Courts:
- Baseball/Softball Field (1 Informal)
- Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field (1)
- Open Space

Name: BRYANT RANCH PARK  
Type: Community Park  
Location: 24705 Paseo de Toronto, 92887  
Size: 9.0 Acres  
Parking: 47 spaces

Special Features:
- Bike Racks
- Walking Path

Notes:  
Adjacent to Bryant Ranch Elementary School and Recreational Facilities.
Name: BRYANT RANCH PARK
Type: Community Park
Location: 24705 Paseo de Toronto, 92887
Size: 9.0 Acres
Parking: 47 spaces
Amenities:

- Age 5-12 Playground
- Strap Swing (2)

Facilities:

- Restroom
- Drinking Fountain
- Snack Stand/Kitchen

Sports Fields/Courts:

- Basketball Court (6)
- Baseball/Softball Field (4 Total, 3 Lighted)
- Soccer-Medium (1 Lighted)
- Soccer-Small (2 Lighted)

Name: BRYANT RANCH SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES
Type: Schools Joint Use Agreement
Location: Paseo de Toronto and Los Monteros
Size: 7.8 Acres
Parking: 66 spaces

Special Features:

No Special Features and this location

Notes:
Name: BRYANT RANCH SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES
Type: Schools Joint Use Agreement
Location: Paseo de Toronto and Los Monteros
Size: 7.8 Acres
Parking: 66 spaces
### Amenities:
- Age 2-5 Playground
- Age 5-12 Playground
- Age 2-12 Playground
- Tot Swing
- Strap Swing

### Facilities:
- Restroom
- Drinking Fountains
- Picnic Tables
- Picnic Shelter
- Bar-B-Ques

### Sports Fields/Courts:
- Tennis Court (8 Lighted)
- Volleyball Court (3 sand)
- Baseball/Softball Field (2)
- Open Space

### Name: CARBON CANYON REGIONAL PARK
### Type: Regional Park
### Location: 4442 Carbon Canyon Rd, Brea 92823
### Size: 124.0 Acres
### Parking: 100+ spaces

### Special Features:
- Bike Path
- Horse Trail Access
- Multi-Use Trail
- Walking Path
- Fitness Course
- Horseshoe Pit
- Lake

### Notes:
- Park Office, Group Picnic Areas, Nature Center, Fishing, Native Garden, Amphitheater, Horseshoe Pits, Coastal Redwood Grove
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Name:</strong></th>
<th>CARBON CANYON REGIONAL PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type:</strong></td>
<td>Regional Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td>4442 Carbon Canyon Rd, Brea 92823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size:</strong></td>
<td>124.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parking:</strong></td>
<td>100+ spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities:</td>
<td>Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Play Amenities at this location</td>
<td>Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities:</td>
<td>Location:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Drinking Fountains</td>
<td>Size:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Picnic Tables</td>
<td>Parking:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Fields/Courts:</td>
<td>Special Features:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Sports Fields/Courts at this location</td>
<td>✓ Horse Trail Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Horse Trailer Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Multi-Use Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notes:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Name: CASINO RIDGE STAGING AREA
Type: Facility/Special Use Area
Location: 21202 Casino Ridge Road, 92887
Size: 1.0 Acres
Parking: 6 spaces
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities:</th>
<th>Name: DOMINGUEZ TRAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type: Linear Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location: Dominguez Ranch Rd. and Trailside Dr., 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size: 17.08 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking: 0 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
<th>Special Features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Public Facilities at this location</td>
<td>✓ Horse Trail Access ✓ Walking Path</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
<th>Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Open Space</td>
<td>Amenities: No Play Amenities at this location</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facilities: No Public Facilities at this location

Special Features:

- ✓ Horse Trail Access
- ✓ Walking Path
- ✓ Multi-Use Trail

Notes:

Amenities: No Play Amenities at this location
Name: DOMINGUEZ TRAIL
Type: Linear Park
Location: Dominguez Ranch Rd. and Trailside Dr., 92887
Size: 17.08 Acres
Parking: 0 spaces
EASTSIDE COMMUNITY PARK

Name: EASTSIDE COMMUNITY PARK
Type: Community Park
Location: 5400 Eastside Circle, 92887
Size: 17.0 Acres
Parking: 147 spaces

Amenities:
- Age 2-5 Playground
- Age 5-12 Playground
- Tot Swing (4)
- Strap Swing (4)

Facilities:
- Restroom
- Drinking Fountain
- Snack Stand/Kitchen
- Picnic Tables
- Picnic Shelter
- Bar-B-Ques (2)

Sports Fields/Courts:
- Baseball/Softball Field (3 lighted)
- Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field (2 lighted)
- Open Space

Special Features:
- Bike Racks
- Walking Path

Notes:
Name: EASTSIDE COMMUNITY PARK
Type: Community Park
Location: 5400 Eastside Circle, 92887
Size: 17.0 Acres
Parking: 147 spaces
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities:</th>
<th>Name: FAIRMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔ Age 5-12 Playground</td>
<td>Type: Schools Joint Use Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities:</td>
<td>Location: 5241 Fairmont Blvd, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Public Facilities at this location</td>
<td>Size: 4.2 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Fields/Courts:</td>
<td>Parking: 116 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Basketball Court(6)</td>
<td>Special Features:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Baseball/Softball Field (2 Informal)</td>
<td>No Special Features at this location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Open Space</td>
<td>Notes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This is a school playground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>FAIRMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Schools Joint Use Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>5241 Fairmont Blvd, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>4.2 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>116 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 2-12 Playground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Strap Swing (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Drinking Fountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Picnic Tables</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Tennis Courts (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Open Space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name: FAIRMONT KNOLLS TENNIS PARK
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: 4701 Fairmont Blvd, 92886
Size: 4.0 Acres
Parking: 0 spaces

Special Features:
No Special Features at this location

Notes:
Name: FAIRMONT KNOLLS TENNIS PARK
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: 4701 Fairmont Blvd, 92886
Size: 4.0 Acres
Parking: 0 spaces
Name: FEATHERLY REGIONAL PARK
Type: Regional Park
Location: 24001 Santa Ana Canyon Rd, Anaheim 92808
Size: 364 Acres
Parking: Parking Lot

Amenities:
No Play Amenities at this location

Facilities:
✓ Restroom ✓ Picnic Tables
✓ Pool

Special Features:
✓ Bike Path
✓ Horse Trail Access
✓ Multi-Use Trail
✓ Ropes Course

Sports Fields/Courts:
✓ Open Space

Notes:
Private RV campground available.
Name: FEATHERLY REGIONAL PARK
Type: Regional Park
Location: 24001 Santa Ana Canyon Rd, Anaheim 92808
Size: 364 Acres
Parking: Parking Lot
**Name:** GLENKNOLL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
**Type:** Schools Joint Use Agreement
**Location:** 6361 Glenknoll Drive, 92886
**Size:** 3.1 Acres
**Parking:** 62 spaces

**Amenities:**  
- Age 5-12 Playground (3)  
- Strap Swing

**Facilities:**  
- No Public Facilities at this location

**Special Features:**  
- No Special Features at this location

**Sports Fields/Courts:**  
- Basketball Court (4)  
- Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field  
- Open Space  
- Softball/Baseball Field (3 Informal)

**Notes:**

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>GLENKNOLL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Schools Joint Use Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>6361 Glenknoll Drive, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>3.1 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>62 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name:</strong></td>
<td><strong>GUN CLUB LINEAR PARK (FUTURE)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type:</strong></td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td>Gun Club Rd. and Yorba Linda Blvd., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size:</strong></td>
<td>7.5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parking:</strong></td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Features:**
- Horse Trail Access
- Multi-Use Trail
- Additions – To be determined.

**Amenities:**
To be determined.

**Facilities:**
To be determined.

**Sports Fields/Courts:**
- Open Space

**Notes:**
Amenities:
Facilities:
Sports Fields/Courts:
Notes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>GUN CLUB LINEAR PARK (FUTURE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Gun Club Rd. and Yorba Linda Blvd., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>7.5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>HURLESS BARTON PARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4601 Casa Loma Ave., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>38 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amenities:**
- Age 2-5 Playground
- Age 5-12 Playground
- Tot Swing (2)
- Strap Swing (2)

**Facilities:**
- Restroom
- Drinking Fountain
- Bar-B-Ques (4)
- Picnic Tables
- Picnic Shelter

**Sports Fields/Courts:**
- Open Space

**Special Features:**
- Horse Trail Access
- Multi-Use Trail
- Amphitheatre
- Horseshoe Pit

**Notes:**
Includes an approximately 150 seat Amphitheater. Also serves as neighborhood park for local residents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>HURLESS BARTON PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4601 Casa Loma Ave., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>38 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 5-12 Playground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Restroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Bar-B-Ques (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Practice Baseball Areas (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Name: | JEAN WOODARD PARK |  
| Type: | Neighborhood Park |  
| Location: | Granby Avenue north of Avenida Adobe |  
| Size: | 9.5 Acres |  
| Parking: | No Parking |  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Special Features at this location</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>JEAN WOODARD PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Granby Avenue north of Avenida Adobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>9.5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>No Parking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Park Image](image.jpg)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>JESSAMYN WEST PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>19115 Yorba Linda Blvd, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>7.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>26 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amenities:**
- Tot Swing (2)
- Strap Swing (2)
- Age 5-12 Playground

**Facilities:**
- Restroom
- Drinking Fountain
- Bar-B-Ques (2)
- Picnic Tables
- Picnic Shelter

**Sports Fields/Courts:**
- Basketball Court (1.5 lighted)
- Volleyball Court (Sand/lighted)
- Baseball/Softball Field (2 informal)
- Soccer - Small (2)
- Open Space

**Special Features:**
- Horse Trail Access
- Walking Path
- Multi-Use Trail

**Notes:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>JESSAMYN WEST PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>19115 Yorba Linda Blvd, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>7.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>26 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Name:** KINGSBRIAR PARK  
**Type:** Neighborhood Park  
**Location:** 5920 Kingsbriar Drive, 92886  
**Size:** 8 Acres  
**Parking:** 0 spaces  

### Special Features:

No Special Features at this location  

### Notes:

Lower area of 2.5 acres is catch basin and not counted as park area.

---

**Amenities:**

- Age 5-12 Playground
- Tot Swing (2)
- Strap Swing (2)

**Facilities:**

- Picnic Tables

**Sports Fields/Courts:**

- Open Space
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>KINGSBRIAR PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>5920 Kingsbriar Drive, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>8 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Name:** LAS BRISAS PARK  
**Type:** Mini Park  
**Location:** 24655 Via Vallarta, 92887  
**Size:** 0.5 Acres  
**Parking:** 0 spaces

| Amenities: | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ✔ Age 2-12 Playground | | | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Public Facilities at this location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔ Open Space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Features:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Special Features at this location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>LAS BRISAS PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Mini Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>24655 Via Vallarta, 92887</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>0.5 Acres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### LAS PALOMAS TENNIS PARK

**Type:** Neighborhood Park  
**Location:** 20550 Paseo De Las Palomas, 92887  
**Size:** 3.0 Acres  
**Parking:** 16 spaces

#### Special Features:
- No Special Features at this location.

#### Facilities:
- Restroom  
- Drinking Fountain

#### Sports Fields/Courts:
- Tennis Court (3 lighted)  
- Open Space

#### Notes:
- No Play Amenities at this location.

---

![Map of Las Palomas Tennis Park](image-url)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>LAS PALOMAS TENNIS PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>20550 Paseo De Las Palomas, 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>3.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>16 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Amenities:
- **Age 2-5 Playground (2)**
- **Age 5-12 Playground (3)**
- **Strap Swing (16)**

### Facilities:
No Public Facilities at this location.

### Sports Fields/Courts:
- **Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field**
- **Open Space**

### Name: LINDA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

**Type:** Schools Joint Use Agreement

**Location:** 5600 South Ohio Street, 92886

**Size:** 5.2 Acres

**Parking:** 43 spaces

### Special Features:
No Special Features at this location.

### Notes:
School Playground.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>LINDA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Schools Joint Use Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>5600 South Ohio Street, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>5.2 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>43 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities:</td>
<td>Name: LUCIA KUST PARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type: Linear Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location: Bastanchury Rd. and Village Center Dr., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size: 1.2 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking: 0 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Features:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Horse Trail Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Multi-Use Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Bike Path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes an additional 5.1 acre private wetland mitigation area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No Play Amenities at this location.

No Public Facilities at this location.

✓ Open Space

Facilities:

Sports Fields/Courts:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Name:</strong></th>
<th>LUCIA KUST PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type:</strong></td>
<td>Linear Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td>Bastanchury Rd. and Village Center Dr., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size:</strong></td>
<td>1.2 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parking:</strong></td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### MABEL PAINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

**RECREATIONAL FACILITIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>MABEL PAINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Schools Joint Use Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4444 Plumosa Drive, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>4.7 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>60 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Amenities:

- ✓ Age 2-5 Playground (1)
- ✓ Age 5-12 Playground (3)
- ✓ Strap Swing (8)

### Facilities:

No Public Facilities at this location.

### Sports Fields/Courts:

- ✓ Baseball/Softball Field (2) (lighted) informal
- ✓ Open Space
- ✓ Basketball Courts (5)
- ✓ Soccer – Small (lighted)
- ✓ Soccer – Medium (lighted)

### Special Features:

No Special Features at this location.

### Notes:

School Playground.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>MABEL PAINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Schools Joint Use Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>4444 Plumosa Drive, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>4.7 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>60 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Name:** PHILLIP S. PAXTON EQUESTRIAN CENTER  
**Type:** Facility/Special Use Area  
**Location:** 18661 Buena Vista Ave., 92886  
**Size:** 5.5 Acres  
**Parking:** 56+ spaces

**Amenities:**
No Play Amenities at this location.

**Facilities:**
- Restroom
- Picnic Tables
- Drinking Fountain

**Sports Fields/Courts:**
No Sports Fields/Courts at this location.

**Special Features:**
- Equestrian Arena
- Horse Trailer Parking
- Horse Trail Access
- Multi-Use Trail
- Lunging Area

**Notes:**
Show Arena (lighted) with covered bleachers, Warm-up Arena, Lunging Area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>PHILLIP S. PAXTON EQUESTRIAN CENTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>18661 Buena Vista Ave., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>5.5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>56+ spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Amenities:

- No Play Amenities at this location.

### Facilities:

- Restroom
- Picnic Tables

### Special Features:

- Horse Trail Access
- Multi-Use Trail
- Horse Trailer Parking

### Notes:

Amenities:

- No Play Amenities at this location.

Facilities:

- Restroom
- Picnic Tables

Sports Fields/Courts:

- Open Space

Name: QUARTER HORSE STAGING AREA

Type: Facility/Special Use Area

Location: Quarter Horse Dr. and Winners Cir., 92886

Size: 3.6 Acres

Parking: 33 spaces, 7 trailer spaces
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>QUARTER HORSE STAGING AREA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Quarter Horse Dr. and Winners Cir., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>3.6 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>33 spaces, 7 trailer spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Image of the QUARTER HORSE STAGING AREA]
**Amenities:**
- Age 2-12 Playground
- Strap Swing (2)

**Facilities:**
- No Public Facilities at this location.

**Sports Fields/Courts:**
- Open Space

---

**Name:** RIO DEL ORO PARK  
**Type:** Neighborhood Park  
**Location:** 4800 Avenida Rio del Oro, 92886  
**Size:** 6 Acres  
**Parking:** 0 spaces

**Special Features:**
- Walking Path

---

**Notes:**
Name: RIO DEL ORO PARK
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: 4800 Avenida Rio del Oro, 92886
Size: 6 Acres
Parking: 0 spaces
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>ROLAND E. BIGONGER PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>19360 Parkside Dr., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>3.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Amenities:
- ✓ Age 2-5 Playground
- ✓ Age 5-12 Playground

### Facilities:
- ✓ Drinking Fountain
- ✓ Picnic Tables

### Special Features:
- ✓ Walking Path

### Sports Fields/Courts:
- ✓ Open Space

### Notes:

![Park Map Image]
Name: ROLAND E. BIGONGER PARK
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: 19360 Parkside Dr., 92886
Size: 3.0 Acres
Parking: 0 spaces
### Amenities:

No Play Amenities at this location.

### Facilities:

No Public Facilities at this location.

### Sports Fields/Courts:

- Tennis Court (2 lighted)
- Baseball/Softball Field (4 Informal)
- Soccer – Small (3) (1 lighted)

### Name: ROSE DRIVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES
Type: Schools Joint Use Agreement
Location: 4700 Rose Dr., 92886
Size: 3.9 Acres
Parking: 25 spaces

### Special Features:

No Special Features at this location.

### Notes:

Amenities:

No Play Amenities at this location.

Facilities:

No Public Facilities at this location.

Sports Fields/Courts:

- Tennis Court (2 lighted)
- Baseball/Softball Field (4 Informal)
- Soccer – Small (3) (1 lighted)
Name: ROSE DRIVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES
Type: Schools Joint Use Agreement
Location: 4700 Rose Dr., 92886
Size: 3.9 Acres
Parking: 25 spaces
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities:</th>
<th>Name: SAN ANTONIO PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 2-5 Playground</td>
<td>Type: Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 5-12 Playground</td>
<td>Location: San Antonio Rd. and View Park Dr., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Tot Swing (2)</td>
<td>Size: 10.5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Strap Swing (2)</td>
<td>Parking: 0 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
<th>Special Features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Restroom</td>
<td>✓ Equestrian Arena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Drinking Fountain</td>
<td>✓ Multi-Use Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Picnic Tables</td>
<td>✓ Horse Trail Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Bar-B-Ques (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
<th>Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Volleyball Court (grass)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Baseball/Softball Field (Informal)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Soccer - Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Open Space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Map of San Antonio Park]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>SAN ANTONIO PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>San Antonio Rd. and View Park Dr., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>10.5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Name: SHAPELL PARK
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: Vista del Mar and Village Center Dr., 92887
Size: 6.0 Acres
Parking: 26 spaces

Special Features:
No Special Features at this location.

Amenities:
✓ Age 5-12 Playground
✓ Tot Swing (2)

Facilities:
✓ Restroom
✓ Drinking Fountain
✓ Picnic Tables
✓ Bar-B-Ques (5)

Sports Fields/Courts:
✓ Softball Field (2)
✓ Open Space

Notes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>SHAPELL PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Vista del Mar and Village Center Dr., 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>6.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>26 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUSANNA BIXBY BRYANT MUSEUM AND BOTANICAL GARDEN

Type: Facility/Special Use Area
Location: 5700 Susana Bryant Dr., 92887
Size: 0.4 Acres
Parking: 6 spaces

Special Features:
No Special Features at this location.

Amenities:
No Play Amenities at this location.

Facilities:
✓ Restroom ✓ Snack Stand/Kitchen

Sports Fields/Courts:
No Sports Fields/Courts at this location.

Notes:
Museum and Gardens
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>SUSANNA BIXBY BRYANT MUSEUM AND BOTANICAL GARDEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Facility/Special Use Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>5700 Susana Bryant Dr., 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>0.4 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>6 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Play Amenities at this location.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Restroom  ✓ Gymnasium ✓ Drinking Fountain ✓ Multipurpose Room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Basketball Court (2 indoor) ✓ Volleyball Court (3 indoor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: THOMAS LASORDA JR. FIELD HOUSE AND ADVENTURE PLAYGROUND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: 4701 Casa Loma Ave., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size: 8.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking: 108 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Bike Racks  ✓ Wall of Fame ✓ Adventure Playground</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room/courts located inside Fieldhouse. Adventure playground for seasonal outdoor youth camps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Amenities:**

- Age 5-12 Playground (2)
- Age 2-5 Playground (2)

**Facilities:**

- Restroom
- Gymnasium
- Tiny Tot Classroom
- Drinking Fountain
- Activity Rooms

**Sports Fields/Courts:**

- Basketball Court (6 outdoor/2 indoor)
- Volleyball Court (5 Outdoor/2 Indoor)
- Baseball/Softball Field (3 Informal)
- Soccer-Medium
- Open Space

**Name:** TRAVIS RANCH SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

**Type:** Schools Joint Use Agreement

**Location:** 5200 Via de la Escuela, 92887

**Size:** 11.7 Acres

**Parking:** 230 spaces

**Special Features:**

- Bike Racks

**Notes:**

Tiny Tot Classroom and Playground.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>TRAVIS RANCH MIDDLE SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Schools Joint Use Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>5200 Via de la Escuela, 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>11.7 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>230 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities:</td>
<td>Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 2-5 Playground</td>
<td>TRAVIS RANCH YOUTH PARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 5-12 Playground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Tot Swing (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Strap Swing (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
<th>Special Features:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Restroom</td>
<td>✓ Bike Racks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Drinking Fountain</td>
<td>✓ Multi-Use Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Snack Stand/Kitchen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
<th>Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Baseball/Softball Field (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Open Space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Soccer - Small</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Soccer - Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>TRAVIS RANCH YOUTH PARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>21305 Via de la Parque, 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>8.5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>63 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Image of TRAVIS RANCH YOUTH PARK]
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Name:    VETERANS PARK
Type:    Community Park
Location:  4756 Valley View, 92886
Size:    9.5 Acres
Parking:  53 spaces

Amenities:
✓ Age 2-5 Playground
✓ Age 5-12 Playground
✓ Tot Swing (2)
✓ Strap Swing (2)

Facilities:
✓ Restroom
✓ Drinking Fountain
✓ Picnic Tables
✓ Snack Stand/Kitchen

Special Features:
✓ Bike Racks
✓ Veterans Memorial

Sports Fields/Courts:
✓ Baseball Field (4 lighted)
✓ Football Field (lighted)
✓ Soccer – Small (2 lighted)

Notes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>VETERANS PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4756 Valley View, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>9.5 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>53 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Name: VISTA DEL VERDE PARK
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: 17600 Lakeview Ave., 92886
Size: 5.0 Acres
Parking: 83 spaces

Amenities:
No Play Amenities at this location.

Facilities:
✓ Restroom ✓ Picnic Tables
✓ Drinking Fountain ✓ Picnic Shelter
✓ Bar-B-Ques (5)

Sports Fields/Courts:
✓ Soccer – Large (lighted)
✓ Open Space

Special Features:
✓ Walking Paths

Notes:
Amenities:
No Play Amenities at this location.

Facilities:
✓ Restroom ✓ Picnic Tables
✓ Drinking Fountain ✓ Picnic Shelter
✓ Bar-B-Ques (5)

Sports Fields/Courts:
✓ Soccer – Large (lighted)
✓ Open Space

Special Features:
✓ Walking Paths

Notes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>VISTA DEL VERDE PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>17600 Lakeview Ave., 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>5.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>83 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amenities:

No play amenities (current)

Facilities:

No facilities at this location (current)

Sports Fields/Courts:

✓ Open Space - Turf

Name: VISTA DEL VERDE PARK II (CURRENT)
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: Lakeview Ave. and Churchill Downs Dr., 92886
Size: 5.0 Acres
Parking: 0 spaces

Special Features:

✓ Multi-Use Trail ✓ Horse Trail Access

Notes:
Name: VISTA DEL VERDE PARK II (FUTURE)
Type: Neighborhood Park
Location: Lakeview Ave. and Churchill Downs Dr., 92886
Size: 5.0 Acres
Parking: TBD
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities:</th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>VISTA LAMPARA PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 2-5 Playground</td>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Mini Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities:</td>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>24520 Vista Lampara, 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Picnic Tables</td>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>1.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Fields/Courts</td>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Open Space</td>
<td>Special Features:</td>
<td>✓ Walking Path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Gazebo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>VISTA LAMARPA PARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Mini Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>24520 Vista Lampara, 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>1.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>0 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name: YORBA LINDA COMMUNITY CENTER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type: Community Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: 4501 Casa Loma Avenue, 92886</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size: 5.8 Acres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking: 308 spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Horse Trail Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Multi-Use Trail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Restrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Banquet Rooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Reception Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Meeting Rooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Classrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Kitchen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ No Sports Fields/Courts at this location</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ No Play Amenities at this location</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjacent to Hurless Barton Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities:</th>
<th>Name: YORBA LINDA HIGH SCHOOL PARK (FUTURE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 2-5 Playground</td>
<td>Type: Community Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Age 5-12 Playground</td>
<td>Location: 19900 Bastanchury Road, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Tot Swing (2)</td>
<td>Size: 10 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Strap Swing (2)</td>
<td>Parking: 48 spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities:</th>
<th>Special Features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Restroom</td>
<td>✓ Walking Path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Drinking Fountain</td>
<td>✓ Bike Racks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Picnic Tables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Fields/Courts:</th>
<th>Facilities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Baseball Field (2 lighted)</td>
<td>✓ Restroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Soccer – Medium (lighted)</td>
<td>✓ Snack Stand/Kitchen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

Amenities:
- Age 2-5 Playground
- Age 5-12 Playground
- Tot Swing (2)
- Strap Swing (2)

Facilities:
- Restroom
- Drinking Fountain
- Picnic Tables
- Snack Stand/Kitchen
- Bar-B-Ques

Sports Fields/Courts:
- Baseball Field (2 lighted)
- Soccer – Medium (lighted)

Special Features:
- Walking Path
- Bike Racks
Name: YORBA LINDA MIDDLE SCHOOL
RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

Type: Schools/Joint Use
Location: 4777 Casa Loma, 92886
Size: 8.0 Acres
Parking: 49 spaces

Amenities:
✓ Stationary Fitness Equipment

Facilities:
✓ Restroom
✓ Drinking Fountain
✓ Snack Stand

Sports Fields/Courts:
✓ Basketball Court (5.5 Shared Volleyball Courts)
✓ Volleyball Court (5.5 Shared Basketball Courts)
✓ Baseball (1 Lighted)
✓ Baseball/Softball (2 Lighted)
✓ Soccer – Medium (Lighted)
✓ Soccer – Large (Lighted)
✓ Open Space

Special Features:
✓ Perimeter Walking Path

Notes:
Adjacent to Veterans Park.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>YORBA LINDA MIDDLE SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Schools/Joint Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>4777 Casa Loma, 92886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size:</td>
<td>8.0 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking:</td>
<td>49 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name:</strong></td>
<td>YORBA LINDA POLICE SERVICES FACILITY AND ARROYO PARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type:</strong></td>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td>20994 Yorba Linda Boulevard, 92887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size:</strong></td>
<td>9.0 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parking:</strong></td>
<td>50 available public spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Amenities:
- Age 2-5 Playground
- Age 5-12 Playground
- Tot Swing (2)

### Facilities:
- Restroom
- Drinking Fountain
- Picnic Tables
- Picnic Shelter (1)
- Bar-B-Ques (3)

### Sports Fields/Courts:
- Baseball/Softball Field (3 Informal)
- Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field (2)
- Open Space

### Name:
**YORBA LINDA POLICE SERVICES FACILITY AND ARROYO PARK**

### Type:
Neighborhood Park

### Location:
20994 Yorba Linda Boulevard, 92887

### Size:
9.0 acres

### Parking:
50 available public spaces

### Special Features:
- Equestrian Arena
- Multi-Use Trail
- Horse Trail Access

### Notes:
Name: YORBA LINDA PUBLIC LIBRARY
Type: Facility/ Special Use Area
Location: 18181 Imperial Highway, 92886
Size: 0.3 Acres
Parking: 76 spaces

Amenities:
No Play Amenities at this location.

Facilities:
✓ Restroom ✓ Kitchen
✓ Drinking Fountain ✓ Multipurpose Room

Sports Fields/Courts:
No Sports Fields/Courts at this location.

Special Features:
No Special Features at this location.

Notes:
0.3 acre Public Library building only.
Name: YORBA LINDA PUBLIC LIBRARY
Type: Facility/ Special Use Area
Location: 18181 Imperial Highway, 92886
Size: 0.3 Acres
Parking: 76 spaces
**Name:** YORBA REGIONAL PARK  
**Type:** Regional Park  
**Location:** 7600 La Palma Ave, Anaheim, 92807  
**Size:** 105.69 Acres  
**Parking:** 700+ spaces

### Facilities:
- ✓ Restrooms
- ✓ Drinking Fountains
- ✓ Snack Stand/Kitchen
- ✓ Picnic Tables (400)
- ✓ Picnic Shelters
- ✓ Bar-B-Ques (200)

### Special Features:
- ✓ Fitness Course
- ✓ Multi-Use Trail
- ✓ Gymnasium
- ✓ Horse Trail Access
- ✓ Track and Field
- ✓ Lake

### Sports Fields/Courts:
- ✓ Volleyball Court
- ✓ Softball Field (3 Lighted)
- ✓ Soccer/Multi-Purpose Field (2)
- ✓ Open Space
- ✓ Baseball (Informal)
- ✓ Soccer – Large
- ✓ Soccer – Medium
- ✓ Soccer - Small

### Amenities:
- ✓ Age 2-5 Playground
- ✓ Age 5-12 Playground
- ✓ Age 2-12 Playground

### Notes:
Paddle Boat and Bike Rentals, and Fishing.
Name: YORBA REGIONAL PARK
Type: Regional Park
Location: 7600 La Palma Ave, Anaheim, 92807
Size: 105.69 Acres
Parking: 700+ spaces
Appendix B

Maintenance Policies and Standards
APPENDIX B. MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND STANDARDS

Maintenance of existing parks and facilities was a consensus priority for the Parks and Recreation Department according to the community outreach process. Consequently, the consultants worked with city maintenance staff to define and develop a set of maintenance policies and standards for the department to follow that would insure continued public satisfaction with the quality of maintenance of parks and facilities in Yorba Linda. The following maintenance chapter addresses the tasks the department performs on a regular basis and defines the frequency, quality, and the evaluation of the department’s maintenance procedures. Additional policies that deal with water quality, use of organic materials vs. chemicals, bio-retention, native plant materials, and use of natural materials that are fire-retardant can be found in the City’s General Plan, specifically in the Safety Element.

7.1 Vision, Policy and Strategies for Maintenance Operations

7.1.1 Vision for Maintenance Operations – “Our vision for maintaining our parks and recreation facilities is to provide a high quality park system that meets community expectations through effectively implementing the maintenance policies and standards contained in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan”

7.1.2 Policy for Maintenance Operations - The City of Yorba Linda Department of Parks & Recreation is responsible for the maintenance and care of parks, community buildings and special use facilities throughout the City. This section of the Parks & Recreation Master Plan provides the maintenance and care policies followed by specific specifications for maintaining athletic fields, active park areas, passive areas, buildings and recreation equipment. These policies and specifications are used to determine costs for maintaining and caring for parks and recreation amenities to meet resident expectations for level of service.

The Quality Standards contained in this document define the desired conditions of park features, fields, and facilities and are designed to provide a standard of maintenance satisfactory to the community and clearly attainable by maintenance staff. The Quality Standard for each park amenity has been placed in BOLD type for each component. The public expectations derived from the Parks and Recreation Master Plan public input process serve as the basis for these policies on quality standards and provide a road map for staff to maintain parks and facilities to acceptable performance ratings.

Ornamental and Athletic Field Turf

Ornamental turf refers to those lawn areas serving primarily as visual attractions. Athletic turf refers to grass sports fields. Different types of grasses serve different functions and the methods used to maintain them are also different. The dollars allotted for ornamental turf maintenance may be less than the dollars allocated to
athletic fields because of the wear and tear athletic field turf receives. The criteria used to evaluate turf should be weighted, because attractiveness of ornamental turf is more important than usability, while on athletic fields usability is more important than attractiveness.

No other structure, fixture or landscape feature has a greater impact on the appearance of the park system than that of the ornamental and athletic turf. Indeed, the quality of it often overshadows all else. For many observers, it is the primary indicator of overall park quality. A well-managed lawn or field can effectively draw attention away from shortcomings in other areas, while impressions of even the best facility can be quickly ruined by poorly-managed turf.

The quality of turf is judged by a review of six factors:

- Color
- Height
- Density
- Texture
- Edging
- Utility

COLOR

There is no doubt that color is one of the most obvious and widely-used (if not the most valid) indicator of turf quality. So great is its influence that it can leave a lasting impression (good or bad) on those who may never do more than view the park or sports fields from a passing automobile.

It is intended that only the color of existing turf will be evaluated. That is, a lack of color due to an inoperative sprinkler or chemically treated grass would affect the rating, but a lack of color due to non-existent turf (bare patches) would not. Such problems would be identified and rated under a separate category (e.g., density).

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Overall color is uniform and quite green despite occasional small (in proportion to overall size of lawn or field) spots of discoloration (yellowing/browning, etc.) noticeable from a distance.

HEIGHT

The standard height of turf will be determined by the grass species. The importance of uniform height to turf is easily demonstrated by the visual impact of a newly mowed lawn compared to one that has not been maintained for some time. Height also can affect the utility of the turf and safety of play on the turf.
Policy for Quality Standard: Turf is kept at a uniform height throughout the lawn or field area.

DENSITY

The density of turf is as important as its height, especially on athletic fields. A thick stand of turf provides a more functional surface for park users, a healthier, groomed appearance and greater protection against texture variations (by allowing fewer weeds to germinate). It is intended that the overall density of designated lawn areas and grass fields will be evaluated, not just that of bare spots due to wear and tear.

Policy for Quality Standard: Turf appears extremely dense from a distance. Closer inspection reveals that while it is quite dense, the soil surface can be identified without great difficulty.

Policy for Quality Standard: High traffic or use areas receive focused maintenance attention so that density may be less than non high-traffic or use areas but are still attractive and usable and the lawn or field appears groomed throughout.

TEXTURE

The importance of uniform texture when judging the overall quality of a lawn is subtle but considerable. Often, for example, it is the different texture of weeds which makes them offensive to the eye in a stand of turf. Degrees of difference in texture account for the fact that broadleaf weeds are generally more noticeable, and hence more offensive, than grassy weeds.

Policy for Quality Standard: Texture from a distance is quite consistent as characterized by lawns or fields comprised solely of grass blades. Slight or patchy variations in grass blade texture may be evident. Close inspection may reveal occasional, broadleaf weeds.

EDGING

The aesthetic qualities of turf are generally enhanced by clear, purposeful contrasts to surrounding differences in material, texture and color. This is achieved by clearly defining the borders of designated turf areas. The more obvious the border, the sharper the contrast will be. Allowing grasses to stray from their designated boundaries may impact not only the overall appearance of the turf, but the utility of surrounding park surfaces as well.

Policy for Quality Standard: Designated turf boundaries are obvious and sharply defined throughout the park. Turf does not creep into pathways or hard surface areas.
UTILITY

Park visitors use lawn and field areas for a variety of purposes, including organized sports programs, and such activities as picnicking, informal ball games, kite flying, exercise, and passive recreation. While the five factors previously mentioned may influence the utility of a lawn, other constrains affect it as well. An uneven soil surface, for example, can make it more difficult or dangerous for users to play sports or jog; a soggy or deeply-rutted grass field is also a safety factor.

Policy for Quality Standard: Entire lawn area or field space is capable of supporting all reasonable activities it was designed for and there are no areas unsuitable for use.

Policy for Quality Standard: There are no noticeable gopher holes or depressions in the evenness of the turf area.

Trees

City of Yorba Linda maintains an urban forest throughout its park system because it recognizes the vital roles that trees play in landscape beautification. Trees provide the City with various colors, shapes and textures – with natural grace and beauty. They camouflage unsightly scenes and break the monotony of man-made materials such as concrete and asphalt.

The City also realizes that trees contribute a great deal more than their natural beauty to the quality of resident’s lives. They help to keep the air supply fresh by producing oxygen and absorbing the carbon dioxide the City, industries and automobiles produce. Their leaves trap and filter dust and pollen. Trees act as natural air conditioners, providing shade in the summer and insulation during winter months. Trees slow strong winds, function as effective sound barriers, give shade and privacy, and increase surrounding property values.

The large number of trees comprising the park systems forest makes it difficult to perform a detailed, time-consuming appraisal of each tree on a tri-annual basis. Indeed, a thorough evaluation would require that each tree be climbed and inspected by a qualified arborist – an unwarranted task requiring thousands of hours. While initial observations of specific trees may prompt such detailed evaluations on occasion, the routine inspection of each tree on a tri-annual basis should be performed from ground level and in the following manner.

The quality of trees shall be judged by a review of two factors:

- Attractiveness
- Suitability
ATTRACTIONENESS

The attractiveness of trees in parks affects the overall appearance of a park site. The appearance of trees in a park can determine the feel, charisma, and charm of the park. User surveys show that the public visits and cherishes parks with attractive trees more than parks without trees or with unmaintained and unattractive trees.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Trees should display at least some semblance of the form common to the species. Some allowance shall be made for natural “character”, but grossly misshapen trees will not pass inspection.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** There should be no stubs, dead “flags” or other unsightly distractions on a tree and the tree should look healthy and full.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Stumps should not be visible. They should either be removed to at least twelve inches below ground (e.g., in open turf) or hidden by existing vegetation (e.g., planter beds).

SUITABILITY

Trees in parks settings are used for a variety of reasons, including providing shade, defining an area, creating a park boundary, providing a noise barrier and enhancing a parks appearance. Different species of trees are used for different purposes and the effectiveness of the tree to serve its purpose is directly related to the type of tree it is.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Trees planted for a specific reason (e.g., to serve as visual screens, noise barriers, or to provide summer shade) should serve the intended purpose.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Tree limbs should not interfere with buildings, utility wires, etc.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Limbs and/or foliage should not obstruct park signs. Tree roots should not interfere with the utility of surrounding facilities or fixtures.

**Ground Covers and Shrubs**

Ground covers vary widely in height, leaf color, texture and form. Although technically most any plant which covers the surface may be called ground cover most plants within the park system designated as ground cover are low-growing evergreen plants which are encouraged to form a fairly dense mat.

A shrub can be generally defined as a woody perennial plant, often with many stems, which measure 3’ or less. This is a useful working definition, but is rather arbitrary, as
distinctions between ground covers and shrubs and between shrubs and trees can blur. Certain shrubs can be designated as ground cover while others can be trained as trees.

The quality of ground covers and shrubs shall be judged by a review of two factors:

- Attractiveness
- Suitability

**ATTRACTIVENESS**

Both ground cover and shrubs serve several landscape functions including adding color to a park, accenting entryway areas, and beautifying slopes and hillsides. Ground Cover is a relatively low maintenance portion of the landscape, which can help suppress weeds and aid in soil retention.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** There are no inappropriate bare areas in ground cover areas. One may see bare ground in a close view, but the planting presents an overall dense appearance.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Flowering Ground Cover/Shrubs display good distribution of flowers (in appropriate season) across entire planting.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** No evidence of pests or debris from normal viewing distances. Close inspection reveals minor signs of pests/disease.

**SUITABILITY**

Ground Cover/Shrubs may be planted to route traffic or camouflage unsightly objects (vacuum breakers, poles, etc.) They also provide visual interest and contrast to expanses of turf areas by varying heights, shapes, and seasonal color.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Plants conform to height consistent with species and intended function.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Flowers are not dead and accumulating and ground cover is not collecting trash or debris.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Shrubs and plants intended to hide or camouflage obstacles actually are doing so.

**Ball Fields (Skinned Infields)**

Turf areas of sports fields are maintained in accordance with the Policy for Quality Standards for turf. However, the condition of the ball field skinned infields is integral to use of the sport fields and the following Quality Standards apply specifically to the infield area of ball fields throughout the park system.
The quality of infields shall be judged by a review of three factors:

- Safety
- Attractiveness
- Usability

**ATTRACTIVENESS**

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Infield is free of litter and debris.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Infield is free of weeds.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Bleachers and backstops are properly painted/sealed/cleaned where appropriate.

**USABILITY**

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Infield is reasonably level, free of holes, depressions, rocks and debris.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Infield has clearly defined boundaries.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Infield is free of compaction.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Lights are functional.

**Tennis and Basketball Courts**

Tennis and basketball courts are maintained through combined operational and capital efforts. Court surfaces are sealed and striped periodically and staff/contractors provide the tasks of litter removal, net repair/replacement and court washing on a routine basis.

The quality of tennis and basketball courts shall be judged by a review of three factors:

- Safety
- Attractiveness
- Usability

**ATTRACTIVENESS**

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Courts are free of litter and debris.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Courts are free of vandalism and graffiti.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Surfaces are painted where applicable.
USABILITY

Policy for Quality Standard: Courts are smooth without large cracks.

Policy for Quality Standard: Courts have clearly visible and well-defined lines.

Policy for Quality Standard: Nets, rims and backboards are set at the proper height and/or tension.

Policy for Quality Standard: Courts are clean with no sand or standing water.

Policy for Quality Standard: Lights are functional.

Tot Lot & Playgrounds

The relatively high standards by which it is judged, the quality of the playgrounds is a reflection of their importance in several respects. First, they are depended on by both children and guardians on a regular basis. It should be kept in mind that while one inoperative piece of play equipment may seem a minor problem when compared to a departments total park responsibilities, to any number of children it may be a catastrophic loss as their scope of concern is extremely narrow and tends to focus on immediate gratification.

Second, related safety issues become paramount when one considers that while children are among our most precious natural resources, they cannot be expected to search for or to recognize many threats to their own well-being. For this, they are largely dependent on the department’s maintenance policies.

The quality of playgrounds and tot lots (defined below) shall be judged on the following criteria:

- Safety
- Attractiveness
- Utility

Designated playgrounds and tot lots shall be maintained to be safe and attractive, and to provide school-age children with a variety of skill and sensory activity opportunities, such that the qualities of safety, aesthetics, and utility are in superior condition throughout the year. In Yorba Linda there are generally two types of playgrounds:

Pre-School Age Tot Lots (designed for ages 2 to 5 year-old children)

Pre-School age tot lots are designed with very young children in mind. Base material is generally a rubberized surface with some playgrounds having wood chips as their base material, which serves as the primary medium for tot activity. There are play fixtures designed in height and form to satisfy the needs of pre-school age children.
Elementary Age Playgrounds (designed for ages 5 to 12 year-old children)

Elementary age playgrounds are designed with older children in mind. Base material is generally a rubberized surface for cushioning minor falls (some may have wood chips as the base material). Fixtures/structures are planned to stimulate children's imagination and to provide a variety of skill and sensory experiences.

SAFETY

Safety is by far the most important standard by which to evaluate the quality of the playgrounds. It is expected that safety will always be the staff’s highest priority, and that he/she will pay close and special attention to areas frequented by children.

Policy for Quality Standard: Informal (Daily) safety inspections of playground areas are performed by staff, and hazards identified are repaired as soon as possible. Equipment which cannot be repaired immediately should be removed from service whenever possible thus rendering the sites safe.

ATTRACTIVENESS

Beauty, as the saying goes, is in the eye of the beholder. Thus, this particular rating of playground equipment requires a certain amount of subjectivity. The intent, however, is not to judge the appeal of a structure’s design, or the choice of color for a particular piece of equipment; rather, the intent is to judge the appearance of each piece of play equipment as compared to its original appearance (e.g., is the paint peeling? Does it appear to be in “very used” or “like new” condition?).

Playgrounds and activity centers in particular, will never be fully functional if they are not attractive to those intended to use them. In general, children prefer new things to old, shiny objects instead of dull and bright colors as compared to faded. Playground apparatus should be maintained and rated with this in mind.

Policy for Quality Standard: Playgrounds & Tot Lots are free of litter and debris.

Policy for Quality Standard: Playgrounds & Tot Lots are free of vandalism and graffiti.

Policy for Quality Standard: Playground and Tot Lot equipment and surfaces are bright and colorful.

UTILITY

Playground utility refers to the ability of individual pieces of equipment to perform their intended function.

Policy for Quality Standard: Apparatus serve their intended purpose.
Policy for Quality Standard: Surfaces are clean.

Policy for Quality Standard: Base material is adequate to insure safety.

Note: When repairing swings located in Tot Lots and Playgrounds, tot swings and belt swings shall not occupy the same swing bay, per Consumer Safety Product Standards.

Picnic Sites

Yorba Linda parks attract picnickers almost every day, thousands each year. Companies and residents use these facilities extensively, especially during the warmer months of April through September. It is essential that staff prepare picnic sites for this intense use and hold to the Quality Standards noted below so the picnic users experience will be positive and memorable. Picnic sites shall be reviewed according to the following criteria:

- Safety
- Attractiveness
- Usability

ATTRACTIONNESS

Public input surveys show that picnic areas are among the most popular park amenities and that picnic facilities that are attractive to the eye are the most sought after picnic sites. Keeping a picnic area attractive to the eye means more than just cleaning the picnic tables and BBQ’s. The surrounding landscape, trees and lawn areas also affect the eyes perception of how a picnic area looks.

Policy for Quality Standard: Picnic sites are free of litter and debris.

Policy for Quality Standard: Picnic sites free of vandalism and graffiti.

Policy for Quality Standard: Table Surfaces are painted where applicable and barbecues are clean and functioning.

Policy for Quality Standard: Ground surface of the picnic site is even without ruts, deep cracks, or standing water.

USABILITY

There are generally two types of picnic areas in Yorba Linda parks, group picnic shelters and family picnic sites. The group picnic areas can be reserved and generally serve local organizations, companies, churches and service clubs, as well as, resident birthday parties and other group functions. The family picnic sites are scattered around a park site and are meant to serve one or two families on a picnic.
Policy for Quality Standard: Picnic sites are functional according to their intended purpose, e.g. group events or family picnics.

Policy for Quality Standard: Entire picnic site is clean and free of debris and trash.

Policy for Quality Standard: Used briquettes are emptied from barbecues.

Policy for Quality Standard: Trash barrels are adequate for the site

Policy for Quality Standard: Group shelters are maintained so as to be attractive and inviting and provide shade in the intended areas.

Restrooms
Landscape and turf areas are key to the public’s general perception of park conditions; however, the condition of park restrooms can destroy even the most positive opinions of park conditions. Properly maintained restrooms are usually not commented on by the public, but poorly maintained restrooms will generate high rates of complaints from park visitors. Many visitors use the restroom condition as their criteria for which park to visit. Well maintained park rest rooms provide staff an opportunity (when properly done) to make a positive impact on the perception of Yorba Linda parks. The criteria used to rate the condition of park restrooms are as follows:

- Safety
- Attractiveness
- Usability

ATTRACTIVENESS

Policy for Quality Standard: Toilets, urinals, sinks, floors, walls and partitions are clean.

Policy for Quality Standard: Toilets, urinals, sinks, floors, walls and partitions are free of graffiti.

Policy for Quality Standard: Restrooms are odor free.

Policy for Quality Standard: Restroom building exterior is free of vandalism and graffiti.

USABILITY

Policy for Quality Standard: Toilets, urinals, sinks and faucets are functioning properly.

Policy for Quality Standard: Restroom lights are functioning properly.

Policy for Quality Standard: Restroom contains number of fixtures and stalls to serve the use it is getting.
Hardscape

Park hardscape areas facilitate many activities throughout the parks system. Movement from one park activity center (building, sports field, etc.) to another is just one purpose of hardscape. Other important uses include parties on patios near buildings and leisure strolls. And, no other portion of the parks system holds as great a potential for visitor injury. It is critical that staff familiarize themselves with, and provides support actions for maintaining park hardscape. The quality of hardscape areas in parks shall be judged on the following criteria:

- Safety
- Attractiveness
- Utility

ATTRACTIVENESS

Policy for Quality Standard: Walkways and patios are free of weeds, graffiti and debris.

Policy for Quality Standard: Turf, ground cover or trees do not intrude on the hardscape

SAFETY

Policy for Quality Standard: Walkways and patios are free of obstructing debris and have clear access.

Policy for Quality Standard: Displaced hardscape should not have unintended differentials greater than one-half inch in height.

Policy for Quality Standard: Hardscape should be free of standing water, mud, or other substances that could cause slipping.

UTILITY

Policy for Quality Standard: Walkways and patios serve their intended purpose without obstructions.

Policy for Quality Standard: Hardscape surface material is suitable for its intended purpose and can handle the volume of use it is getting.

Community Centers/Gymnasiums

Properly maintained Community Centers/Gymnasiums are usually not commented on by the public, but poorly maintained Community Centers/Gymnasiums will generate high rates of complaints from facility visitors. Community Centers/Gymnasiums are the center piece for providing active recreation programs and activities for the community. Well maintained Community Centers/Gymnasiums provide staff an opportunity to make
a positive impact on the perception of Yorba Linda. The criteria used to rate the condition of Community Centers/Gymnasiums are as follows:

- Attractiveness
- Usability

**ATTRACTIVENESS**

**Policy for Quality Standard:** All areas of the Community Centers/Gymnasiums are clean.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Lobby furniture, light fixtures, decorator items, carpet/floors, tables, chairs, program equipment, etc. are clean and free of graffiti.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Wall coverings and painted walls do not show signs of excessive wear and tear.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** The building exterior is free of vandalism and graffiti.

**USABILITY**

**Policy for Quality Standard:** All program equipment is functioning properly.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Lights are functioning properly.

**Policy for Quality Standard:** Storage of equipment does not interfere with activities.

**7.1.3 Strategies & Recommendations for Park Maintenance -**

1. **MAINTENANCE REPORTS**

   1.01 Parks Daily Log

   Staff shall maintain and keep current a “Parks Daily Log” report form (Exhibit A) that records all ongoing, seasonal and additional work, maintenance functions performed by personnel. Said report shall be in a form and content acceptable to the Director and shall be submitted daily to the maintenance division head. If work is being done by an outside contractor, the monthly payment to contractor will not be made until such reports are received for the entire number of days in the invoice period and deemed acceptable by the Director.

2. **IRRIGATION SCHEDULE**

   The irrigation schedule is produced by the automatic controllers using real time weather. Staff or contractor shall monitor the controllers irrigation schedule to make sure units are functioning properly.
2.01 Specialty Maintenance

In addition, when applicable, Staff or contractor shall submit a monthly report indicating those specialty type maintenance operations completed for turf maintenance and building maintenance which shall include the following information:

- Quantity and complete description of all commercial and organic fertilizers used.
- Quantity and label description of all grass seed used.
- Quantity and complete description of all soil amendments used.
- A valid licensed California Pest Control Advisor’s recommendation and copies of corresponding pesticide use report signed by a licensed California Pest Control Operator for all chemical disease and pest control work performed.

3. MOWING – Operation

3.01 Mowing operations shall be performed in a workmanlike manner that ensures a smooth, surface appearance without scalping or allowing excessive cuttings to remain.

3.02 Turf shall be mowed with a reel-type mower equipped with rollers or a rotary-type mower.

3.03 All equipment shall be adjusted to the proper cutting heights and shall be adequately sharpened.

3.04 Mowing height shall be no less than 1/2 inch for all turf areas. Mowing height may be set as high as 2 1/2 inches with one (2) being considered normal. Mowing heights may vary for special events and conditions.

3.05 Mowing operation shall be completely performed fifty two (52) times per year.

3.06 Mowing operation shall be scheduled Monday through Friday.

3.07 Walkways shall be cleaned immediately following each mowing.

4. MOWING - Frequency

All turf areas shall be mowed every week, unless an area is closed for maintenance or over/inter seeding.
5. **MECHANICAL EDGING – Frequency**

5.01 Mechanical edging of turf shall be completed as one operation in a manner that results in a well-defined, V-shape edge that extends into the soil. Such edging shall be done with a power edger with a rigid blade.

5.02 All turf edges shall be trimmed or limited around: valve boxes, meter boxes, backflow devices, park equipment and other obstacles.

5.03 All groundcover areas where maintained next to turf areas shall be kept neatly edged and all grass invasions eliminated.

5.04 Mechanical edging of turf shall be performed a minimum of twenty-six (26) times per year.

5.05 Mechanical edging of all groundcover areas shall be completed a minimum of six (6) times per year.

6. **CHEMICAL EDGING AND DETAILING – Operation**

6.01 Chemical application may be used in and around areas such as planters, areas adjacent to buildings, trees, fence lines, etc. Prior to application of chemicals, all areas shall be trimmed to proper mowing height. Chemicals shall be applied in a manner to limit drift to six (6) inches. Precautionary measures shall be employed since all areas will be open for public during application.

6.02 Spot treat with a portable sprayer or wick wand using an herbicide approved by the Director and applied per manufacturer’s recommendations. Water shall not be applied to treated areas for forty-eight (48) hours after each application.

6.03 Where trees and shrubs occur in turf areas, all grass growth shall be limited to at least eighteen (18) inches from the trunks of trees and away from the drip line of shrubs by use of approved chemicals.

6.04 Linear chemical edging of turf boundaries may be performed in a manner that ensures a defined turf edge and limits its encroachment into beds or across boundaries where it is impractical to edge mechanically. A twelve (12) inch barrier width shall be considered normal.

6.05 Detailing of valve boxes, meter boxes, and similar obstacles in turf areas may be performed in a manner that ensures operability, ease of location and/or a clean appearance. A six (6) inch clearance shall be considered normal.
7. CHEMICAL EDGING AND DETAILING – Frequency

7.01 Chemical edging and detailing operations shall be completed once every three (3) months.

8. WEED CONTROL AND REMOVAL – Operation

8.01 All grass-like type weeds, morning glory or vine-weed types, ragweed or other underground spreading weeds shall be kept under strict control.

8.02 Remove all weeds and grass from the following areas: roadways, driveways, parking lots patios, drainage areas, slopes, hillsides, and expansion joints in all hard surface areas.

8.03 Remove all weeds, mechanically, from shrub beds, planters, and other cultivated areas.

8.04 Weeds treated with a contact weed chemical shall be left in place for a minimum of seven (7) days. If kill is not complete; additional application(s) shall be made, until target species are eliminated.

8.05 Weeds treated using a systemic chemical shall be left in place per manufacturer’s recommendation. If kill is not complete by the time specified in the manufacturer’s recommendation a second application shall be made.

8.06 After complete kill all dead weeds shall be removed from the area.

9. WEED CONTROL AND REMOVAL - Frequency

9.01 Chemical application: Beds, planters, walkways, hard court areas, picnic pavilions, drainage area patios, expansion joints in all hard surface areas, roadways, parking lots, drainage areas, slopes, and hillsides: once every month.

9.02 Mechanical Removal: shrub beds, planters, cultivated areas; once per week.

9.03 Inspect, spot treat or mechanically remove weeds as necessary.

10. LITTER CONTROL - Operation

10.01 Complete policing and litter pick-up to remove paper, glass, trash, undesirable materials, salutation and other accumulated debris within the hard surfaces, fields and landscaped areas to be maintained, including but not limited to: walkways, roadways between and around planted areas, steps, planters, drains, areas on slopes from the toe of slope to ten feet up the slope, catch basins, play equipment, and sand areas.
10.02 Complete policing, litter pick up and supplemental hand sweeping of parking lot corners and other parking lot areas inaccessible to power equipment shall be accomplished to ensure a neat appearance.

10.03 Litter pick-up shall be completed as early in the day as possible, but in no case later than 10:00 a.m.

11. **LITTER CONTROL – Frequency**

11.01 Turf, beds, planters, walkways, hard court areas, play areas, picnic pavilions and field areas, sand areas, patios, drainage areas, the above described slope areas, roadways, and parking lots daily seven (7) days per week.

11.02 Trails and developed and irrigated slope areas: Seven (7) days per week.

12. **TRASH CONTAINERS – Operation**

12.01 Exterior trash containers shall be emptied prior to 10:00 a.m. and all materials shall be placed in appropriate trash bin(s).

12.02 Receptacles shall be conveniently located for the public use and returned daily to such locations if receptacles are displaced by third parties.

12.03 Containers or related appurtenances shall be cleaned and painted to avoid concentrations of insects and not detract from the overall appearance of the area.

12.04 Containers shall be painted to match existing color.

12.05 All containers or receptacles shall be installed securely to prevent tipping.

13. **TRASH CONTAINERS - Frequency**

13.01 Empty exterior trash containers or receptacles; Daily seven (7) days per week

13.02 Clean and paint exterior trash containers or receptacles; as needed.

14. **TRASH BIN REMOVAL - Operation**

14.01 All trash and accumulated debris shall be placed in appropriate designated trash bin(s) each day.

14.02 A designated storage area will be provided for the trash bin(s).
15. **TRASH BIN REMOVAL - Frequency**

15.01 Trash bin removal; At least once per week or as otherwise approved by Director.

15.02 Trash trucks shall not be permitted on park turf areas.

16. **RAKING - Operation**

16.01 Accumulation of leaves shall be removed from all landscaped areas including beds, planters and turf areas under trees and placed in appropriate trash bin(s).

17. **RAKING - Frequency**

17.01 Planters, planter beds and turf areas under trees; once per month.

18. **PRUNING AND TRIMMING FOR HEDGES & TREES - Operation**

Note: Also see Section 45 for tree care and pruning standards

18.01 Clearance

Maintain trees and hedges to achieve a nine (9) foot clearance for all branches within the park area, eleven (11) foot clearance on equestrian trails, and thirteen (13) foot clearance for branches overhanging beyond curb line into the paved section of roadways. Prune all plant materials where necessary to maintain access and safe vehicular and pedestrian visibility and clearance and to prevent or eliminate hazardous situations.

18.02 Trim designated formal plant materials to maintain formal hedges and topiary work.

18.03 Plant ties shall be checked frequently and either retied to prevent girdling or removed along with the stakes when no longer required.

18.04 Remove all new growth on trees up to the appropriate height clearances.

18.05 Remove all dead shrubs and trees as needed.

18.06 Staking and Tying

- Replacement of missing or damaged stakes where the tree diameter is less than three (3) inches.
- Stake in those cases where tree has been damaged and requires staking for support.
- Stake new trees or recently planted trees that have not previously been staked.

A. Tree stakes, two (2) per tree, shall be pentachlorophenol treated lodge pole pine not less than eight (8) feet in length for five (5) gallon size trees not less than ten (10) feet for fifteen (15) gallon trees.

B. Guy wires where required and plant ties will be of vinyl tube tree ties or U.I.T. twist brace using two (2) ties per tree

C. Stakes will not be placed closer than eight (8) inches from the trunk and not through the root ball.

18.07 Ground Cover

All dead, diseased and unsightly branches, vines or other growth shall be removed as they develop. All groundcover areas shall be pruned to shrubs or trees shall be pruned out of these areas.

18.08 Damaged trees shall be staked and tied within twenty-four (24) hours. Replacement stakes or new staking shall be completed within five days.

19. PRUNING AND HEDGE TRIMMING - Frequency

19.01 Trees Clearance Pruning: As Needed.

19.02 Groundcover thinning: As Needed.

19.03 Pruning plant material for vehicular and pedestrian visibility and access: As Needed.

20. SWEEPING/WASHING - Operations

20.01 Check concrete areas for cracks, crevices and deterioration and notify Director in writing within twenty-four (24) hours.

20.02 Walkways, steps, hard court areas, and patios shall be cleaned including but not limited to; the removal of all foreign objects from surfaces such as gum, grease, paint, graffiti, broken glass, etc.

20.03 Methods for sweeping of designed areas can incorporate one or all of the following:

A. Power pack blowers

B. Vacuums
C. Brooms

D. Push power blowers

20.04 In the event power equipment is used to complete such operations, these operations shall be subject to local ordinances regarding noise levels. Further, any schedule of such operations may be modified by Director in order to insure that the public is not unduly impacted by the noise created by such equipment.

20.05 Supplemental hand sweeping of parking lot corners and other parking lot areas are required in those areas inaccessible to power equipment.

21. SWEEPING/WASHING - Frequency

21.01 Sweeping of hard surface areas, parking lot corners, walkways, steps, and hard court and stadium areas; once per week.

21.02 Washing of the following areas:

A. Picnic pavilions and patios used in conjunction with food service areas shall be washed as instructed by the Director.

B. Tennis courts and hard surface areas shall be washed as instructed by the Director.

21.03 Director may suspend or modify washing of hard surfaces in response to drought situations.

22. PICNIC AREAS AND PAVILIONS MAINTENANCE - Operation

22.01 Picnic tables, benches, slabs, braziers, and trash containers and receptacles shall be cleaned to insure safe use by the public.

22.02 Picnic tables and benches shall be checked for graffiti, carvings, looseness of planks or braces, cleanliness and general need of repair.

22.03 Cooking grills shall be inspected for general need of repair.

22.04 Ashes, partially burned charcoal, garbage, and leftover food in and around cooking and picnic facilities shall be removed.

22.05 The entire picnic area shall be kept free of broken glass, cans, pop-tops, paper, etc.

23. PICNIC AREAS AND PAVILIONS MAINTENANCE – Frequency

23.01 Inspect picnic tables and benches daily, seven (7) days per week.
23.02 Spot clean tables, benches, and pads: As Needed.

23.03 Empty trash containers and receptacles; daily, seven (7) days per week.

23.04 Wash trash containers as needed.

23.05 Wash picnic hard surface areas as needed.

23.06 Clean barbeques as needed.

23.07 Clean and disinfect drinking fountains; Daily, seven (7) days per week.

23.08 Remove litter: Daily, Seven (7) days per week.

23.09 Sweep picnic hard surface areas; daily, seven (7) days per week.

24. **PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT – Operation**

24.01 All playground sites and equipment shall be inspected at the start of each workday, and the surfacing cleaned and raked level to remove any foreign and hazardous material and be neatly groomed.

24.02 Any equipment showing signs of wear, fatigue or otherwise presenting an unsafe condition shall be reported immediately to the Director.

24.03 Special attention shall be made in wood chip areas, around play equipment. These wood chip areas shall be leveled by distributing chips from high areas to low areas.

24.04 During the leveling and distribution of chips no concrete footing shall be exposed that could allow children to trip over or fall on.

24.05 During regular maintenance the raking and filling of depressions shall be done in a manner to prevent material compaction.

24.06 The raking and distribution of chips around and below the play equipment shall have a cushioning potential and this condition shall extend for the entire use and/or fall zone area.

24.07 All wood chip play areas shall be maintained free of litter, cans, pop tops, broken glass and other harmful and unsightly debris.

25. **PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT - Frequency**

25.01 Inspect playground site and equipment; Daily, seven (7) days per week.
25.02 Clean, re-grade, rake and remove litter from chip area; Daily, seven (7) days per week.

25.03 Sweep walks and resilient surfacing around play areas and return chips to play area; Daily seven (7) days per week.

26. RESTROOM MAINTENANCE - Daily Operation, Seven (7) days per week.

26.01 All restrooms shall be cleaned thoroughly in accordance with the following tasks, and all tasks shall be completed and restrooms opened for public use prior to 10 a.m., unless otherwise specifically authorized by Director.

26.02 Pick up debris and trash, then sweep and mop or wash down floor. Removed materials are not to be swept outside of the restroom.

26.03 Empty trash and napkin receptacles, replace liners as needed.

26.04 Check and refill all dispensers as needed.

26.05 Replace burnt out light bulbs or tubes, inside of restroom and security lights outside.

26.06 Remove all graffiti, using graffiti removal materials or other scrubbing techniques.

26.07 Remove spitballs, cobwebs, and other foreign materials from doors, walls, ceiling, partitions, vents, etc.

26.08 Disinfect the inside of urinals and toilets.

26.09 Disinfect the top and bottom of toilet seats, fixtures, and surfaces of and surrounding each fixture.

26.10 Disinfect around urinals, under sinks, around floor drains, and other areas where bacteria might breed.

26.11 Clean mirrors.

26.12 Scrub sinks and wipe dry. Use a small scrub brush to clean corners, cracks, and narrow areas.


26.14 Scrub outside of toilets, urinals, and rear wall.
26.15 Spot clean walls and scrub handprints, etc. from walls and partitions and wipe dry.

26.16 Disinfect and mop, or wash down floors, making sure that corners, drains, areas around toilets, and feet of partitions are thoroughly cleaned and there is no accumulation of dirt or other matter. Leave the floor as dry as possible.

26.17 Replace receptacles and trash containers following their cleaning.

26.18 Immediately notify Director of any irregularities or hazards.

26.19 If running water, broken fixtures, or plugged sewer lines cannot be normalized or isolated, the restroom is to be locked and the Director immediately notified.

26.20 Remove graffiti from the outside of the restroom building and wash off any other dirt clods, mud, or foreign materials.

26.21 Clean top and sides of drinking fountains outside of restrooms and clear drains.

26.22 Disinfect drinking fountains, scrub the fixtures, and dry it.

26.23 All areas are to be left clean and free of streaks, stains, film, debris, water spots, and odors. All fixtures shall be clean, including piping.

26.24 Make sure that supplies are in their appropriate dispensers and in adequate amounts to meet the demand.

26.25 All leaking fixtures, clogged drains, stopped up or damaged basins, toilets, or urinals; and damaged or inoperable lighting fixtures that cannot be repaired by the following shall be reported to the Director: (a) tightened to stop leaks; (b) unclogged by using “plumber’s helper” or short snake.

26.26 Restroom time locks should be inspected periodically to insure they are functioning properly.

27. **RESTROOM MAINTENANCE – As Needed Operation**

27.01 As needed perform the following tasks prior to commencing the daily tasks identified in paragraph 26:

A. By using a plumber’s helper (plunger), lower water levels in toilet bowls below water line and use a bowl cleaner to de-scale and dissolve water rings on the bowls and under the flushing rims. Allow the bowl cleaner to soak for 20 to 30 minutes. Do not flush.
B. Following the soaking period, scrub the bowls to remove deposits and stains and then flush the toilet bowls.

27.02 Scrub underneath sink and disinfect.

27.03 Disinfect and completely wipe dry all partitions, doors, door frames, metal plates, handles and hinges.

27.04 Scrub and clean alt base molding and “hard to get at” areas.

27.05 Use only materials that are not caustic or damaging to the fixtures being cleaned.

27.06 Clean light fixture covers.

27.07 As needed, do high and low dusting of ledges, tops of partitions, etc. using a dampened cloth or other device.

27.08 Disinfect sinks, dispensers, receptacles, trash containers, and walls around such areas.

27.09 Wipe off cove base and remove mop strands caught around posts, etc.

28. WATERING AND IRRIGATION SYSTEM MAINTENANCE

28.01 Since water requirements by plants vary according to the season and a particular year, extremely close attention shall be paid to the demands of the plants as influenced by their exposure to sun, wind, shade, and location in the individual planters. The variation in the size of plants installed, as well as the varieties, shall be taken into consideration. All landscaped and turf areas shall be irrigated as required to maintain adequate growth and appearance with a schedule most conducive to plant growth. The delivery of adequate moisture to the landscaped areas shall include, but not be limited to, hand watering, operation of manual valves, proper utilization of automatic controllers, and the bleeding of valves.

28.02 Watering shall be regulated to avoid interference with any use of the facility’s roadways, paving or walks.

28.03 In the areas where wind creates problems of spraying water onto private property or road right-of-ways, the controllers shall be set to operate during the period of lowest wind velocity which would normally occur at night or early morning hours.

28.04 Irrigation system will be controlled in such a way as not to cause any excessively wet or “waterlogged” areas, which could interfere with the ability to
mow all turf. “In lawn” trees and other planting shall be protected from over watering and run-off drowning.

28.05 New turf (up through the sixth mowing) shall be watered immediately after mowing. Well established turf shall not be watered for at least four (4) hours after mowing.

28.06 All groundcover areas shall be watered as needed to maintain a healthy condition, with appropriate care being taken not to over water in shady areas.

28.07 Maintenance personnel shall maintain in an operational state at all times the irrigation system consisting of automatic controllers, risers, and sprinkler heads. All irrigation systems shall be regularly inspected, tested and maintained in accordance with the specifications and frequencies specified herein.

29. **IRRIGATION SYSTEM OPERABILITY AND TESTING – Operation**

29.01 In order to insure the operability of the irrigation system, maintenance personnel shall sequence controllers to each station manually to check the function of all facets of the irrigation system and report any damage or incorrect operation to the Director.

29.02 During the testing maintenance personnel shall:

A. Adjust all sprinkler heads for correct coverage, to prevent excessive turnoff and/or erosion and to prevent the spread of onto roadways, sidewalks, hard surface areas and private property.

B. Unplug clogged heads and flush lines to free lines of rocks, mud and debris.

C. Replace or repair inoperable irrigation equipment. All system malfunctions, damage and obstructions shall be recorded, reported to the Director and corrective action taken.

29.03 In addition to regular testing, all irrigation systems shall be tested and inspected as necessary when damage is suspected, observed or reported.

29.04 Repair/replace malfunctioning sprinkler heads within one (1) watering

29.05 Correct malfunctioning irrigation systems and equipment within two (2) hours of identification or following verbal notification.

29.06 Control the irrigation system during inclement weather conditions and limit the use of water concurrent with the weather situation to the satisfaction of the Director.
29.07 Flushing of the irrigation lines of grit and gravel shall be done by removing the last head on each lateral and operating the system until those materials are expelled.

29.08 Maintenance personnel will shut off all irrigation controllers in the event of rain. The Director may instruct irrigation shutdown, to be accomplished within two (2) hours of notification.

30. IRRIGATION SYSTEM OPERABILITY AND TESTING – Frequency

Operation and maintenance of the irrigation system shall receive no less than the following:

30.01 Inspect for operability and proper adjustment of controllers, quick couplers, valves, and sprinkler heads monthly or more frequently if problems/conditions indicate a need. Maintenance personnel shall complete and file an irrigation tracking sheet (Attachment B).

30.02 Adjust and correct for coverage; as needed.

30.03 Repair and or replace, as determined by the Director, damaged or inoperable sprinkler heads; as needed.

30.04 Check valve boxes for safety and security purposes; daily seven (7) days per week.

30.05 Schedule controllers; as needed to comply with watering requirements of the premises.

31. BALLFIELD MAINTENANCE AND PREPARATION – Operation

Note: Ball field maintenance is performed by the individual sports groups that use the fields, the following is the standard the City would like each sports organization that maintains fields to follow:

All ball field areas within the Yorba Linda park system shall be maintained at a level that insures a safe playing condition. Said ball fields, including appurtenant backstops, fencing, bleachers and walkways shall be inspected daily during use and the Director informed immediately thereafter, of any hazardous conditions thereat, or of any supplemental needs therefore.

31.01 Ball Field Preparation
The following progression is necessary to prepare a ball field for each day’s play:

A. Water the skinned portion of the infield and then drag and level with a Maxwell Steel Planner Drag or its equal, to break the crust and re-grade the infield.

B. Home plate, pitcher’s mound, 1st, 2nd, and 3rd base areas should be more heavily watered. Home plate and pitcher’s mound will receive the heaviest watering.

C. The dampened surface material in the above mentioned areas shall be loosened, raked, shaped, and leveled. The surface material that has worked away from these areas must be replaced in the proper area and tamped down firmly.

D. All other expressions in the skinned area should be graded level and tamped down firmly.

E. The skinned portion of the diamond shall be thoroughly watered with the proper amount of water to provide a suitable condition for dragging. As a rule, the appearance of small water bubbles should indicate sufficient watering, in the watering of the diamond, the correct procedure is to water away from the body with the hose to the rear. Do not walk on the watered areas.

F. As the field dries, check the condition at which it can be dragged while cleaning and washing down dugouts, bleachers, concrete pads, and walks around the diamond. Make sure that the runoff from this operation does not create hazardous or unplayable conditions in the area.

G. Said drag, similar to a metal foot scraper constructed of heavy interwoven metal squares, is used to provide a finished surface. After smoothing the surface with the drag mat, small pebbles and other debris, shall be removed.

31.02 On-Going Maintenance Operations

A. The berm buildup that is created from play and the dragging operations shall be regularly raked level to insure a smooth transition between the skinned portion of the infield and the grass portion of the outfield.

B. Turf and weed encroachment shall be prevented within the skinned portion of the ball field.
C. A smooth line shall be kept between the turf grass and skinned portion of the ball field by either mechanically edging or chemical application.

D. Seasonally excessively wet diamonds may require the working of the skinned area until it is dry enough to prepare for play. Acceptable techniques shall be utilized to provide a playable diamond.

E. A soil sterilent or herbicide shall be applied under all fencing that does not have concrete mow strips.

32. BALLFIELD PREPARATIONS AND MAINTENANCE – Frequency

32.01 Inspect for safety, and litter and debris removal; Daily, during use.

32.02 Preparation for recreation use; Daily, during use.

33. RODENT CONTROL - Operation

33.01 All areas shall be maintained free of rodents including but not limited to gophers and ground squirrels causing damage to turf, shrubs, groundcover, trees and irrigation system.

34. RODENT CONTROL - Frequency

34.01 Inspect for evidence of rodents and control as necessary; once per week.

35. DRINKING FOUNTAIN MAINTENANCE - Operation and Frequency

35.01 Maintenance staff shall maintain all interior and exterior drinking fountains by performing the following operation:

A. Drinking fountains shall be cleaned and disinfected daily, seven (7) days per week.

B. Leaking fixtures, clogged or stopped up drains and damaged fountains that cannot be repaired by tightening the fixture to stop the leak, or unclogged by using a “plumber’s helper” or a short snake to clear the drain shall immediately be reported to the Director orally and thereafter in writing. For leaking fixtures the water valve shall be turned off.

36. EXTERIOR BUILDING MAINTENANCE - Operation and Frequency

36.01 Inspect and replace burnt out security lights; Daily, as needed.

36.02 Clean, scrub fixtures and disinfect top and sides of drinking fountains outside of building and clear drains; Daily, seven (7) days per week.
36.03 Remove graffiti using graffiti removal materials or paint when applicable; Daily, seven (7) days per week.

36.04 Clean storage rooms and outside compounds; Once per week.

36.05 Hose off appropriate areas of building walls and adjacent shrubbery; once per month.

36.06 Clear all rain gutters; twice per year.

37. **SLOPES – Operation**

   Maintenance staff shall maintain all slopes as graded, and shall keep slopes and edges at the established true, even grades by performing the herein after specified operations and all other work incidental thereto.

   37.01 Remove all litter and debris from all developed, and irrigated slope areas, with particular attention to the area beginning at the toe of the slope and proceeding 10 feet up the slope. Should any debris be present thereby creating a fire hazard such debris shall be removed immediately.

   37.02 Maintenance staff shall keep the irrigation systems operational and controlled at all times so as to insure the survival of all plant materials.

   37.03 Cut weeds just above the soil line, with equipment approved by the Director, keeping the root structure and stubble viable and in place at all times in order to keep the slope stabilized.

   37.04 Spray slopes with a non-staining herbicide in areas within parks as required by Director.

   37.05 In the event that such areas exist, a five (5) foot barrier shall be maintained, clear and weed-free, between homeowner’s property and the slope plant materials.

   37.06 City maintenance staff is not responsible for maintenance to undeveloped and natural slope areas except for “V”-ditch maintenance.

   37.07 ‘V’-ditches in natural areas of parks shall be cleaned of debris so that water will have an unimpeded passage to its outlet.

38. **SLOPES - Frequency**

   38.01 Remove litter and debris, 10 feet up from toe of the slope; daily.

   38.02 Remove litter and debris from other developed slope areas; once per week.
38.03 Maintain five-foot barriers; as needed.

38.04 Spray and remove weeds; as needed.

38.05 “V”-ditches shall be cleared at least once per month.

39. WALKS AND TRAILS – Operation

All non-concrete walks and trails shall be kept free of hazards and litter, and shall maintain the prescribed access routes by performing the herein after specified operations and all other work incidental thereto.

39.01 Wood chips, crushed rock, and other types of walkways and trails shall be kept clear for pedestrian and/or vehicular traffic. All weeds and plant materials shall be cut back to maintain clear access through such areas.

39.02 Any restrictive posts or barriers shall be maintained in an operable state.

40. WALKS AND TRAILS – Frequency

40.01 Areas kept clear for access: Daily.

40.02 Posts and barriers: as needed.

40.03 Remove litter and debris: as needed.

41. SWALES AND DRAINS - Operation

Maintenance personnel shall maintain all swales and drains in an operable condition, and free of siltation and debris so that water will have an unimpeded passage to its outlet, by performing the herein after specific operations and all other work incidental thereto.

41.01 Swales shall be inspected and kept clear of all silt, debris and litter.

41.02 Drains and collection boxes shall be cleaned and cleared of all debris.

41.03 Drain gates shall be inspected to restrict hazards. Maintenance personnel shall immediately inform the Director of any broken or missing grates, and secure same to keep the area safe for public use.

41.04 “V”-ditches shall be cleared of debris so that water will have an unimpeded passage to its outlet.

42. SWALES AND DRAINS - Frequency

42.01 Swales; inspection and clearance: Once per month.
42.02 Drains; cleaned and cleared: Once per month.

42.03 ‘V-ditches shall be cleared of debris: Once per month

43. **AMERICAN FLAG-OVER PARK SITES - Operation and Frequency**

43.01 The American Flag is to be continuously flown and only lowered at the direction of the Director when it is determined that the flag is to be flown at half-mast.

43.02 The flag shall be replaced as needed as determined by the Director.

44. **DIVERSION OF ORGANIC MATERIALS FROM LANDFILLS - Operation and Frequency**

44.01 Maintenance personnel shall divert all organic material (i.e. grass clippings, tree trimmings) from being disposed with regular refuse. Maintenance staff shall, to the extent feasible, utilize acceptable techniques (i.e. grasscycling, composting) as approved by the Director, in the diversion of all organic materials from landfills.

44.02 Diversion shall be completed on an on-going basis.

45. **SHRUB AND TREE CARE/PRUNING - Operation**

45.01 Tree pruning shall be performed with the intent of developing structurally sound trees, symmetrical appearance with the proper vertical and horizontal clearance as follows:

A. All trees shall be trimmed, shaped and thinned.

B. All dead and damaged branches and limbs shall be removed at the point of breaking.

C. All trees shall be trimmed to prevent encroachment on private property.

45.02 Prune shrubs to encourage healthy growth habits and for shape in order to retain their natural form and proportionate size. Restrict growth of shrubbery to area behind curbs and walkways and within planter beds by trimming. Under no circumstances shall hedge shears be used as a means of pruning.

45.03 Quality of Work


B. Standards of performance for all work shall comply with specifications and highest industry standards for a Journey Level Tree Trimmer/Operator/
Grounds person. Any failures to meet standards will be remedied per the decision of the City Representative, either through the compliance section of the agreement for services or in cases where compliance cannot be achieved, reduction in scope of work or termination of the agreement.

C. Pruning standards shall apply to all City trees designated for pruning by the Parks and Facilities Supervisor per standards contained in Section 18.

D. Contractor shall prune to retain the natural form of each tree species unless otherwise directed by the Parks and Facilities Supervisor.

E. City crews may provide “sample prunes” for the Contractor to duplicate on the designated species. If the Contractor is required to follow a “sample prune”, it will be indicated on the work order.

F. Contractor shall be consistent in their pruning patterns so that visual continuity is maintained and that the value of all City trees pruned is increased.

G. Pruning for safety or crown restoration is desired when the tree has an abnormal, unsafe, or unattractive imbalance and shall be pruned so as to correct this condition.

H. At no time under this contract agreement shall the Contractor decrease the value of any tree without written authorization from the Parks and Facilities Supervisor.

45.04 Quality Control Plan

A. The Contractor must submit to the Parks and Facilities Supervisor a Quality Control Plan at the time of bid submission. The Quality Control Plan (QCP) shall provide contractor management with an effective and efficient means of identifying and correcting problems throughout the entire scope of operations. This will include the staffing of an Arborist certified through the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) for the entire length of the contract as Site Supervisor (certification required), and at least 50% of the trimming crew shall be Certified Tree Workers.

B. The QCP shall include:

1. A description of the Contractor’s quality control system. The system must cover all contract services, specify work to be inspected on either a scheduled or unscheduled basis, and describe how inspections are to be conducted.
2. The name(s) and qualifications of the individual(s) responsible for performing the quality control inspections and the extent of their authority.

3. Provisions for recording the results of inspections and for recording corrective action taken.

4. Provisions to update and revise the QCP during the performance of the contract.

C. A file of all performed and scheduled Quality Control inspections, inspection results, and dates and details of any corrective actions shall be maintained by the Contractor throughout the term of this contract. The file shall be the property of the City and be made available to the Parks Supervisor during regular working hours. The file shall be turned over to the City within ten days of completion/termination of the contract.

45.05 Personnel and Equipment

A. No personnel shall be employed on any work site under these specifications that are found to be incompetent, disorderly, troublesome, intemperate, or otherwise objectionable. Any employee who fails or refuses to perform the work properly and acceptably, as determined by the City’s Representative, shall be discharged or removed from work under this agreement by the Contractor.

B. At least one member of each trimming crew shall be an ISA Certified Tree Worker. All trimming which cannot be completed from a bucket or ground level shall be done by an experienced climber with this certification.

C. The Contractor staff must include at least one (1) Registered Consulting Arborist as certified by the American Society of Consulting Arborists. This resource shall be available at all times to review and comment on tree condition and health issues for the urban forest in Yorba Linda.

D. The Contractor shall employ (no use of subcontractors will be accepted) a Certified Arborist with at least 5 years’ experience collecting tree information (accurately identifying genus/species) in the urban setting and developing municipal tree inventories. All inventory work shall be completed by personnel with such qualifications.

E. All contract personnel shall wear uniform shirts with the company name or logo printed on them, and they shall wear reflective safety vests while working within the roadway rights-of-way, which is typically any area
between a point 8’ behind the curb, across the street to a point 8’ beyond the opposite curb.

F. Contractor trucks and other vehicles shall be of the same color with the Contractor’s name or logo identified. All vehicles and equipment shall be in good condition and appearance.

45.06 Grid Pruning

A. Current City policy recommends that every tree shall be grid trimmed on a 3-year trim cycle. As such, each tree undergoes maintenance pruning every third trim season as a general rule. Budget constraints may force the City to defer trimming or alter the trim cycle. This will reduce the overall payment to the Contractor, but the unit cost per tree will not be altered without prior concurrence between the Contractor and the City.

B. Grid trimming shall be performed by experienced trimmers who understand the potential damage that can occur when poor pruning cuts are made. Some trees are not accessible to bucket trucks, in those cases production trimming shall be done by experienced personnel. Under no instance will gaffs be allowed to be used while climbing trees. Flush cuts, stub cuts, gaff wounds and cambium tears will be considered performance failures and result in a deduction per Section 1.4 of the service agreement.

45.07 Crown Raising

Low branches overhanging park and residential streets shall be raised to a height of thirteen (13’) feet above street grade. Low branches overhanging sidewalks and parkways shall be raised to a height of nine (9) feet above grade. Tree branches overhanging equestrian trails shall be raised to a height of eleven (11’) feet. Small trees with a natural shape and form that would be ruined by applying the above specifications should be “side pruned” to reduce any current hazards.

45.08 Tree Removals

A. Trees designated for removal by the City will be marked with an “X” using orange paint on the trunk of the tree. If for any reason there is a doubt regarding the tree(s) to be removed, the Parks and Facilities Supervisor shall be contacted before work commences.

B. All trees shall be removed in sections and no single section shall exceed five feet (5’’) in length. Trunks and/or limbs over six inches (6") in diameter shall be cut in sections not to exceed five feet (5’) in length, and lowered to the
ground. The means of lowering shall be acceptable to the Parks and Facilities Supervisor.

C. All stumps and large surface roots shall be removed and ground out below surface grade. Grinding of stump and roots shall not be less than twelve inches (12”) below existing grade and area shall be back filled with soil to re-establish grade.

45.09 Tree Training

Young trees that need training shall be pruned to promote natural shape and to encourage a central leader when applicable. Under no circumstances will stripping of lower branches (raising up) of young trees be permitted. For the purpose of this section, lower branches are defined as those branches growing along the tree’s trunk between the lowest canopy branch and the base of the tree. Lower branches shall be retained in the “headed back” condition leaving 4-5 leaves on the stem. This will promote increased caliper and tapered trunk. If there are any questions about this operation, contact the Parks Supervisor. Tree training operations may include staking, re-staking, stake removal, tying, retying, or untying. These operations will follow Section 18.06, Tree Staking Specifications. Trees will be assessed individually for their staking and typing needs during the tree training operations.

45.10 Method of Pruning Palm Trees

A. The pruning of palm species required strict compliance with a disease prevention program. At a minimum the program shall consist of the sterilization of all tools used during the pruning process to avoid the transmission of disease to uninfected plants.

B. All dead or drooping fronds shall be removed as close to the trunk as possible leaving a V-shaped base.

C. All frond stubs left from previous pruning shall be removed as close as possible to the trunk leaving a V-shaped base.

D. Fruit stalks present at time of pruning shall be removed and cut as close to their base as possible.

E. Palm fronds are to be removed so that a 45-degree or 90-degree angle is achieved as designated on the work order. The angle is measured from the horizontal axis of the growing point base. Each palm shall be trimmed of all remnants.

F. The use of climbing spurs or gaffs will not be permitted.
45.11 Tree Planting

A. The Contractor shall follow the requirements of Section 1.8 Underground Alert of the service agreement before digging any holes for tree planting.

B. Planting pit shall be dug twice the width and the same depth of the root ball. Before placing the tree in the planting pit Contractor shall examine root ball for injured roots and canopy for broken branches. Damaged roots should be cleanly cut off at a point just in front of the break. Broken branches should be cut out of the canopy making sure that the branch collar is not damaged.

C. Tree shall be placed in the planting pit with its original growing level (the trunk flare) at the same height of the surrounding finish grade. In grass-covered parkways, the top of the root ball shall be level or slightly higher than the surrounding soil.

D. Backfill material should be native soil. Eliminate all air pockets while backfilling the planting pit by watering the soil as it’s put into the hole.

E. All trees shall be staked in accordance with Section 18.06 Tree Staking Specifications.

F. All trees shall be of good nursery stock that adheres to the American Standard for Nursery Stock as described in the ANSI Z60.1-1996 Standards. Trees shall be free from pests, disease and structural defects.

G. Clean up all trash and any soil or dirt spilled on any paved surface at the end of each planting operation.

45.12 Contractor Tree Staking Specifications

A. Any tree that can stand upright without support and can return to an upright position after being deflected by wind or by hand shall not be staked.

B. If it is determined that the stakes should be removed, the Contractor shall pull the stakes from the soil. Breaking stakes off at grade will not be permitted.

C. All undamaged tree stakes removed during maintenance operations shall be returned to the City. Damaged stakes shall be disposed of by the Contractor.
D. If upon determining a tree cannot support itself, two (2) tree stakes shall be used and placed in a configuration that is perpendicular to the prevailing wind direction to give maximum support during heavy wind conditions. Stakes shall not penetrate the root ball and are to be placed as far away from the trunk as possible while still being able to attach the tree tie. Stakes shall be cut off below the lowest branch where the tree’s growth habit permits.

E. Tree stakes shall be of good quality treated lodge pole pine and shall not exceed ten feet (10’) in length and no more than two and one-half inches (2 ½”) in diameter.

F. Tree ties shall be V.I.T. cinch-ties or equal and are to be attached by a method of a figure eight loop between the tree trunk and each stake. Ties shall be attached to stakes by method of a galvanized nail driven into tree stakes. A minimum of two (2) V.I.T. cinch-ties shall be used.

G. Ties are to be placed in such a way to allow movement of tree and to prevent tree trunk from rubbing against the stake. Ties shall not be tight or inflexible or create damage to tender bark or girdle the tree trunk.

H. Tree ties that are causing a grinding condition of tree trunk shall be removed. If any material is embedded in the growing tissue of a tree and it is determined that the material cannot be removed without further damage to the growing tissue of the tree, it shall not be removed. The Parks and Facilities Supervisor shall be notified of any such condition.

45.13 Removal of Brush and Debris

A. Contractor shall be responsible for the removal and disposal of all debris, pruning’s, brush, and other material resulting from tree maintenance operations.

B. Contractor must comply with all state, local and county laws and ordinances applicable to and governing such disposal.

C. Disposal of all eucalyptus wood infested with the larvae of the eucalyptus long horned borer shall follow the State of California Public Resources Code, Article 5, Section 4714.5.

46. CULTIVATING – Operation

46.01 Cultivate beds and planter areas to ensure a neat appearance using appropriate equipment designed to loosen the soil to a depth of three (3) inches.
Care shall be taken so as not to disturb plant materials, or their roots in accomplishing this operation.

47. AERIFICATION – Operation
   47.01 Aerate all turf areas by using a device that removes cores to a depth of four (4) inches at not more than three (3) inch spacing.

48. AERIFICATION – Frequency
   48.01 Verify turf area three (3) times per year from April to November; once every twelve (12) weeks.

49. FERTILIZATION - Operation and Frequency
   49.01 Turf shall be fertilized with 16-6-8 Turf Supreme, 39-0-0 Sulphur Coated Urea, 21-7-14 Turf Royale, and 22-3-9 Nitra King as directed by the Director if special weather or other circumstances warrant.

50. TURF OVER-SEEDING - Operation and Frequency
   50.01 Turf OVER-seeding with Bermuda, Rye or Blend shall take place as directed by the Director.

51. WEED CONTROL - TURF - Operation and Frequency
   51.01 Control turf weeds as needed. Hand removal of noxious weeds or grasses will be required as necessary.

52. DISEASE/INSECT CONTROL - Operation and Frequency
   52.01 All landscaped areas shall be maintained free of disease and insects that could cause damage to plant materials including but not limited to trees, shrubs, groundcover and turf.
   52.02 The Director shall be notified immediately of any disease, insects or unusual conditions that might develop.
   52.03 A disease control program to prevent all common diseases from causing serious damage shall be provided on an as needed basis. Disease control shall be achieved utilizing materials and rates recommended by a licensed California Pest Control Advisor.

53. RENOVATION/VERTICAL MOWING – Operation
   53.01 Care shall be taken to avoid unnecessary or excessive injury to the turf grass.
53.02 Sweep or rake the dislodged thatch from the turf areas and place in appropriate trash bin(s).

53.03 Standard renovating or vertical mowing type equipment shall be used.

53.04 Vertical Mowing – Turf

Vertical mow to remove thatch in turf areas, to encourage healthy growth and to maintain acceptable appearance.

53.05 Renovation - Turf

A. Renovate to the soil line and remove all excessive thatch in turf area.

B. After thatch is removed and upon completion of turf renovation all turf areas shall be interseeded or overseeded, mulched and watered.

C. Areas to be interseeded or overseeded will be seeded utilizing blends or mixtures at the rate application recommended by the Director.

D. Mulch shall be spread evenly over the entire area to a uniform depth.

54. PLANT MATERIALS – Operation

54.01 Plant materials shall conform to the requirements of the Landscape Plan of the area and to “Horticultural Standards” of American Association of Nurserymen as to kind, size, age, etc. Plans of record and specifications should be consulted to insure correct identification of species. Plant material larger than those specified may be supplied if complying in all other respects.

54.02 Substitutions may be allowed but only with prior written approval by the Director.

54.03 Nomenclature - Plant names used in the landscape plan of the area conform to “Standardized Plant Names” by American Joint Committee on Horticultural Nomenclature. In those cases not covered therein, the custom of the nursery trade shall be followed.

54.04 Quality

A. Plants shall be sound, healthy, and vigorous, free from plant disease, insect pest or their eggs, and shall have healthy normal root systems and comply with all state and local regulations governing these matters, and shall be free from any noxious weeds. The Orange County Fire Authority (OCFA) Vegetation Management Approved Plant Pallet should be considered in plant selection.
B. All trees shall be measured six (6) inches above the ground surface.

C. Where caliper or other dimensions of any plant material are omitted from the Plant List, it shall be understood that these plant materials shall be normal stock for type listed. They must be sturdy enough to stand safely without staking.

D. Shape and Form - Plant materials shall be symmetrical, and/or typical for variety and species and conform to measures specified in the Plant List.

E. All plant materials must be provided from a licensed nursery.

F. Maintenance for low impact landscape/water conservation

55. **SUSTAINABILITY**

55.01 When planning and designing for existing park improvements or when developing new parks or facilities, the City shall be committed to incorporating as many energy saving technologies as possible to assist in water conservation, energy sustainability, reducing energy consumption and lower CO2 gas emissions. The following are site planning techniques that the City will try to utilize for City park and facility improvements:

- Building locations that take advantage of site options for natural light & solar access
- Building shape, thermal mass and window location to minimize excess energy consumption
- Use of water permeable or “porous” paving
- On site storm water recharge
- Utilization of recycled building materials
- Protection of existing plants & trees
- New plantings from diverse communities of plant species well adapted to the site
- The use of mulch in planted areas
- Natural daylighting by use of windows and the production of on-site electricity through the use of solar window technologies
- Water efficiencies through the grouping of plants with like water requirements
• Increased irrigation efficiencies through hydro zoning according to plant requirements and site microclimates, in addition to sensors to reduce over irrigation

• Potential for a “Green Roof” on any proposed new buildings
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### APPENDIX C. SPORTS GROUP QUESTIONNAIRE

**Sports Organization Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Organization Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How many fields do you use?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseball</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Sports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What age groups do you serve?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 &amp; Under</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 – 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 – 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 &amp; Over</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Where are the fields you use?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please rate their condition.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Where do you practice?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Lighted</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Improvements Needed?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Game Fields</th>
<th>Practice Fields</th>
<th>Lighted Fields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Do you have plans for expansion?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Do you need additional fields?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Game Fields</th>
<th>Practice Fields</th>
<th>Lighted Fields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Where are the fields you use?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Do you operate a concession stand?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**What is your major fund raising activity?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What is your projected growth in participation for the next 5 years?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**When is your sports season?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Any additional remarks:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX D. STAKEHOLDER QUESTIONNAIRE

RBF Consulting

Yorba Linda Stakeholder Interview Questionnaire

Important:

This questionnaire should be completed and returned to the RBF consultant who conducts your personal interview regarding the City of Yorba Linda Parks & Recreation Master Plan Update.

As a stakeholder concerned with park and recreation services you have an important role in helping to provide input for the development of Yorba Linda’s Parks & Recreation Master Plan Update. The Master Plan is designed to be a working document to serve as a roadmap for the future development and growth of the City’s recreational facilities and programs. Your responses will provide an important source of information for the consultant team as they draft the Master Plan. We know your time is valuable and appreciate your commitment to helping Yorba Linda determine what park and recreation services and facilities are needed to serve the community. Please feel free to add any comments or opinions that are not directly covered in the questionnaire. Results will be included in the Master Plan document without reference to individuals. Your comments will be kept confidential and held by RBF Consulting in their offices.

1. What do you think are priorities for parks and facilities in Yorba Linda?

2. What programs or activities do you think are missing in the community?

3. Do you hear complaints about parks or programs, and if so what are they?

4. Is there anything special you would like the Master Plan to address?
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APPENDIX E. SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY

Parks & Recreation Master Plan Update
Senior Citizen Survey – 2012

Please answer the following questions for each activity or service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY/SERVICE</th>
<th>1. To your knowledge, are the following services and activities provided to senior residents in the City of Yorba Linda?</th>
<th>2. In your opinion, does the City of Yorba Linda need to focus on offering more of the following services to senior residents?</th>
<th>3. Are you willing to pay for this service or activity if it is affordable?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Volunteer Program</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>DON’T KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAILS – Senior Mobility Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Senior Lunch Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Fairs and Flu Clinics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Classes (Yoga, Computer, Zumba, Line Dance, Osteoporosis, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Exercise Classes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Boutiques</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Movies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wii Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Dances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dance Classes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craft Classes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mah Jong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Room/Card Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parties and holiday activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Bulletin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP Tax Aide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY/SERVICE</th>
<th>1. To your knowledge, are the following services and activities provided to senior residents in the City of Yorba Linda?</th>
<th>2. In your opinion, does the City of Yorba Linda need to focus on offering more of the following services to senior residents?</th>
<th>3. Are you willing to pay for this service or activity if it is affordable?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preventative Health Care for Adults (PhCA)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>DON’T KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Resource Information (housing, transportation, etc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Informed Lecture Series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballroom Dancing Lessons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Surplus Food Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping Pong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bingo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places to Walk and Stroll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Areas with Park Benches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Rooms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billiards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP Exercise, Wellness, Quilting &amp; Mature Driving</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Tea &amp; Fashion Show</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City of Yorba Linda
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4. Are any of the following a deterrent to you participating in Senior Citizen programs and activities in Yorba Linda? Check all that apply.
- I do not have transportation to programs.
- I cannot afford the cost of the programs.
- There are no programs that interest me.
- I have to depend on a friend to take me to programs.
- My health prevents me from attending programs.
- I have a physical disability that prevents me from participating.
- Programs are not offered at a convenient location for me.
- I don’t have any reasons not to participate in the City’s senior programs.

5. Do you participate in Senior Citizen activities with neighbors or friends?
- Yes
- No

6. Are you a volunteer in any of the Senior Citizen programs at the Community/Senior Center?
- Yes
- No

7. Do you own a computer that works?
- Yes
- No

8. Do you know how to access and use the Internet?
- Yes
- No

9. Do you use any of the following? Check all that apply.
- Email
- Facebook
- Twitter

10. Please indicate which living situation describes you:
- I live independently in my own house or apartment.
- I live with my children or other family members in their house or apartment.
- My children or other family members live with me in my house or apartment.
- I live in an assisted living facility.
- I live in a full care facility.

11. Please check your age category:
- 50 - 59 Years
- 60 - 69 Years
- 70 - 79 Years
- 80 - 89 Years
- 90 - 99 Years
- 100 Years or Over
- Prefer not to answer

12. Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity?
- Caucasian or White
- African American or Black
- Hispanic or Latino
- Chinese
- Korean
- Vietnamese
- Asian (Other than Chinese, Vietnamese or Korean)
- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Prefer not to answer

13. What is your gender?
- Male
- Female
- Prefer not to answer

14. Do you use the Yorba Linda Community / Senior Center?
- Yes
- No

15. If you use the Community / Senior Center, how often?
- Daily
- Weekly
- Monthly
- Not Frequently

16. Do you use the Yorba Linda Library?
- Yes
- No

17. If you use the Library, how often?
- Daily
- Weekly
- Monthly
- Not Frequently

18. Any other comments or suggestions?

Thank you for participating in the City of Yorba Linda Parks and Recreation Master Plan Senior Citizen Survey. Please return the completed survey by Thursday, June 21st to the Yorba Linda Community/Senior Center, drop it off at the Parks and Recreation counter at Yorba Linda City Hall, to your senior facility director or mail it to:

City of Yorba Linda
Attn: Parks & Recreation
4845 Casa Loma Ave
Yorba Linda, CA 92885-8714

For more information, contact the Parks and Recreation Department at (714) 961-7160 or visit www.visityorbalinda.info
This page is intentionally left blank.
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APPENDIX F. CULTURAL ARTS SURVEY

City of Yorba Linda Cultural & Fine Arts Focus Group Follow Up Survey

Input on Priorities for the Arts in Yorba Linda for the Parks & Recreation Master Plan Update

Being an individual, organization or business involved in the arts in Yorba Linda, we would like your input as to the most important facilities and programs for cultural & fine arts the City of Yorba Linda should address in the new Parks & Recreation Master Plan. Please answer the three questions below:

1. Please list the 3 most important cultural programs you believe should be financially supported by the City of Yorba Linda (Concerts in the Park, Art Fairs, Library/Museum programs, etc.)
   
   1. 
   
   2. 
   
   3. 

2. Please list the 3 most important cultural arts facilities that you think belong in Yorba Linda (art galleries, performing arts theatre, museums, artist studios, amphitheater, or other arts facilities)
   
   1. 
   
   2. 
   
   3. 

3. How you think is the best way for the City of Yorba Linda to support the arts in Yorba Linda:
   (Please rank each of the options below from 1 to 6, with 1 being the highest priority and 6 the lowest)

   _____ The City should operate cultural arts programs and facilities directly (Concerts in the Park, Art Fairs, Library/Museum programs, etc.)
   _____ The City should partner with other public agencies, like schools, County of Orange, State and other cities to provide arts facilities and programs
   _____ The City should partner with non-profit arts organizations to help them provide facilities and programs
   _____ The City should provide financial incentives to commercial visual and performing arts companies to attract them to Yorba Linda
   _____ The City should provide fee based cultural arts programs, activities and classes using existing community center & city facilities
   _____ Other (Please list group idea for another way for the city to support the arts) ________________________________
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### APPENDIX G. PROGRAM EVALUATION SHEETS

**Program Scoring**

**PROGRAM**  
Senior Programs Offered by Yorba Linda Parks & Recreation  
There are a wide variety of senior programs, activities & services made possible through collaborative efforts between the City and local agencies, including YL Seniors Club, NOC School of Continuing Education Older Adults Program, Office on Aging, AARP, and Community Senior Services.

**Score:** 16.1

**Senior Programs Analysis**
- Yorba Linda does not have a specific facility for senior programs and services, senior programs are offered at the Yorba Linda Community Center
- Classes include Zumba, Computer & Internet, Yoga, Line Dancing, Tai-Chi, Painting, Quilting, and Exercise Classes, some require an additional fee
- Social services, such as meals programs, transportation and counseling are offered to all seniors
- Special events include Wii Games, Dances, Holiday Parties, Bingo, Movies, and Trips & Tours
- Group activities include Bridge, Pinochle, Bunco, Chorus, Ping Pong, Pan, Mahjong, Canasta and Cribbage
- Membership is $7.00 per year
- Programs are open to adults 55 years of age and older - Average daily attendance is 377
- ADA access for seniors to programs is good and not an issue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1 Programs and activities accommodate recreation activities that are in demand</td>
<td>Level of demand demonstrated by Needs Assessment, Utilization Review, or Community Outreach.</td>
<td>1 = low demand; 5 = high demand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Current senior program offerings meet the demand for senior programs and activities as indicated by the public outreach and needs assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 Meets Community Priorities</td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery meets as many recreational benefit priorities as possible.</td>
<td>1 = does not provide any priority benefits; 5 = meets most recreational priority benefits</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Senior programs meet seven of the nine priority recreational benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priorities</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 Anticipates future trends in recreation and local demand</td>
<td>Level of demand being met as forecast by &quot;Needs Assessment&quot; or expected based on trends identified in Master Plan.</td>
<td>1 = low future demand; 5 = high future demand</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Recreation trends indicate a growing need for more senior programs and activities for walking, enjoying the outdoors, keeping seniors living independently, and cultural interaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>Reason</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>Meets the needs of established special interests or user groups</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery is consistent with preferences of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>special interest or feedback from users.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Avoids duplicating services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uniqueness relative to programs offered by Orange County, non-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>profits, or other agencies serving Yorba Linda.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Demand Score Total**: 21

**Senior club would like larger card and game rooms**

**Yorba Linda senior programs are not duplicated by any other agencies**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location and Access</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6 Provides appropriate ease of access (easiest access for most popular activities)</td>
<td>Most popular activities are more centrally located and provide the most transportation options, while less popular activities may have more limited access.</td>
<td>1 = access is difficult; 5 = access is very easy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>The T.R.A.I.L.S. transportation program makes it easy for senior to access the community center. No ADA barriers once there. Some class times and overcrowding limit some access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Creates recreation opportunity near residents with limited nearby facilities</td>
<td>Extent to which programming reaches intended audience</td>
<td>1 = does not meet needs of intended audience; 5 = completely meets needs of intended audience</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Seniors are accommodated in the overall program delivery system at the community center, but space to dedicate specifically to senior programs is limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 Facility where programs take place have sufficient site attributes to support programs and activities</td>
<td>Extent to which facility allows users to enjoy the programs</td>
<td>1 = does not have sufficient amenities to make programs enjoyable; 5 = is well-suited to making programs enjoyable</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Seniors have positive comments about the programs and facilities, outreach suggests larger rooms for cards and games are needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9 Compatible with other recreation program uses</td>
<td>Extent to which programs complements other programs in same facility—by grouping people together with similar interests, providing for efficiencies in staff or equipment, or encouraging patrons to use multiple programs in a single visit.</td>
<td>1 = creates potential conflict with other uses; 5 = good complement to other uses</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Seniors are accommodated in the overall program delivery system, but there some few scheduling conflicts with other programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location and Access Score Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 Participant satisfaction is high</td>
<td>Extent to which program attracts users and minimizes complaints.</td>
<td>1 = programs receive a high degree of customer complaints; 5 = programs receive customer praise</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>While requests for more senior specific programs and services were received, seniors in current programs spoke positive of the activities offered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 Program attendance is above 70% of capacity</td>
<td>Extent to which program attracts minimum required number of participants and maximizes space use</td>
<td>1 = does not attract minimum number of participants; 5 = creates waiting list to get into the program</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Most programs are full; average daily attendance is above facility ratio standard, some programs could expand if space was available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Programs are inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>Extent to which program participants feel invited, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>1 = does not provide for atmosphere, comfort, or safety; 5 = is inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Community outreach indicates seniors love the climate control in the community center and enjoy the facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quality Score Total 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Performance Measure</td>
<td>Measurement Scale</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Minimizes General Fund subsidy</td>
<td>Extent to which programs require general fund subsidy to attract users.</td>
<td>1 = greatly requires general fund subsidy; 5 = fully self sustaining</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>There are fees for most programs seniors participate in, but fees do not cover operational costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cost of program to participants does not discourage participation</td>
<td>Extent to which programs are affordable.</td>
<td>1 = Program fees discourage participation; 5 = Program fees make program accessible, yet offset operating costs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Senior program fees are set at market rates, which do attract senior citizen participation; however, community outreach results indicate some seniors cannot afford the current programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Creates opportunity for revenue</td>
<td>Likelihood of creating opportunity for an external revenue stream.</td>
<td>1 = not likely to create revenue opportunity; 5 = very likely to create revenue opportunity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Community donors, sponsors and volunteers support senior programs and events. City partnerships limit impact on General Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provides flexibility to accommodate changing needs</td>
<td>Extent to which program equipment and space can be used for multiple purposes, now or in the future.</td>
<td>1 = renovation or new equipment required to accommodate program changes; 5 = can be easily changed without new resources</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Current programs accommodate seniors within the rest of the community center, so they are flexible and can adapt to changing needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future Costs Score Total** 15

**WEIGHTED TOTAL SCORE** 16.1
### Program Scoring

**Program**: Adult Programs Offered by Parks and Recreation

**Score**: 16.2

**Adult Programs Analysis**

- Adult programs, especially softball, golf, tennis and basketball are well attended with participation ratios above available facility guidelines.
- Programs for adults include a wide variety of health, exercise and fitness opportunities.
- Adult programming also offers at the Yorba Linda/Placentia YMCA.
- Special events are popular activities adults attend, mostly with their families.
- Ballroom and Country Dance classes match growing demand.
- Women’s basketball and women's softball and co-ed softball provide opportunities for females to compete.
- No facility for dedicated arts & crafts studio or computer classes, must share space for this growing trend.
- Cultural programs are limited to piano lessons, museum activities and dance activities, lack art & performing opportunities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>Programs and activities accommodate recreation activities that are in demand</td>
<td>Level of demand demonstrated by Needs Assessment, Utilization Review, or Community Outreach.</td>
<td>1 = low demand; 5 = high demand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Current adult programming meets demand for physical activities and learning opportunities, limited cultural opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Meets community priorities</td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery meets as many recreational priority benefits as possible.</td>
<td>1 = does not provide any priority benefits; 5 = meets most recreational priority benefits</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>The adult programs offered meet the majority of recreation benefits the community said they desired.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priorities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anticipates future trends in recreation and local demand</td>
<td>Level of demand is being met as forecast by &quot;Needs Assessment&quot; or expected based on trends identified in Master Plan</td>
<td>1 = low future demand; 5 = high future demand</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Recreation Needs Assessment indicates a desire for a broader range of arts &amp; crafts and cultural opportunities for adults, more social activities and to better accommodate clubs and organizations events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Meets the needs of established special interests or user groups</td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery is consistent with preferences of special interest or feedback from users</td>
<td>1 = contrary to club/user preferences; 5 = supports preferences of users</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Program meets demand for fitness &amp; health supporters and special interests including dog owners and equestrians, cultural groups would like more.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Avoids duplicating services</td>
<td>Uniqueness relative to programs offered by Orange County, non-profits, or other agencies serving Yorba Linda.</td>
<td>1 = duplicates nearby facility; 5 = unique in area</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Park and Recreation programs that adults participate in are not duplicated by any other agencies in Yorba Linda.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Demand Score Total | 21 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location and Access</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Provides appropriate ease of access (easiest access for most popular activities)</td>
<td>Most popular activities are more centrally located and provide the most transportation options, while less popular activities may have more limited access.</td>
<td>1 = access is difficult; 5 = access is very easy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Most popular adult programs, like softball and basketball, are easy to get to. Access to cultural programs is limited. No Dog park, but there is access to dog classes. Access to special events is easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Creates recreation opportunity near residents with limited nearby facilities</td>
<td>Extent to which programming reaches intended audience</td>
<td>1 = does not meet needs of intended audience; 5 = completely meets needs of intended audience</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Additional adult softball fields &amp; another city gym &amp; community center would increase adult participation in sports activities. Current programs seem to meet current demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Facility where programs take place have sufficient site attributes to support programs and activities</td>
<td>Extent to which facility allows users to enjoy the programs</td>
<td>1 = does not have sufficient amenities to make programs enjoyable; 5 = is well-suited to making programs enjoyable</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>More ball fields and additional gym space were community outreach priorities. Community also wanted a new community center with studio spaces and crafts room. YLCC got high ratings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Compatible with other recreation program uses</td>
<td>Extent to which programs complements other programs in same facility—by grouping people together with similar interests, providing for efficiencies in staff or equipment, or encouraging patrons to use multiple programs in a single visit.</td>
<td>1 = creates potential conflict with other uses; 5 = good complement to other uses</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Program scheduling does not require a lot of take down and set up time between activities. Programs have to share multipurpose space. No dedicated studio space, exercise room, crafts room, etc. Dog training limits public access during class times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location and Access Score Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Participant satisfaction is high</td>
<td>Extent to which program attracts users and minimizes complaints.</td>
<td>1 = programs receive a high degree of customer complaints; 5 = programs receive customer praise</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>While requests for more adult specific programs and contract classes were received, adults in current programs spoke very positive of current activities offered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Program attendance is above 70% of capacity</td>
<td>Extent to which program attracts minimum required number of participants and maximizes space use</td>
<td>1 = does not attract minimum number of participants; 5 = creates waiting list to get into the program</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>According to park &amp; recreation attendance figures, adult activities are close to capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Programs are inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>Extent to which program participants feel invited, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>1 = does not provide for atmosphere, comfort, or safety; 5 = is inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Community outreach indicates adults are very satisfied with maintenance and condition of facilities, but would like more ball fields and a new community center with craft/art studios.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Quality Score Total | 12 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Minimizes General Fund subsidy</td>
<td>Extent to which programs require general fund subsidy to attract users.</td>
<td>1 = greatly requires general fund subsidy; 5 = fully self sustaining</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>There are fees for most programs adults participate in, but fees do not cover 100% of operational costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cost of program to participants does not discourage participation</td>
<td>Extent to which programs are affordable.</td>
<td>1 = Program fees discourage participation; 5 = Program fees make program accessible, yet offset operating costs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yorba Linda program fees are set at market rates, which do attract adult participation; however, community outreach results indicate adults want more affordable programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Creates opportunity for revenue</td>
<td>Likelihood of creating opportunity for an external revenue stream.</td>
<td>1 = not likely to create revenue opportunity; 5 = very likely to create revenue opportunity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Adults expect to pay for programs and fees can be charged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provides flexibility to accommodate changing needs</td>
<td>Extent to which program equipment and space can be used for multiple purposes, now or in the future.</td>
<td>1 = renovation or new equipment required to accommodate program changes; 5 = can be easily changed without new resources</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Probably need new facilities or improved facilities to expand adult program offerings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future Costs Score Total</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WEIGHTED TOTAL SCORE</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Program Scoring

**YOUTH/TEENS PROGRAMS OFFERED BY YORBA LINDA PARKS & RECREATION**

Sports and athletics (offered directly and through partnerships with sports organizations), dances, contract classes, summer swim programs, fitness activities, martial arts, golf, performing arts, music, equestrian activities, figure skating, and summer camps.

**Score:** 17.15

**YOUTH/TEEN PROGRAMS ANALYSIS**

- Youth and Teen sports programs are well attended, a full range of swim programs are offered for youth and teens in the summer
- Community outreach indicates need for more youth and teen contract classes & activities, such as music, movie making, dance classes, etc.
- Gym programs including youth basketball, volleyball and teen dances are targeted directly for youth and teens
- Special events are popular activities with components specifically for youth and teens
- Skate park is a desired facility for youth and teens
- More classes for training for babysitting jobs, teen volunteer opportunities, and recreation leader interns were requested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>Programs and activities accommodate recreation activities that are in demand</td>
<td>Level of demand demonstrated by Needs Assessment, Utilization Review, or Community Outreach.</td>
<td>1 = low demand; 5 = high demand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Current youth and teen programming concentrates on active sports, cultural classes, social activities, education help, fitness and training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meets Community Priorities</td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery meets as many recreational benefit priorities as possible.</td>
<td>1 = does not provide any priority benefits; 5 = meets most recreational priority benefits</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Youth and teen programs provide mental and physical development, socialization and learning experience, which meet the priority benefits the community desires.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priorities</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anticipates future trends in recreation and local demand</td>
<td>Level of demand is being met as forecast by &quot;Needs Assessment&quot; or expected based on trends identified in Master Plan</td>
<td>1 = low future demand; 5 = high future demand</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Swim programs are limited to summer, Sports programs are keeping up with recreation trends, will need new fields to expand sports programs, will need a new community center to expand classes and social activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Performance Measure</td>
<td>Demand Score Total</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Measurement Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6  Provides appropriate ease of access</td>
<td>Most popular activities are centrally located and provide the most transportation options, while less popular activities may have more limited access.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 = access is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and</td>
<td></td>
<td>7  Creates recreation opportunity near</td>
<td>Extent to which programming reaches intended audience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 = does not meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>residents with limited nearby facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>needs of intended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  Facility where programs</td>
<td></td>
<td>8  Facility where programs take place</td>
<td>Extent to which facility allows users to enjoy the programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 = does not have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and</td>
<td></td>
<td>have sufficient site attributes to support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sufficient amenities to make programs enjoyable; 5 = is well-suited to making programs enjoyable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activities</td>
<td></td>
<td>programs and activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9  Compatible with other</td>
<td></td>
<td>9  Compatible with other recreation</td>
<td>Extent to which programs complements other programs in same facility--by grouping people together with similar interests, providing for efficiencies in staff or equipment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 = creates potential conflict with other uses; 5 = good complement to other uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recreation program uses</td>
<td></td>
<td>program uses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location and Access Score Total**: 16
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Participant satisfaction is high</td>
<td>Extent to which program attracts users and minimizes complaints.</td>
<td>1 = programs receive a high degree of customer complaints; 5 = programs receive customer praise</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Youth and teens gave positive feedback on all existing programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Program attendance is above</td>
<td>Extent to which program attracts minimum required number of participants and maximizes space use</td>
<td>1 = does not attract minimum number of participants; 5 = creates waiting list to get into the program</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>According to attendance figures, youth camps, dances and youth sports programs have capacity participation rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70% of capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Programs are inviting,</td>
<td>Extent to which program participants feel invited, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>1 = does not provide for atmosphere, comfort, or safety; 5 = is inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Community outreach indicates youth and teens are very satisfied with the condition of facilities and feel safe &amp; comfortable at activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality Score Total 13
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimizes General Fund subsidy</td>
<td>Extent to which programs require general fund subsidy to attract users.</td>
<td>1 = greatly requires general fund subsidy; 5 = fully self sustaining</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>There are fees for most youth and teen special interest classes, most sports programs are offered through partnerships with local organizations, some programs require General Fund subsidy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cost of program to participants does not discourage participation</td>
<td>Extent to which programs are affordable.</td>
<td>1 = Program fees discourage participation; 5 = Program fees make program accessible, yet offset operating costs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sports groups seem concerned about rising fees to use facilities. No scholarship program for special interest classes for low income.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Creates opportunity for revenue</td>
<td>Likelihood of creating opportunity for an external revenue stream.</td>
<td>1 = not likely to create revenue opportunity; 5 = very likely to create revenue opportunity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Most youth and teen programs have fees, may be some sponsorship/scholarship opportunities from local businesses, not really a significant source of new revenue opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provides flexibility to accommodate changing needs</td>
<td>Extent to which program equipment and space can be used for multiple purposes, now or in the future.</td>
<td>1 = renovation or new equipment required to accommodate program changes; 5 = can be easily changed without new resources</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>City has Teen Advisory Committee to review programs and give input. Department always looking for what teen needs are and trying to meet them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Costs Score Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEIGHTED TOTAL SCORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PROGRAM

**Preschool/Toddler Programs Offered by Parks & Recreation**

Big Tots (Age 4-5), Tiny Tots (Age 3-4), Swim programs, and Mommy & Me Activities, Arts programs, Dance programs, Education programs, Holiday events, Fitness and Sports (Little Fytt Soccer, Tee-Ball & Multi-Sports), Marshall Arts, Gymnastics, Ice Skating, and Movement through Music.

**Score:** 16.3

### Preschool/Toddler Programs Analysis

- Wide variety of day care, education, arts, fitness, sports, and special interest opportunities for Preschool/Toddlers
- Department partners with several local organizations to deliver Preschool/Toddler programs
- Events for Preschool/Toddlers are popular, such as Breakfast with Santa and community outreach indicated more holiday themed activities are warranted.
- More special parent child activities were requested.
- The Tiny Tot programs need larger facilities to expand.
- Inclusion of specific activities for preschoolers and tots in special events received very positive feedback.
- Community outreach indicated need for expanded and improved preschool facilities.
- The Little Fytt sports programs, equestrian activities and ice skating received very positive comments from the public outreach.

### Category Weight Goal Performance Measure Measurement Scale Score Discussion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1 Programs and activities accommodate recreation activities that are in demand</td>
<td>Level of demand demonstrated by Needs Assessment, Utilization Review, or Community Outreach.</td>
<td>1 = low demand; 5 = high demand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Current preschool/toddler programming is focused on the types of programs the community is asking for.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Meets Community Priorities</td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery meets as many recreational benefit priorities as possible.</td>
<td>1 = does not provide any priority benefits; 5 = meets most recreational priority benefits</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Programs provide for mental and physical development, cultural experiences, art, music, fitness, socialization, education and movement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priorities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anticipates future trends in recreation and local demand</td>
<td>Level of demand is being met as forecast by “Needs Assessment” or expected based on trends identified in Master Plan</td>
<td>1 = low future demand; 5 = high future demand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Swim and Sports programs are keeping up with recreation trends, could use improved and expanded Tiny Tot facility to meet demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Meets the needs of established special interests or user groups</td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery is consistent with preferences of special interest or feedback from users</td>
<td>1 = contrary to club/user preferences; 5 = supports preferences of users</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Preschool/Toddler programs are very essential to the community and current programs focus on highest needed activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Location and Access Score Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Demand Score Total</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location and Access</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Provides appropriate ease of access (easiest access for most popular activities)</td>
<td>Most popular activities are more centrally located and provide the most transportation options, while less popular activities may have more limited access.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Preschool/Toddler Access to TRAC, YLCC and events are moderately easy. Families in outlying areas may have some transportation problems accessing facilities. Participation in programs requires travel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Creates recreation opportunity near residents with limited nearby facilities</td>
<td>Extent to which programming reaches intended audience</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Another community center with preschool facilities and additional T-Ball &amp; sports fields would increase participation in this age group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Facility where programs take place have sufficient site attributes to support programs and activities</td>
<td>Extent to which facility allows users to enjoy the programs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Community would like improved and expanded preschool/toddler facilities so programming demand can be met in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Compatible with other recreation program uses</td>
<td>Extent to which programs complements other programs in same facility--by grouping people together with similar interests, providing for efficiencies in staff or equipment, or encouraging patrons to use multiple programs in a single visit.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Program scheduling does make using preschool/toddler space cumbersome for other uses. Community would like a dedicated facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Quality Score Total

<p>| Quality | 20% | 10 | Participant satisfaction is high | Extent to which program attracts users and minimizes complaints. | 5 | Parents of participants rate the programs very high. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Program attendance is above 70% of capacity</th>
<th>Extent to which program attracts minimum required number of participants and maximizes space use</th>
<th>1 = does not attract minimum number of participants; 5 = creates waiting list to get into the program</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>According to attendance figures, Tiny Tots and toddler programs have capacity participation rates.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Programs are inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>Extent to which program participants feel invited, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>1 = does not provide for atmosphere, comfort, or safety; 5 = is inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Community outreach indicates satisfaction with facilities, but would like expanded and improved tiny tot facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality Score Total 13
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13 Minimizes TVRPD general fund subsidy</td>
<td>Extent to which programs require general fund subsidy to attract users.</td>
<td>1 = greatly requires general fund subsidy; 5 = fully self sustaining</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fees for Tiny Tots cover direct costs, but most preschool/toddler programs require General Fund subsidy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14 Cost of program to participants does not discourage participation</td>
<td>Extent to which programs are affordable.</td>
<td>1 = Program fees discourage participation; 5 = Program fees make program accessible, yet offset operating costs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Preschool/toddler participation rates indicate fees do not seem to be a deterrent to participation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 Creates opportunity for revenue</td>
<td>Likelihood of creating opportunity for an external revenue stream.</td>
<td>1 = not likely to create revenue opportunity; 5 = very likely to create revenue opportunity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Preschool/Toddler programs are not likely to generate significant revenue sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 Provides flexibility to accommodate changing needs</td>
<td>Extent to which program equipment and space can be used for multiple purposes, now or in the future.</td>
<td>1 = renovation or new equipment required to accommodate program changes; 5 = can be easily changed without new resources</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Probably need new facilities or improved facilities to expand preschool/toddler program offerings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future Costs Score Total  14

WEIGHTED TOTAL SCORE  16.3
### Program Scoring

#### Special Events Offered by Parks & Recreation

Golf Events, Holiday Events (Santa Activities, Egg-Citement, Mother Daughter Events, Father Daughter Events, Kids Night Out, Equestrian Events, Concerts in the Park, Dances, Sports Tournaments, and the Library offers a number of special events for all ages.

**Score:** 16.6

#### Special Event Program Analysis

- Special events are well attended
- Events are operated for all age groups
- Community would like more concerts in the park catering to different musical tastes and ages
- Community would like more art fairs and cultural events
- Organized sports groups would like to offer more regional tournament events
- Parent child events are particularly popular
- Teen Action Committee would like trips and special events designed specifically for teens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>Programs and activities accommodate recreation activities that are in demand</td>
<td>Level of demand demonstrated by Needs Assessment, Utilization Review, or Community Outreach.</td>
<td>1 = low demand; 5 = high demand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Current demand for special events is for family events, parent child events, holiday events and art fairs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priorities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets Community Priorities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery meets as many recreational benefit priorities as possible.</td>
<td>1 = does not provide any priority benefits; 5 = meets most recreational priority benefits</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>The types and variety of special events offered meet all of the desired community benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipates future trends in recreation and local demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Level of demand is being met as forecast by “Needs Assessment” or expected based on trends identified in Master Plan</td>
<td>1 = low future demand; 5 = high future demand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Future trends will require more senior special events, preschool/toddler events and teen specific events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets the needs of established special interests or user groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extent to which program delivery is consistent with preferences of special interest or feedback from users</td>
<td>1 = contrary to club/user preferences; 5 = supports preferences of users</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Outreach shows City relationship with Arts Alliance, YMCA and community service grp’s could be improved in the area of holiday and special events these groups would like to operate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Avoids duplicating services</td>
<td>Uniqueness relative to programs offered by Kern County, non-profits, or other agencies serving the greater Tehachapi area.</td>
<td>1 = duplicates nearby facility; 5 = unique in area</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>City special events are not duplicated by any other agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Demand Score Total 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Performance Measure</td>
<td>Measurement Scale</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location and Access</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Provides appropriate ease of access (easiest access for most popular activities)</td>
<td>Most popular activities are more centrally located and provide the most transportation options, while less popular activities may have more limited access.</td>
<td>1 = access is difficult; 5 = access is very easy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Holiday events are centrally located, both outdoor and indoor special events are easily accessible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Creates recreation opportunity near residents with limited nearby facilities</td>
<td>Extent to which programming reaches intended audience</td>
<td>1 = does not meet needs of intended audience; 5 = completely meets needs of intended audience</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Residents in outlying areas can access most events; however, all events tend to be centrally located and do not travel to outlying areas of the City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Facility where programs take place have sufficient site attributes to support programs and activities</td>
<td>Extent to which facility allows users to enjoy the programs</td>
<td>1 = does not have sufficient amenities to make programs enjoyable; 5 = is well-suited to making programs enjoyable</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Amphitheater improvements, landscaping, and concessions would add to the enjoyment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Compatible with other recreation program uses</td>
<td>Extent to which programs complements other programs in same facility--by grouping people together with similar interests, providing for efficiencies in staff or equipment, or encouraging patrons to use multiple programs in a single visit.</td>
<td>1 = creates potential conflict with other uses; 5 = good complement to other uses</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>City event scheduling does not conflict with other agency events and Park &amp; Recreation special events are compatible with their partners (Equestrian groups, art groups, Library, etc.) special events.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Location and Access Score Total | 17 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 Participant satisfaction is high</td>
<td>Extent to which program attracts users and minimizes complaints.</td>
<td>1 = programs receive a high degree of customer complaints; 5 = programs receive customer praise</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>The community seems to love the special events, positive feedback from outreach tools, events are well attended, and residents would like special events expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 Program attendance is above 70% of capacity</td>
<td>Extent to which program attracts minimum required number of participants and maximizes space use</td>
<td>1 = does not attract minimum number of participants; 5 = creates waiting list to get into the program</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>More diverse music, adding walking events, expanding cultural events would increase attendance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 Programs are inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>Extent to which program participants feel invited, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>1 = does not provide for atmosphere, comfort, or safety; 5 = is inviting, comfortable, and safe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Community outreach indicates a request for landscape improvements, sound, and seating area improvements for concerts; however, events got very high ratings by the public.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality Score Total: 11
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Measurement Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13 Minimizes TVRPD general fund subsidy</td>
<td>Extent to which programs require general fund subsidy to attract users.</td>
<td>1 = greatly requires general fund subsidy; 5 = fully self sustaining</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Special events require General Fund subsidy, but they are for the whole community, thus a justifiable General Fund cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14 Cost of program to participants does not discourage participation</td>
<td>Extent to which programs are affordable.</td>
<td>1 = Program fees discourage participation; 5 = Program fees make program accessible, yet offset operating costs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>During the community outreach no complaints were received regarding the cost of special events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 Creates opportunity for revenue</td>
<td>Likelihood of creating opportunity for an external revenue stream.</td>
<td>1 = not likely to create revenue opportunity; 5 = very likely to create revenue opportunity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Most Park &amp; Recreation special events have some sponsorship from local businesses and community organizations. There is opportunity for greater donor and business sponsorships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 Provides flexibility to accommodate changing needs</td>
<td>Extent to which program equipment and space can be used for multiple purposes, now or in the future.</td>
<td>1 = renovation or new equipment required to accommodate program changes; 5 = can be easily changed without new resources</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Probably need new facilities or improved facilities to expand special event offerings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Costs Score Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEIGHTED TOTAL SCORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>